Bibliotheca Jainica.

VOLUME IN

THE SACRED BOOKS OF THE JAINAS

ORIGINAL TEXTS AND COMMENTARIES

WITH

Introduction, translation, notes, eye.,

WITH THE CO-OPERATION OF VARIOUS ECHOLARS

33

SARAT CHANDRA GHOSHAL, M.A., B.L.,

hrabvati, kavea-tirtha, videabhusana. Bharati,

General Editor: - THE SACRED BOOKS OF THE JAINAR AREAH. •





VOLUMES NOW READY.

THE SACRED BOOKS OF THE JAINAS.

	RS	a	p.
Vol I DRAVYA-SAMGRAHA, edited by			
S C Ghoshal, Esq. MA, BL,			
(Library edition)	5	8	c
Vol II TATTV ÅRTH ÅDHIGAMA SÛTRA			
	4	R	0
edited by J. L. Jami, Esq., M.A	4	0	U
Vol 411-PANCHASTIKAYASARA, edited by			
Prof A Chakravarti, Esq, MA,			
LT	4	8	0
Vol IV—PURUSHÂRTHA SIDDHYUPÂYA,			
edited by Pandit Ajita Prasad, Esq,			

APPLY TO

THE CENTRAL JAINA PUBLISHING HOUSE,

Prenied by Bishambher Nath Bhargava at the Standard Press Allahabad

MA, LLB

VOL. III

श्रीपञ्चास्तिकायसार । THE BUILDING OF THE COSMOS

OB

PAÑCHÂSTIKÂYASÂRA

(THE FIVE COSMIC CONSTITUENTS)

BY

SVAMI SRI KUNDAKUNDACHARYA

EDITED WITH

Philosophical and Historical Introduction, Translation, Notes and an Original Commentary in English

BY

PROF. A. CHAKRAVARTINAYANAR, M.A, LT,

Senior Lecturer in Bental & Boral Philosophy,

Presidency College, MADRAS



FUELISHED BY
RUMAR DEVENDRA PRASADA
THE CENTRAL JAINA PUBLISHING BOUSE
ARRAH (INDIA), 1920.



मक्तलं भगवाज् थीरो, मक्तलं गीतमोचणा । मगलं कृदकुंदायो जैनथमीरत् मक्तलं ॥ Blessed be the Edocable Vira Blessed be the Epostle Gautama Blessed be the Saint Hundakunda Blessed be the Jains religion.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

		u d C C C C C			rage
The Age of I	Kundaku	nda or Elac	harya		ix
A philosophi	cal Intro	duction -			xvi—xxi
Jaina Physics	ā		` •••		xxii—xx
The Concept	ion of M	otion	•••		xxv—xxvi
Jaina Metapl	aysics	•••	`		xxvii
Kâla		***	•••		ib
The Six Dra	vyas		•••		xxix
Dravya Guna	and Par	yáya	,		axls
Jaina Biology	···	•••	•••	•••	xxxi
Prânas				•••	xxxiii
Different Kin	ds of Bu	rths	•••		ib.
Microscopic	Beings	•••	•••		īb.
Jaina Psycho	logy			•••	xxxv
Soul and Bod	y		·		*xxxvi
Sensations an	d Sense	Organs	•••		xxxvii
Analysis of S	ensations				zzzviii
Sense Percep	tion			·	xxxix
Jāāna or Kno	wledge	•••	•••		xl
Affection	•••		•••	•••	ib.
Conation or the	he Conso	iousness of	Action	•••	xlii
Jaina Logic	•••	•••	•••		xliii
Knowledge ar	d the O	bject of Kna	wledge (Jűâna	and	
Jfieya)	•••	•••	•••	•••	zlvi
Saptabhanga-	-Jaina L	.ogic	•••		, li
Works by Sri	Kundak	undacharya			lxxxv
			yasara, with Pi y and critical	rakrit 	1-179





PROF A CHARRALARTI VA I I Senior Lect iter 1:11 losof Pre idei Ci College Vizar

THE HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION:

The Age of Kundakunda or Elacharya.

Set Kundakundacharya the author of our work was a very famous Jaina Philosopher and theologian. He was also a great organiser of Religious institutions. His name is held in great veneration especially by the Digambara Section of the Jainas. Many great religious teachers claimed it in honour to trace their lineage from the great teacher kundakunda. Several inscriptions that lare found in South Judia and Missee calatigm for Jaina leachers begin with Kundakundanvaya—of the line of kundakunda Students of Jaina literature are familiar with such phrases as the following.

Sri Kundalunda Gurupattap rrampai ayam,

Srt Kundal unda Santhanam .

Sri Kundakundakya munindra vimsa

These are some of the phrases claimed by Jaina writers such as Sakalabhusana nuthor of Uppidesaratnamala, Vasunandi author of Uppsakadhyanan, Brahma nemi datta of Aurathana Kathakosha Instances may be multiplied without number, for showing the important place occupied by our author in the hierarchy of Jaina teachers

Some of the epithets employed to chracterise him are also significant of his great importance. Munindra—the Indra among the ascetics, Nunnchakratati—the emperor among the munis, Kaundesa—Lord Kunda, are familiar designations of the great teacher.

The personality of this great teacher as is generally the case with world famous individuals is lost in obscurity and shrouded with traditions. We have to depend upon so many written and oral traditions to have a glimpse of this great person. The early history of India is but a string of speculations and even as such there are very many gaps. Under these circumstances, we have to be very cautious about the history of our author

11

The one great landmark in the chronelogy of India as Chandra gupta Maurya This great emperor of Magadha is not only referred to in the various literary works of India but is also mentioned by foreign historians especially the Greeks This emperor Chandra Gupta especially is of peculiar interest to the students of the early history of the Jaims Lewis Rice and Dr F W. Thomas have done consi derable service to Indian History by cautiously interpreting several available facts, archæological and epigraphical, relating to that period 'The early faith of Asoka and the migration of Bhadrabahu with Chandrigupta are now accepted facts of History tendency among European scholars to post date the historical events and persons ralating to India is a just antidote to the thantistic and legendary notions of indigenous writers who generally measure time by milleniums. Nevertheless we have to point out that the orientalist have sometimes overreached their work. They generally proceed on the assumption that writing is a late acquisition in Indian civilization The learned arguments put forward on Panine by Goldsticker to undermine this assumption have been before the learned public for some decades. The excavations of Jaina stupas at Mathura and Mr K P Jayaswal's discovery of Konika's Statue with the inscriptions try to set back the pendulam of Indian chronology to an earlier period Speaking about the Jama stupas Sir Vincent Smith writes as follows -

'The assumption has generally been made that all edifices in this stupa form are Buddhist When the inscription under discussion was executed not later than 157 A D, the Vodva stupa of the Jamas at Vathura was already so ancient that it was regarded as the work of the gods. It was probably therefore erected several centuries before the Christian era

Again says he,

'Assuming the ordinarily received date B C 527 for the death of Mahavira to be correct the attainment of perfection by that saint may be placed about B C 550. The restoration of the stupa may be dated about 1300 years later or A D 150. Its original erection in brick in the time of Parassanath the predecessar of Mahavira would fall at a date not later than B, C 600 considering the significance of the phrase in the inscription "built by the god, as indicating that the building at about the beginning of the Christian era was believed to date from a period of mythical antiquity the date B, C 600 for its

erection is not too early Probably therefore this stupe of which Dr Fuhrer exposed the foundations is the oldest known buildings in India

When we take these historic discoveries with the Jaina traditions that a number of Tirthankaras preceded Lord Mahavira we may not be altogether wrong in supposing that adherents of Jama faith in some form or other must have existed even anterior to Mahasura and that Mahavira himself was more a reformer than the founder of the faith If there vere June influential enough to build stupes in honour of their saints even anterior to 600 B C will it be too much to suppose that the followers of this religion might line existed in South India even before Badrabalius migration to the south In fact it stand to reason to suppose that a large lods of ascetics on account of a terrible famine in the north inigrated to a country where they would be welcomed by their devoted co religionists. If the south were instead of a friendly territory waiting to receive the Sangha of learned ascetics a land populated with strangers and of alien faith Bhadrabahu would not have ventured to take with him into strange land a large body of ascetics who would depend entirely upon the generouty of the people. The Jama tradition that the Pandya King of the South was a Jama from very early times and that Bhadrabahu expected his hospitality might have some historical background

Up to the time of Bhadribahu's migrition there was no split in the Jana fold. That the schism of the S vetambaras arose about the time of Bhadribahu I on account of the hardsfup. of the famine is more than probable. This fact is evidenced by the complete absence of Swetambaras in the Deccan and South India. The Jana in the South and Mysore always claim to be of Mulasangha the original congregatation.

One other interesting fact, is the Migration of the Digambara from the south to the north for the purpose of religious propaga dism. One point of agreement comes out clearly and is note worthy, ie the direction of the Digambara migration. It was from the south to the north from Bhadalpur to Dellu and Jaipur. This agrees with the opinion that the Digambara separation originally took place as a result of the migration southwards under Badrababu in consequence of a severe famine in Behar the original home of the undivided Jaina Community (Prof. A. F. Rudolf Hoernle. Ind.

Ant. Vol. XXI. Three further Pattavalis of the Digambaras, pp. 60 and 61.)

Professor Hoernle says that he has not been able to identify Bhadalpur. It is no other than Patalipura or Patalipura which is the old name of Thiruppappuliyur or modern Cuddalore (Reports on the Archæological Survey of India, Vol. 1906-07—Article on the Pallavas hi V. Venkryya) suggests that it is not the above place and identifies it with Tiruvadi a place near Panruti with many Jifina antiquities, and remains. This is only a matter of detail But still the reason given by V Venkryya is not quite sound. The fact that Pathiripuliyur is mentioned in Devaram as sacred to God Siva will not conflict with its being also the centre of the Jainas.

Now this Bhadalpur or Patalipura is associated with our author Sri Kundakunda as we shall show later on

Before we proceed further let us make sure about the age in which he lived and worked. For this we have clear evidence furnished in the several Pattavalis preserved by the Jainas both Digambaras and Swetambaras. After Mahavira there had been a succession of teachers as shown below —

		Year
Gautama		12
Sudharma	•••	12
Jambu		38
Vishnu Kumar		14
Nanda Mitra	•••	16
Aparajua		22
Govardhan	***	19
Bhadrabahu I		29
Visaka	•••	10
Proshtila		15
Natchatra	***	17
Nagasena	•••	18
Jayasena	***	21
Siddharta		17
Dhrittsena		18
Vijaya		13
Buddhilinga		20
Deva, I		14
Dharatena		14
	***	• 1
	Sudharma Jambu Vishnu Kumar Nanda Mitra Aparajita Govardhin Bhidrabahu I Visaka Proshida Natchatra Nagasena Jayasena Suddharta Dhritisena Vijaya Buddhilinga	Sudharma Jambu Vishnu Kumar Nanda Mitra Aparajita Govardhin Bhidrabahu I Visaka Proshula Natchatra Nagasena Jayasena Siddharta Dhiftisena Vijaja Buddhilinga Deva, I

IV -Eleven Angins	Nakshatra		Years.
	Jayapalaka		20
	Pandaya	***	39
	Dhrusasena	•••	14
	Kamsa	•••	32
			· '
	Total		468
			Years.
V Minor-Angus	Subhadra		6
	Yasobhadra	•••	18
	Bhadrbahu, II.		

In the year 2 after the Acharya Subhadra's (accession to the partificate), the birth of Vikrama took place; and in the year 4 of Vikrama's reign Bhadrabahu'il I took his seat on the pontificial chur. Further succession will be evident from the following table:—

"Indian Antiquary" Vols. XX and XXI The several Patta-

150 -01 [#1135 ۲,

If we take this date 8 B C as the reliable date of his accession to the pontificial chair then the date of his birth would be about 52 B C For, only in his forty fourth year he became pontiff or an achary:

What is his birthplace and scene of his activities? With regard to his birthplace we have no better evidence. Here allowe have to depend upon traditions-oral and written. Let us see whether we can have any useful information from these traditions. In a work called Punyasrasakatha Sri kunda kundacharya s life is cited as an example for Shastradana or gift of Shastras The account is as follows -In Bharatha Khanda in Dakshanadesa there was a district called Pidatha Nadu. In a town called Aurumarai in this district there lived a wealthy va shya by name haramunda. His wife was Srimati They had a con-herd who tended their cattle. His name was Mathivaran One day when he was driving his cattle to an adjoining forest he saw to his great surprise that the whole forest was consumed by forest fire except a few trees in the centre which retained the luxuriant green foliage. This roused his curiosity and he went and inspected that place. There he found the dwelling place of some great Yuni and also a box containing the Agamas or the Jam Scriptures Hilterate as he was he attributed the safety of the spot to the presence of the Agamas which he carried home with great awe and reverence. He put up the Agamas in a sanctified place of his master's house and continued to worship the same daily

Sometime after, a religious monk visited their house. He was effered Bhiksha with great veneration by that wealthy vaishya Just then this cow herd also offered the Agamas to the great Rishi. On account of these gifts—food from the Master and the Scripture from the servant—the Rishi was very much pleased and blessed them both The Master of the house since he had no children was to have an intelligent son and the old and faithful servant would be born as the promised son of the house. The happy event came to pass and the son born to the family became a great philosopher and religious teacher. This was our author. Sir Kunda Kunda

The story further turns upon his religious tours. The mention of his name as the wisest of mortals in the Samarasarana of Sree Maotharaswam in Purvavideha, the visit of the two Charanas to verify the fact, his supposed irreverence to them on account of his

concentration, the return of the Charanas in disgust, the explanation of the misunderstood event the reconciliation between the Charanas and Sri Kunda Kunda and the latter's visit to the Samavasarana with the Charanas are all incidents mentioned in detail. Further as the fruit of his previous gift of Shastra he became a great leader of thought and organiser of institutions. Finally he secured the throne of Acharas and thus spent his life in usefulness and glory.

There is another account of his life given in "Runda Rundachar) at Charitta" a pamphlet published in Digamber Jain office, Surat. According to this his birth place is in the country of Malwa. His parents are mentioned as Rundasreshts and Rundalata. The young boy hunda hunda was apprenticed to religious teacher for the purpose of education. Early in life he showed an ascetic disposition and therefore he was ordained as a monk and admitted into the Singha. The latter part of the story is almost identical with that of the previous one.

Both these versions appear to be quite legendary. The latter judged from the names of the parents is evidently a latter construction by some amaginative mind based upon the name of the hero kunda kunda. The places mentioned in the former story are not easily identifiable. The only reliable information there perhaps is that the author belongs to Dakshana Desa. Waiving these two stories as of no material use we have to depend more upon circum stantial evidence. Emphrsis must be laid upon the fact that Sri Kunda kunda belonged to Dravida Sangha.

'La commuraute digambra portrait le nom de mula saigha Un synonyme de ce terme parait. Etre Dravila Sangha, qui ne signifie sans doubte nen de plus que communaute "des pays dravidiens " Le mula sangha comptait plusieurs sectes dont la plus importante Etait le Kunda hunda anvaya (--p. 42 Introduction, Reportaire Epigraphie Jaina)

This suggestion of Guerinot's based upon circumstantial evidence is a useful cue for our purpose

is a useful cue for our purpose

We have to collect further evidence if possible to corroborate the
theory that our author belonged to the Dravidian country.

(1) In an unpublished manuscript trest ug about 'Vantra Laishna we have the following slova -

Dabshana desi mal yé hamagrame munir mahitmbel^a Elachirvo nimna dravilagani—dhiso dhiman This sloka is interesting to us. The work treats about a female disciple of Elacharya, who was possessed of a Brahma Rakshasa This possessed disciple was no doubt well versed in Shastras but would get up on the summit of a small hill called Nilagin by the side of the village, Hemagranu in which Elicharya lived, and would laugh and weep alternately with all hystorical violence. She is said to have been cured by Elacharya with the help of Jwalamalini Mantra. Fortunately we are able to identify all the places mentioned in the above sloka.

Malaya is the name of that part of the Madras Presidency comprised by portion of North Arcot and South Arcot traversed by the Eastern ghats. The taluk of Kalla Kurichi, Tiruvannamali and Wandewash prihaps form the central tract of this Malaya. Hema grama which is the Sanskritised form of Ponnur which is a village near Wandewash. Close to this village, there is a hillock by the name. Nilagin. On the top of this hillock on a rock there are even now the foot prints of Elacharya who is said to have performed his tapas thereon. Even now pilgrims frequent this village once in a year, to perform puja to the foot prints. Turther the sloka mentions Elacharya to be Dravidagana disa. We know very well that Elacharya, is another well known name for kunda Kunda.

Now this Elecharya is recording to Jaina tradition the author of the great Trimi classic "Thiruklurra". This is written in the idi indigenous, Venba metre of Tamil language. According to the Jaina tradition this work was composed by Elacharya and given away to his disciple "Thiruxallurar who introduced it to the Madura Sangha. This version is not altogether improbable. Because even the non paina tradition about the author of Thirukli small appears to be merely another version of this one. The Hindu tradition makes Thiruxallurar himself the author of the work. He is claimed to be a Saivite by faith and vallura by birth. His birth place is said to be Thirumaliai or Mylapuri or the modern Mylapure the southern part of the city of Madras. The work was composed under the patronage of one Elala Singh, who was evidently the literary patron of Thiruxallurar.

This Flala Singh of the Hindu Tradition may be merely a variation of Elacharya. Thirtu allowar figures in both the triditions in the one as the author and in the other the introducer before the Sangha. That Mylapuri, had a famous Jain timple dedicated to

Nemnath Vide Tamil work." Trunur ruanthathi," and that it was a sent of Jaina culture is well evidenced by literary remains and antiquarian facts preserved in South India. Though the work is claimed by different religionists—Sivaites Buddhists and Jains, though there is no authentic record as to the exact faith of the author still an unbiassed study of the work itself with the special view as to the technical terms employed in the couplets and the doctrine, religious and moral embodied in the work will constrain one to conclude that it is a treatise evidently based upon the moral principle of Vitaraga the corner stone of Jainism. The praise of Agriculture as the noblest occupation is consistent with the traditions of the Vellalas, the landed aristocracy of South India, who were evidently the exhibits adherents to Jaina faith in this part of the country.

This identification of Llacherya the author of Kural with Elacharya or Kunda Kunda would place the Tamil work in the 1st century of the Christian era This is not altogether improbable Dr. G. U Pope would bring it down to a period later than the 8th century There is no sufficient historic evidence for his belief. He is actuated by his personal bias that such a sublime work embodying highest moral ideals could not be due to the indigenous Dravidian culture alone, hat must have been influenced by Christianity brought here by the early Christian Missionaries The tradition about St. Thomas lends weight to the supposition There is nothing to show from the internal evidence that the author of the work was aware of Chris tranity The doctrines treated therein are found widely scattered in Tamil literature especially in those works composed by Jains such as 'Naladiyar' 'Aranericharam 'Pazamozi' 'Elathi', etc. One who is acquainted with Tamil literature will not grudge the authorship of Kural to purely dravidian scholars and moralists who are uninfluenced by foreign culture. Hence we may believe with very great probubility that, Clacharya the author of Kural was identical with Kunda Kunda the author of Prabhrita traya, and that he lived about the beginning of the 1st entury A. D

This identification of Elicharya the author of Kural with Kunda Kunda brings in another important point of historical interest. It is an acknowledged fact that Kural is anterior to Silappadisaram and Manintshela The former was, written by 'Ilangoradigol' the

younger brother of 'Singuttuman Seran the Chera King of Vangi The latter work which is merely the continuation of the story of Silappadikarani was written by Kullavanikan Sattanar a contemporary and friend of Hangovadi. During the pratishta of Devi temple (Silappadikaram) Grynhbhahu I of Coylon was present according to Mahavansa he reigned abo it 113 A D. Kural therefore mus be anterior to this date, so this also goes to corroborate the age of Elacharya or kunda kunda.

All these scattered facts of traditions and literary remains produce cumulative evidence to establish that our author was of Dravidian origin. That he was the leader of the Dravidian Sangha and that he was evidently highly cultured in more than one language. This use of the word 'Dravida in the 'Dravida Sangha must have a specific reference to the Jainas of South India the Vellalas of the ancient. Tamil literature, who strictly followed 'Kollavratian on Ahimsa diharma and it is further evidenced by the popular use of the word in the compound 'Dravida brahmins who are strict vegetarians as contrasted with 'Gouda brahmins. It is a well known fact that the strict vegetanism in daily life of South Indian Brahmins who nevertheless perform Yagams involving nitimal sacrifice is a heritage from early Jaina culture in South Indian

The early kingdoms of South India were the Cheras, the Cholas and the Pandyas It is a well known historical fact pertaining to South India that the Pandyas were Jains and were patrons of Jainism They changed their faith only during the Swate revival effected by Appar and Sundarar about the 8th century. That the Cheras were also Jains can be inferred from Silappadikana another great Tamil classic written by a Jaina scholar the younger brother of the Chera king 'who was a contempority of Cajabadar of Ceylon). The Cholas were also off and on the Patrons of Jains though in later days they were as ociated with Snattism. These three kingdoms, were known about the time of Asoka. The court language in all the three was probably Tamil. Can we suppose that Sri Kunda Kunda belonged to any one of these kingdoms. Our above discussion would lead us to such a supposition and yet there is an important stumbling bloch in our way.

The work that is translated here is in Pral nt. Further all the commentators of 'Prabhavitatrava -

Pauchastikaya Pravachanasara and Samayasara—mention the fact that these works were written by Kunda Kundacharya for the benefit of his royal disciple Shivakumara Maharaja. Who this Shiva Kumara Maharaja was and over what kingdom he ruled the commentators are silent about. We are once again compelled to have recourse to his pothesis. This Siva Kumara Maharaja must have been a follower of Jaina faith and must have had prakrit as his court language. Further he must have been somewhere in the south in order to have Sri Kunda Kunda as his religious teacher. This name does not occur in the dynastic geneology of the three Tamil Kingdoms—the Cheras the Cholas and the Pandiyas. Further there is no evidence that any prince of these dynasties had prakrit as his court language.

Before we proceed to state our theory we have to dispose of the theory proposed by K B Pathik as to the identification of Sivakumara Maharaja

The Indian Antiquary Vol XIV, 1885 page 15 Kunda Kunda was one of the most celebrated Jama authors. The works attributed to him are the Prabhritasara the Pravachanasara the Samaya-ara the Kayanasara and the Dvadasanupreksha

These are all written in Jaina Prakrit Balachandra the commentator who lived before Abbinava Pampa says in his introductory remarks on the Prabhritasara that Kunda Kundacharya was also called Padmanandi and was the preceptor of Sivi kumara Maharaja I would identify the king with the early Kadamba King Sri Vijaya Siva Mrigesa Maharaja. For in his time the Jainas had already been divided into the Nirgranthas and the Svetapatas and kunda kunda uttacks the Svetapata sect when he says in the Pravachanasara that women are allowed to wear clothes because they are incapable of attaining Nirvana.

' Chitte Chinta majathumba tasım na nıvvanam चिते चितामाया तम्हा तासि गासिस्याल !

Another interesting fact that we learn from his works is that, in the time of this author Jainism had not spread far and wide in these parts and that the body of this people worshipped Vislinu for he tells us in the Samayasara

स्रोयसमणाण मेर्य सिम्घत पडि ण दिग्सदि विसेसा । स्रोगदम दुर्णाद विवह समदाण ऋग्यहो दुण्दि ॥ Conjecturam for purposes of study Scholars thronged there for the purpose of being recognised at the Pallava Gourt Mayura Sarma one of the early founders of the Kadamba dynasty went to the Pallava capital for the purpose of completing his education in the Sacred lore It was there that he quarrelled with the master of the horses who was a Kshatriya Mayura Sarma a brahmin by birth vowed that even a brahmin could handle the weapons of warfare with skill and could found a k ngdom Thus arose the Kadamba dynasty Thus the glory of Conjeepuram-the Pallava capital must have wide spread about the 2nd century A D The Fings of Conjecpuram as patrons of learning must have encouraged philosophical discussion among the representa tives of the different religious sects -the Hindus Buddhists and lans Taking part in such rel gio philosophical discussions must necessarily have a reaction on the pe sonal faith of the kings In the early centuries of the Christian era, proselytising was a common factor among the rival religionists Great religious leader of different denominations went about from country to country con verting kings and people. Thus we hear from Jaina History that Samantabhadraswami visited Conjeepuram and converted Siva Koti Maharaja who became Sivi Koti Muni the disciple and succes sor of Samantabhadra Still later towards the 8th century, Akalanka visited the capital deferted the Buddhists in open philosophical contests and converted Himaseethala the then Buddhi t king It is not improbable therefore that the Pallava kings at Conjecturam during the 1st century of this era were patrons of Jama Religon or were themselves Jamas by faith

We also know from several ep graphical records that they had Prakit is their court language. What is known as the Majidavolu grant is an important document for South Indian History. It is in Prakit with the exception of the last verse which is the closing mangila in Sanskit. The body of the grant is in a prakitue dialect which comes close to the literary Pali, but shows also a number of peculiarities and divergent forms Dr. Bubler, Lp. Indica. Vol. 1, p. 2. Come nearer to the usage of the Jaina and Maharashtri dialecties than to that of the Pali and older inscriptions. It is issued by the Pallana King Sia Skandavarma of Conjeepuram Forther it may be pointed out that the grant resembles in many particulars the Juna inscriptions from Mathura. The use of the word 'Saddham' in the beginning of the grant is, well as in the

it refers to a highly civilised and cultured race. There must be some other reason therefore for the application of the name to the Pallavas. It may be merely a contruction of 'Palakkadavar' a people of the Palakkada another seat of Pallava government

Waiving these two hypothesis as improbable may we not suppose a much closer alliance between the people of the Thondumandalam and Andhras or the Andrabhrityas who succeeded the Maurya. The term 'Thondu in tam'l means 'Service 'Thondu' may simply me in those who serve and may be talen as the Tamil Translation of Andrabhrityas. The Pillavas or the Thonder therefore may merely a set tion of the Andhras. Settled in the south who inherited that pixtion of the Andhra lingdom either by matrimony or by right. This theory that the Pillavas were at indigenous race with a very high culture and civilization men related to Andhrabhrityas is highly probable and is corroborated by other circumstantial evidence.

This digression into the history of the Pallanas we have had because such a political environment is required for our author Sri Kunda Kunda Acharya according to the analable data about his life We may therefore conclude that Sri Kunda Kunda Acharya wrote his Prabhrita Triya for one Siya kunnari Maharaja who was most probably the same as Siya Shanda Varma of the Pallaya dynasty

Several worl s are attributed to Sri Kunda Kunda Acharva

- 1 Prabhrita trian (The three Pribhritas)
- 2 Shatpahudam
- 3 Niyamasara etc

Of these the first three, Panchastikava Pravachanasara and Samayasara are the best known and most important. The work that is offered in translation here is the first of these three Panchastikaya Prabhrita which treats about the five cosmic constituents.

A PHILOSOPHICAL INTRODUCTIÔN

TO

PANCHASTIKAYASARA.

IAINISM-ADYNAMIC REALISM

When the European scholars first began to study Indian Philosophy and Indian Literature they were peculiarly sampathetic towards the idealistic sy tems of India These scholars were brought up in the tradition of hant and Hegel Kant in con tructing his critical philosophy emphasised the phenomenality of our experience in general. The external word including the starry heavens above was merely a fictitious creation of the human mind according to its own forms and categories.

Roused from his dogmatic slumber by Hume Lant began to inquire as to the possibility of a true metaphysics. Hume's analysis of experience ended in throwing overboard the fundamental conceptions of experience. The reality of the Self, the objective world and even the certain law of causation were all said to be fictitious concepts based upon psychological habits but having no rational foundation From such a sceptical shipwreck Kant attempted to save metaphysics Wairing the earlier methods as dogmatic be introduced his own critical philosophy. The main characteristic of this sy tem is the activity of the mind as opposed to the passive tabula rasa of the Lockeran system Nature is due to the co operation of sense materials and the activity of mind. Forms of space and time and the categories of the understanding from the a briori constitutive elements of experience knowledge is confined to such an experience which is phenomenal This phenom-nal world of the world of appearance is but an island surrounded by the unknown ocean of noumenal reality. This may be taken to be the foundation of modern idealism

No doubt Kant strongly repudiated the suggestion that his system was idealistic. It is true that he posited the evistence of the thing in treelf But this realm of neumenal reality remained unknown and unknowable. It was preserved only because of Kants personal predilection bence it was the very first thing to be rejected by his successors.

Through the influence of Hegel the German idealism secured an inordinate potency. Peculiarly gifted with ginus for system building Hegel wrought out his system of idealism based on Kanthan traditions. The thing in itself was got rid of as an empty abstraction. Reality was identified with concrete experience. Thus the metaphysical dualism of hand was conversed into an idealistic monism. According to this Hegelian conception reality is equal to the Absolute conscious ness. All fin te things and persons are reduced to merely adjectives or qualities of this vibolute which is of the nature of the spirit. It is this pirit that is the reality of which all other things of our ordinary experience are mere appearances.

Be ides the idealistic monism of Germany there was another imnortant aspect of thought this determined the trend of modern ideas synthetic system. This Spencerian philosophy also in its own way contributed to metaphysical monism and phenomenality of experience, hence the philo ophy of the 19th century, especially towards the last quarter, had a predominent note of monism. This general proneness towards a monistic interpretation in we teen thought must have determined the attitude of the West towards Indian thought attitude of the West towards Indian thought attitude of the West towards Indian thought of Sanbara and Ramining it is the interpretation of Vedan's was admired as the fruit of oriental culture.

The philosoph cal attitude is never constant. It has always been unfulating between idealism and realism. In the West there is observed a distinct set back to idealism. The English philosophers like Bradlet and Bosai quet who inherited the traditions of Hegel and who practically ruled the philosophical world are now openly challenged. This revolt again to idealistic influence has manifested through different channels. Pragmatism championed by James and Detect has exposed the hollowness of a barren Intellectualism.

The Hegeli in do trine of the identity between Thought and Reality and the consequent crit erion of Truth in the form of self coherence and self consistency are openly condemned as perincious metaphy is "The uill to believe is recognised as an important factor in knowledge Experimental verification of thought

which is the method followed in Science is hailed as the true model for philosophy. Thought is studied in its true concrete setting. In as much as it is merely an instrument to secure greater efficiency of life, its value is entirely determined by its utility. Even Scientistis like Mach and Poincare acknowledge this instrumentality of thought. Concepts are only convenient fictions to comprehend Nature.

From within Oxford University itself there has spring up a philosophical schi-m which boldly questions the pertensions of the Absolute. Schiller and Rashdall, Struti and others attack the Hegelian stronghold. The Absolute is condemned because it neither satisfies the pholosophical curiosity nor appeals to religious consciousness.

From France and Italy we hear a similar protest from Bergson and Croce. The former repudiating the Hegelian Absolute builds up a theory of the Universe based upon evolutionism. He too condemns intellect as inadequate to apprehend the inner nature of reality. His antagonism to Intellectualism is carried to an uncompromising revolt against even scientific and philo ophical constructions in general. He appeals to intuition as the only means of getting at Reality. Similarly Croce tries to separate what is living from what is dead, in Hegel Thus on all sides this German Idealism is being assaulted. But the most dangerous opponent of Idealism is come in the form of New Realism.

From an unexpected source there has come opposition. The Science of Mathematics whose alleged weakness was the strength of Kantiau Idealism has asserted its own right to challenge metryphysics. The mathematical discoveries of Cantor, Peano, and Frege have once for all reclaimed certain fundamental Mathematical notions such as the concepts of infinity and continuity from the unwarranted criticisms of metaphysicians. As Mr. B. Russell clearly points out, modern Idealism must once for all relinquish its Kantian basis. It can no more depend upon the so called demonstration offered by Kant as to the imposs-bility of real space and time.

This wave of realism is further intensified by the fact that it is intimately associated with modern Science. The traditional Hegelian idealism of the West has been peculiarly adverse to the interest of Science. It may be safety asserted that a system of

metaphysics with does not take into consideration the method and achievement of modern Science is so far self-condemned. No-body can be blind to the claim of Science to be a safe means for revealing truth. Its claim is so wonderfully substantiated by its achievements, that we may say that modern life and modern thought are mainly the result of modern Science. So much so any system of Metaphysics which aspires to secure the open sesame to unlock the secrets of reality must not openly conflict with modern Science. The new realism therefore is in noble company.

When we are aware of this changed attitude in modern thought we are naturally stimulated to examine similar phylosophical attitudes in the past.

What is placed before the students of philosophy herein is due to such a sympathetic scrutiny of the past. The Jaina system of thought is so peculiarly consistent with modern realism and modern science, that one may be tempted to question its antiquity. Still it is a fact, that such a system flourished in India several centuries before the Christian era.

The author of the work who is translated in the following pages lived in the 1st century B. C. Hence it is one of the earliest treatises on Jaina Thought. But the author was not the organizator of this system The anealatavada of the Jains must be certainly older than Mahazura'who is believed to have revived Janism.

The realistic tendency in oriental philosophy is not peculiar to Janism. From the very early days, we find this principle of interpreting life and the Universe running side by side with the idealistic one. During the Vedic period we find nothing but gross form of Realism. The Vedic Gods were but magnified human beings sharing all the weaknesses and foibles peculiar to mankind. When sacrifices were offered to Indra or Agui or Vayn or Varuna with chanting of hymns, there could be no trace of any suspicion as to the reality of the world around. Not only the world of Nature was taken to be real but many of its element were imaged after man. No doubt we find a unifying tendency as an undercurrent of the Vedic thought. No doubt the Vedic Deas were subordinated to the one creative principle of the Universe—Projapati.

But this wonderful period of primitive culture is followed by a barren age of sheer ceremonialism. The period of the Brah-

manas is marked by sacrificial technique. Elaborate formulæ were Invented for the conduct of sacrifices. Ceremonialism took the place of Poetic effusions. This led to the ascendency of the priestly class. The sacrificial master or Yajaman has to engage his priests, paying heavy fees or dakshanas. Religious devotion during this period degenerated into petty commercialism. But this state of things did not last long. While the priests were further elaborating the ceremonial formulæ the work of investigating the true nature reality was taken up by another band of thinkers. By this time the homogenious Aryan tribe split up into different castes. Of these sects the Kshaten as or the warrior class have learned the secrets of Reality. They have introduced a new philosophical cult known as Brhmavidya, The Brhmavidya must have originally referred to certain spiritual intuitions obtained through introspection. Man discovered himself for the first time. The inner spiritual principle, the Atman is taken to be the Reality. It is neither the body nor the senses. It is something behind and beyond the corporeal frame. It is that which hears but is not heard. It is that which sees but is not seen. It is that which makes the operation of the senses possible while itself is beyond sense-apprehension. This spiritual principle was undifferently called Atman or Brhaman. Like the Pythogorians of Greece, the Indian thinkers kept their metaphysical cult as a secret. The Kshatrijas who were the discoverers and custodians of the New Thought imparted it only to the deserving few. This upanishadic cult, for so was it named, soon replaced the earlier ceremonialism. The Juina-kanda superseded the Karma-kanda as the path to Self-realisation Even the priests, discounting their ceremonial technique, flocked to the Royal courts to be initiated into the new mysteries. Thus the age was one of intense discussion, research and collintrospection. During this period again, we have the seeds of the different philosophical systems constructed in the succeeding period. No doubt Yannavalkya is a towering personality of the Upanishadic age. No doubt he attempted reconciliation between the old and the new. In his hands the new wisdom appeared as distinctly monistic. But' that current which is evidently the source of the later Vedantic stream was only one of the many currents of the Upanishadic wisdom. This is very well substantiated by the different systems constructed subsequent to the age. The philosophical systems in India are mainly of two classes, the orthodox and the heretic. The six

Darshamas Purva mimamsa, Uttara mimamsa or Vedanta, Sankhy Yoga, Nyaya Viseshika—constitute the orthodox systems. The here tical systems are Buddhism. Jaimsm, the philosophy of the Châriakas and Brhaspatyas. Of course this classification does not mean anything but that it was made by Brahminical scholars 'orthox' and 'heretical are terms mainly based upon the attitude to wards the Vedas Kapilas Sinkhya is Viristara and is allied to Jaimsm and Puddhi m in its opposition to Vedic Sacrifices. Purva mimamsa or Vedanti rejects the creator and creation as Vynaharic and hence the result of V ja. There is a good deal of truth in the stritement that it is merely Buddhism in disguise. Hence the traditional classification is justified neither by pholo ophical nor religious.

Of these different systems which repere ent the post upanishadic thought the Vedanta alone has become prominent. But students who study impartially the other systems will realise the importance of their contributions to Indian thought and culture

The supremacy of Vedunia over the systems which are to a very great extent realistic is no a thing to congratulate ourselves. For, Realism is generally allied to science and many of the scientific theories pertaining to the constitution of the physical world are associated with these realistic sel ools e.g. the atomic theory of the Ayaya and Viseshika is hools. Idealism even in the West either openly or covertly has been antagonistic to the intere is of Science I ence any attempt to e cape from the hypnotic illusion of a power full Idealism is to be welcomed by impartial students of Science and, Philosophy

It is not possible for us to eximine in detail the several real estic schools of Indian Thought. Hence we shall confine ourselves to Jaina Philosophy which is generally neglected by many and mis understood by the few who attempt to speak on it

JAINA PHISICS

We have referred to the contribution to Indian Wisdom by the Warriors. By their plain living and high thinking they led to the eman-ipation of human intellect from the thraidom of barren ritualism. While the priest craft was engaged in devising sacrifice after sacrifice their minds vere dominated by a passionate desire for solving the

One behind the world of appearance. This dominant phase of monism centres round the court of Ianaha. But there must have been other schools of new Kshatrijs thought. This group of thinkers could not compromise with the traditional thought of the Vedic period. They show a strong bias towards Dualism and Pluralism. They represent the liberal tendency in the new thought itself. Whenever they encountered a conflict between their ideals and the Vedas they did not hesitate to repudiate the authority of the latter. To this left wing of the pioneers of thought we owe the Systems of philosophy such as the Sankhya, the Jaina and the Buddhistic schools. It is worthy of notice here, by the way, "that the greatest intellectual performances or rather almost all the performances of significance for mankind in India have been achieved by men of the warrior caste."

The Sankhya system presupposes two kinds of evistences, Physical and Spiritual, Prakriti and Parusha—rather an infinite number of Purushas. Salvation according to Sankhya consists in the differentiation between the two, matter and spirit. The individual Pursha secures emancipation by recognising its own intrinsic qualities as being distinct from the Prakritic transformations which go to constitute the cosmic evolution. Not only the genuine physical changes but also the pshychological facts such as will, desire and intellect are credited to Prakriti while the Purishas remain passive speciators of the who'e drama, having nothing to do with moral responsibility.

Evidently following the same dualistic tendencies but differing in the last point of moral responsibility the Jamas and the Buddhists worked out their respective systems with a deep religious colour. The Buddhists seem to have overshot their mark. Equipped with the logic of Kshanikavada they reduced the whole world of reality, physical and spiritual, to mere concatenation of sense-qualities having only a momentary existence—a result independently reached after several centuries by Hume. Neither this sensational minism of Sāksa Muni nor the monistic interpretation is favoured by the Jainas. They steer clear of the two extremes. They accept the Purushas of the Sankhyas; but in their hands these Purushas cease to be passive spectators. They are active architects of their own destiny and

^{. &}quot;Philosophy of Ancient India" by Richard Garte

through their own effort obtain final freedom bearing all the wall and moral responsibility for conduct. The Prakrit is also similarly ela borately reconstructed. It is made more definite. It is denied many of its psychological implications. It is identified with Matter in modern scientific sense and it is also given an atomic constitution. It appears under a new name—Pndgals. The term pudgals means matter. The Physical object which has the several sense qualities and which occupies space is also called. Mirita and Ruha, object. In speaking about Pudgala or matter the Jaina thinkers clerily analyse the qualities apprehended by sense perception. The qualities of touch, taste, smell, colour, and sound are generally associated with Pudgala.

But the Physical bodies that are apprehended by sense perception are constituted by aloms or Paramanus. It is this atomic structure of the Universe that is the interesting part of Jain Physics.* The Paramanu or atom is defined quite in terms of modern physics. Though modern physics revolutionised the concept of Atom still it has not completely annihilated it. No doubt an atom is a cluster of electrons as complex perhaps as the solar system itself. But the discovery of radio-activity has not interfered with the laws of Physics and Chemistry based upon atomic conception. The only change that modern Physics has introduced is that Atom is no more simple and basic but complex and perhaps secondary. In spite of this complex nature it does maintain its individuality. No modern physicist will deny that it is the fundamental foundation of the structure of the Physical Universe. It is such an atom or Paramanu that is the lass of Physical structure.

The Paramann is neither created nor can be destroyed. It is the permanent basis of the Physical Universe. The several kinds of Physical objects are all constituted by the same class of primordial atom. The Paramann cannot be an object of sense perception. It is in itself transcending the sense experience and as such it is practically Ameria though it is the basic constituent of all Marta, objects

This primary atom or the material point has an intrinsic attraction to similar atoms. Thus moleculor aggregates are formed by the combination of atoms. These molecular aggregates

[&]quot;This doctrine of a omic structure is also prominent in the Nyo m and Passabila

are called Skandh is. These skandhas are again of infinite variety, you may have a milecule constituted by two atoms or three and so on up to infinite number of atoms. Thus every perceivable object is a skandha and even the whole physical universe is sometimes spoken of missing landstantha or the Great aggregate. The physical objects being aggregates of atoms or skandhas their changes are entirely due to atomic disintegration or aggregation.

THE CONCEPTION OF MOTION

Having accepted the reality of physical object the Jamas initinally accepted the possibility of real motion

Motion has always been an unintelligible perplexity to the Idealist Both in the East and the West Idealistic thinkers have fought shy of this by taking refuge in the conception of Vaya or appearance. It is in the western System of thought that the conception figures prominent. Jeno trying to defend the unchangeable admantine. Absolute of Pirmlindes introduced four injunctible arguments against the reality of motion. These arguments were accepted as injunciable for several centuries. They figure in some from or other in Kantianiantinomies and Hegelian dielectic. Even Bradley draws upon Zeno s wisdom in his attempt to condemn the realities of concrete world to the limbo of appearances. As Ur B Russell points out the arguments are no more irrefurable in the light of modern. Mathematics. Hence Realism need not approach the problem with any misgin rigs. Reality of motion his thus oblained a standing ground in the field of modern philosophy.

What is more important than this is the part played by this concept in Science For Science the world of reality is a system of energies. This dynamic conception of science has given a new dignity to the concept of motion. In spite of the unwarranted caution exhibited by Physicists like E. Wach and Karl. Pearso modern Physics has once again empha is-d the importance and the reality of motion in understanding the mystery of Nature. Always looked upon with suspicion by idealistic metaphysics the doctrine of Reality of motion has been welcomed by Realistic and scient fic. Systems of thought.

But in order to accept the reality of motion of Physical objects the reality of space must be postulated. Thus motion in Jama doctring is intimately associated with space and two other Categories

^{*} This term also occurs in Buddhistic philosophy but with a different meaning

with peculiar nomenclature of *Dharma* and *Adharma* We shall examine the Jaina account of these three Categories

Reality of space is also a crucial doctrine to determine whether metaphysics is indealistic or realistic. Curiously the non Jama Indian systems of thought do not pay any prominent attent on to the problem of space. In fact the more influential Indian system of Philosophy, the Vedanta uses the term Alasa and fierently to denote space and ether. It is the latter meaning that is more prominent It is to the credit of the ancient Jama thinkers that they took a bold attempt to attack the problem and that with very great success fact is perhaps due to their special interest in Mathematic adequate solution of the problem of space and time is intimately con nected with Mathematical Philosophy It is modern Mathematics that has successfully reclaimed once again space and time from the destruc tive dialectic of the id-alistic metaphysics. Space is indispensable to Science and Realistic metaphysics The wonders of modern science are all associated with the reality of Space and what is contained therein Hence to the Indian Realism space cannot but be real Akasa is infinite extension. It accommodates all other real existences On account of this accommodating function it has a portion which is filled with the other real objects This portion is called Lokahasa the world space because it is co extensive with the world or Loka Beyond that there is the infinite empty space which is Suddha Ananta Akasa

The interesting fact about this conception of space is the doctrine that space also is constituted by simple elements known as Akasanii or spacial point. This Akasinii is to space what Pudgala Paramanii is to matter. And each Akasanii is related to each Pudgalani. To borrow a convenient modern expression the class of space points and the class of material points are similar having one to one relation.

The Jaina thinkers elaborately describe the nature of space care fully distinguishing it from matter. It is devoid of the several Physical qualities and as such it is mere extension. It should not be confounded with extended objects.

Matter and space do not exhaust the Physical conception. The Jama thinker pertinently asks the question why the atoms should be kept together constituting the world of Maha Skandha? Why should they not get dissipated throughtout Anantakasa or infinite space? Then

there would be no world. The very fact that the structure of the world is permanent that the world is a cosmo-and not a chaos implies the existence of another principle which guarantees the permanency of the world is structure and the world form. This principle has the function of binding the flying atoms to the worlds centre. Its function then is distinctly inhibitive to ariest the flying atom. This Physical principle is called Adharma or rest. But if Adharma alone were to function in the Universe there would be absolute rest and universal cosmic paralysis, hence the necessity of a counteracting force called Dharma. The function of this is to guarantee free movement for the objects that move of their own accord or otherwise. This principle of Dharma or motion then is merely to relieve the universal inhibition that would otherwise result.

These two principle Dharma and Adharma, of motion and rest are described in terms that are applicable to the modern conception of ether Both Dharma and Adharma pervade through space up to the world limit. They are absolutely non Physical in nature and non atomic and non discrete in structure. The qualities of Pudgala are not found therein. Nor have they the structure of space which is constituted by space points. These two Physical principle are perfectly simple. Therefore they may be spoken of as one or as many. There are spacial and yet are non spacial. They are Americand Araba They are neither light not heavy. They are not objects of sense perception. Their existence is inferred only through their function. Such are the characteristics of these two principles which are distinctly peculiar to Jana Physics.

The movement of Physical objects and of organic beings is due entirely to other causal agency. Organic beings are capable of proportaneous movement and Physical objects move because of impact received from other Physical moving objects. Movement of these things should not be causally traced to the agency of Dharma Similary when the moving objects come to rest rest should not be interpreted as the result of the agency of Adharma Dharma and Adharma are devoid of any kind of direct causal potency. Their function is purely external and indirect. Their neutrality is so much emphasised by the Jaina thinkers that there could be no mistake about their meaning. These are in short the necessary Physical postulates without posting which the structure and form of Physical Universe will be quite unintelligible.

IAINA METAPHYSICS

There are two important concepts in Jaina Metaphysics which are preplexing to students of Jainism, the Astikajas and the Dravyās. The term Astikaja is a compound name made up of Asti and haja which respectively mean existing and extensive magnitude. Astik iya therefore means a real that has extensive magnitude in the other term Dravyā means the real that is fluent or changing. We shall try to explain these two concept in detail

The Astikaya are five in number, Jiva (soul) pudgala (matter), dharma (principle of motion), adharma (principle of rest) and kisa (space). These five build up the Cosnos. Space and matter are di tinctly extended reals. Dharma and Adharma are indirectly related to space. Their operation is in space and is limited by Lokik isa. Thus they may also be considered as related to space. Listly Life is generally associated with body, the organic body constituted by Pudgala or matter. Jina is operative in and conditioned by such a physical medium. In a way there fore Jiva also is related to space. These five existences which have spatiality either directly or indirectly are the five Astikayas. These are the constituent elements of the universe or the world.

KÂLA

hala or time though not an element of the physical universe may be mentioned here. Since change and motion are admitted to be real, time also must be considered real. The real are absolute time as contrasted with the relative time is constituted by simple elements I nown as Kalatius or instants. Instants, points and atoms are the characteristic conceptions of Jaina thought and in this respect it has a wonderful corroboration from the field of modern mathematics.

The Jaina thinkers in distinguishing time from the five Astikijas made use of an important idea Astikaja is spatiality of extensive magnitude. This extensive magnitude is denoted by a technical name—Tirjah Prachaya or horizontal extension

When the simple elements say, the points are so arranged in a series where each term is an item also in another series we must have the two demensional series which will corre pond to sutface or extension. Wherever there is such a Tiryak Prachaya we have Astikaya But time or Kala has only Urdha Prachaya.

elements are in a forward direction. The series is mono-dimensional or linear order. Therefore Kálla has no extension either directly or indirectly. Hence it is not an Astikáya. Though it is not an Astikáya it is distinctly a real entity which accounts for changes in other things.

Such are the characteristics of real time. This should not be confounded with Viacihara Kiila or relative time which is measured by some conventional units of either long or short duration. These conventional distinctions would have no meaning if they are not co-ordinated in a single real time series.

THE SIX DRAVYAS.

The term Dravya denotes any existence which has the important characteristic of persistence through change Jaina conception of reality excludes both a permenent and unchanging real of the Permenidion type and also the mere eternal flux of Haraclites. An unchanging permanent and mere change without substratum are unreal dan impossible abstractions. admits only the dynamic reality or Dravya. Dravya then is that which has a permanent substantiality which manifests through change of appearing and disappearing. Uthata-Origin, Vyayadecay and Dhrouvya-permanency form the triple nature of the Real. To emphasise the underlying identity alone would end redantic conception of this Realas Brahman. To emphasise the change alone would result in the Kshainka Vada of the Buddhist. The reality as a stream of discrete and momentary elements. The Concept of Dravya reconciles both these aspects and combines them into an organic unity. It is an identity expressing through difference, a permanency continuing through change. It corresponds to the modern conception of organic development rather in its Hegelian aspect. It has duration; it is movement; it is the Elan Vital. The five Astikuyas and Kala or time are the six Dravyas or the real existences.

DRAVYA GUNA AND PARYAYA

_

SUBSTANCE, QUALITIES AND MODES.

Having introduced the Jaina conception of the real let us examine the distinctions in the realem of the reals. The dynamic

substanc or Dravya is always associated with certain intrins c and inalienable qualities called Gin as Thus the jellow colour, malle ability, etc., will be the qualities or Gin a of the Dravya gold The Dravyas with its inalienable qualities must exist in some state or form This is its mode of existence or Paryaya. This mode or Paryaya is subject to change. It may be destroyed and a new mode may appear. But this creation and destruction are relevent only to Paryay s or modes and not to Dravyas the constitutive substance. That can neither be destroyed nor created. That is

The approximate parallel conceptions in the western thought will be Spinoza's substance qualities and modes. Of course for Spinoza there was only one substance whereas here we have six distinct substances or Draigs The term attribute is used in a technical sense by Spinoza whereas t means merely the qualities in Jaina Metaphysics Each Dravia or the real has its own ap propriate attributes Matter has the sense qualities of touch taste etc soul has Chetana consciousness and so with the other Dravyas What is the relation between Dravya and Gu a? The reals and their qualities? This is an important problem even in modern metaphysics. There have been two distinct answers proposed by philosophers One ans ver always emphasises the perm nent basis as the real and the other the changing qualities. The former con demns change as mere appearance or Maya whereas the latter condemns the permanent substance as a mere figment of imagination The former school generally ends in agnoticism and maintains that the real is unknown and unknowable. The latter generally ends in scepticism and a veeps away in a flood of doubt the fundamental concepts of life and world of morality and rel g on In India we have Aduasta Vendarta as an example of the former and Bhuddism of the In the West the philosophers like Locke and Kint may be mentioned as representatives of the former school and Hume may be taken as a type of the latter

The problem is once again taken by Bradley who after an acute analysis codemns it to be insolube. Hence according to his own dialectic the thing with its qualities is self-contradictory and there fore an appearance. This is a conclusion which goes clean against science and common sense. Thing bood is not after all a self-

contradictory notion. For the Jains it is a fundamental concept. The thing or Dravya as it is a dynamic entity is always flowing. It has no evistence apart from its qualities which in their tarn are not really distinct from the Dravya. The thing-in-itself apart from all the qualities is merely an empty abstraction. The qualities themselves are not merely momentary fleeting sensations. The thing exists in and through its qualities and the qualities related and organised constitute the thing. The difference between the two is only a difference of reference and not difference of existence; in the technical language of Jaina metaphysics, the anyatra between dravya and guna is only vyapadesamátra. They donot have pradesapraduktra. Hence they are one in reality though having distinct nomencluture and reference.

Paryáya is another technical term demanding careful understanding Paryina means mode of existence. This again is viewed from two different aspects, arthabaryaya and vyanjana-prayaya. We have already mentioned that dravia is but an entity that is continually changing. This triple nature of reality that is permanency through births and deaths, through creation and destruction gives to dravya a characteristic mode of existence every moment. This continuous flow of the real is parallel to the continuous flow of the duration of time. This intrinsic change of drawy is known as arthabaryaya. All the six dravyas have this arthabaryaya. What is vyanjanaparyaya? It is not merely the cross section in the continuous flow of dravia. Vianjanabariana has a pretty fixed duration of existence. Besides the molecular aggregation and disintegration that take place every moment in a physical object, the object may have a particular mode of existence as a pot, for example for a certain duration of time. This barvava of pot is viamanaparyaya of pudgala. Similarly for Jua. The continuous change that takes place in consciousness is Jua's arthaparyaya. Its existence as a particular organism as a man or a deva with determinate age is the vyanjanaparyaya of Jiva's. Thus Jiva and pudgala have both the kinds of paryayas whereas the other four dravyas, have got only arthaparyaya alone. The reals are thus exhausted by the six dravyas with their respective gunas and paryayas,

Since these Dravyas are reals they have satta or astitva or existence as there common characteristic. From this point of view

of satta all the Dravyas may be brought under one class. Though from the class point of view all the dravyas are one still the satta, their common characteristic should not be abstracted and postulated as the unitary substance of which the other Dravyas may be taken as piryayas. This evanta view is condemned as unwarranted and erroneous. The six Dravyas in spite of their common characteristic of satta are fundamental and irreducible one to another.

One more point and we may leave this topic A thing in concrete world is therefore a parya a of anyone of the Dray as It is all of otherwise called artha. In artha or a thing in corporate unity of an infinite number of qualities just as the Cosmos is a system of infinite number of arthas. The one is extensive and the other is intensive, but both are infinite wholes. According to Jaina Philosophy, therefore, a require an infinite thought to apprehend them completely. He who cannot know a thing completely cannot know the world completely and conversely he who cannot know the world completely and conversely he who cannot know the world completely and conversely the who cannot know the world completely artitude of the Jaina thinkers reminds us of Tennyson's lines in, 'A flower in the cranined a all. 'If could know the root and all Could know what God and man is

Having spoken of the Dravyas in general let us try to explain live dravia a little more in detail

JAINA BIOLOGY

Perhaps it is inaccurate to speak of biology in the system before us. The science of biology as such is peculiar to modern age, hence we are not quite justified in expecting such a scientific conception in a work of pre-christian era and which is perhaps of the same age as of Plato and Aristotle. Naturally therefore, the ideas about the organic world are curiously intermixed with various mythic and fanthsitic conception relating to being of Hell and Scarga. Eliminating all the eas irrelevent we still have considerable material to enable us to have an insight into the ancient ideas about life and living beings.

One important conception that would strike the reader in the very beginning is the organic unity of the plant and the animal world Vegetable kingdom is distinctly organic. Its nature was accurately observed and carefully described. The whole plant world is included in the class of organisms having one sense, i.e. the senses of contact. Then the world of animals and insects is class field according to the

same principle of sense organs. The animal world beginning with such insects as earthworm and ending with man is brought under four main groups, organisms, with two, three, four, and five senses. PRANAS.

Associated with organisms there is the Conception of Pranas or the essential characteristics of living beings. These are mainly four. Balaprana, Indriyaprana, Ayuh Prana, and Uchhvasa-nischhvasa Prána. Every organism implies certain capacity of spontaneous activity. This capacity for action is Balaprana or life potency. Every organisms must possess some kind of sense awareness. This implies the possession of a sense organ and the capacity to apprehend the environment through that sense. The number of sense organs is different according to stages of organic development. Next is Ayuli Prâna or duration of life. Every living organism has a limited duration of life. This organic capacity to persist through a certain duration is Ayuli Prana And lastly respiration. There is no organism without this Prant of Uchhvasa-nichhvasa. These four main Pranas are the essential attributes of organic beings.

DIFFERENT KINDS OF BIRTHS.

One other interesting_point is the enumeration of the different means of birth of organisms. Young ones may be produced from Garbha. These are Garbhajas young ones produced from the womb. Then the Andajās, the young one produced from eggs. Thirdly Sammurchana - or spontaneous generation. This refers to minute organisms. And lastly they speak of Uhabadikas in the case of Decas and Narakas The last one of course we may treat as beyond scientific pale. The recognition of spontaneous generation is a point deserving special emphasis.

MICROSCOPIC BEINGS.

Another fact deserving notice is the early recognition of the existence of microscopic organisms. These are called Sukshma Ekendriva Jivas or microscopic organisms having only one sense. These are said to fill the earth, air, water and fire. The possibility of microscopic organisms in fire seems a little too funtastic. But in the case of the other three we need have no hesitation as they are fully established by modern Science.

In this connection it is necessary to point out that H. Jacobi's confecture that Jamisms is very ancient though historically true rests on an

[&]quot;For the verification of this, one must look to the remait of further erlentific invest, mine

unwarranted assumption as to this Jivanikajas. He interprets (vide his translation of T itvarthadhigama) this Jivas in earth air, water and fire in such a way as to suggest that Jainsisms is or was once at least a mand of Indian fetishism which believed in the souls of earth air etc. Then what is the purpose of calling these Iukshma Ehendrija Jivas? What is the menning of this di tinction 1-tween Jiva and agina chefina and aghtha?

The different organisms mentioned above are jivas or souls in association with matter or pidgala. If you look at the system of metaphysics as a whole you have the picture of an infinite number of juas caugh in the vortex of matter. Souls surro inded by a soulless environment This picture is extremely analogo i to the cosmic picture of the Sankhyas An infinite number of purushas submerged in an ocean of brakrits and drifting alone the current of prakritic evolution But here in tend of homogeneous one prakriti constituting the environment of the burushas we have the five ajiva dravyas forming the appropriate stage for the enactment of the spiritual drama of the souls The primary characters are Ji a and Pudgala The others make the things go The whole cosmic evolution is due to the interaction between soul and matter According to Jama thought this entanglement of Jiva in the vortex of matter is Samsara How could achetna pudgala bring about such a calam tous result Pudgala or matter in a very subtle and fine form fills the cosmic space This form of budgala is spoken of as Karma priyogya pudgala-matter fit to manifest as Karma In this cosmic space are also the Jivas Juas by their impurity of heart led to formation of subtle material cocoon or harmic bodies which retard and obstruct the intrins c spiritual radiance of the soul When once this subtle deposit of matter is begun the grosser encrustation of matter and the formation of organic bodies is inevi table according to psycho physical laws Here we have to notice one important point Even such a great oriental scholar like Hermann G Jacobi makes the amb guous and m sleading statement that Karma according to Jains is purely material and he further suggests that since they speak of a combination between Jiva and Karma, Jams asome that soul is of some subtle matter thus making the combination between the two possible This is extremely misleading and far from the truth There is neither combination nor direct causal relation between soul and matter Jains speak of bhava karmas

as distinct from dravya karmas. The former psycholog cal karma is immediate to jira. The latter material is mainly concerned with the building up of the bodies. No doubt the two are a soliated together yet the two are distinct and separate. Jiva is chetana and sarra is Achielna.

This conjoint exitence or samsara is Anadi vithout beginning Through its congenital impurity of the heart soul is thrown into impure environments from time-immemorial though there can be an end to the state of existence. This end consists in securing spiritual freedom and perfection through obtaining the purity of the heart which in its turn leads to the destruction of the material enca ement. Thus the life of the soul in samsara is an infinite series without beginning but with an end which is Moksha.

JAINA PSYCHOLOGY

Jita is the central conception of Jaina system. Its nature is Chelana or consciousness. Jira and Chelana life and consciousness are co extensive. Wherever there is life there is con ciou ness. Even in the lowest class of organisms we have to posit existence of con crousness. But this does not imply that in every living organism there is explicit consciousness. In very many cases consciousness may be latent and implicit. In the loner organisms it is mainly implicit and latent in man generally explicit and in certain exceptional cases of men having higher spiritual development consciousness may be supernormal.

Jiva with its characteristic of Chetana is entirely distinct from Pudgala or matter. It cannot be apprehended by sense perception hence it is Amurta. The qualities which are generally associated with matter such as colour taste etc. have no relevancy in the case of Chetana.

Jama Psychology is thus based upon the metaphysical assumption of J_{I^*A} which is of the nature of Chetana. It is not a Psychology without a soul. This general nature of Chetana or consciousness manifests in two ways Darsana and Java Perception and Under standing. These two modes of consciousness are mainly cognitive or thought elements, Consciousness includes allo emot on and will The effective and constitue elements are also recognised by Jama system. Affective states or emot ons are the general characteristics

of Samsars Jiva or living beings in our ordinary sense. Conduct or behaviour is also assumed to be the natural manifestation of life. Charitra or conduct is also associated with all Samsars Jivas. Thus from the point of view of modern Psychology consciousness has a threefold function and this is also assummed in Jama system.

This tripartite division of consciousness is expressed in another way also. In describing the characteristics of Jiva its Chelana character is said to mainfest not only in Jūnaa, Darsana understanding and perception but also in Karina Chetina and Karinaphala Chetana awareness of action awareness of pleasure pain. The recognition of the threefold aspect of consciousness may be illustrated even from the conception of a perfect being. The characteristics of a perfect being are Anintapiana, Anantaarsana, Anantactrija, and Anata sākha—infinite Knowledge, Infinite perception Infinite power and Infinite Bliss. The other characteristics are irrelevent to our purpose. The first two of the enumerated qualities. Infinite power im plies activity or conation and infinite bliss the hedonic experience. Thus throughout the Jaina account of life the three aspects of consciousness are assumed.

SOUL AND BODY

Every organism or a Samsari Jila is an organic unity of two distinct entitles Jiva and Pudgala, soul and body. Naturally there fore there crops up the problem of the relation between the two Soul is Chetana (consciou ness) Amurta (non corporeal) Aruba (nonsentient) whereas body has the opposite qualities in each case. One may be said to be the contradiction of other. The Dualism is so emphatically expressed here as in Cartesianism.

The term body implies two different things. The gross body that we actually perceive through our senses. This is constituted and nourished by matter taken in the form of food, etc. This body is every moment changing and will be given up by the Soil after a certain period. Besides this gross body there, is for every Jiva a subtle body known as Kurmana Saria. This body is constituted by subtle material molecules known as Karma Pudgala. This subtle body may also be changing. But still it is a necessary and malicinable appendage of Samsari. Jiva. This is transcended only in the perfect state. In discussing the relation between the states of this Karma Saria.

and the states of Ina, Jamism makes an important distinction between Upadana Karta and Nimitta Karta, substantial cause and external cause. Mental states are the modifications of the mind and Physical states are the modifications of matter. Mind is the Upadana harta of psychical states and matter is the Upadana Karta of physical changes and yet physical states and psychical states may be mutually external conditions. The causal activity contemplated here is a bit obscure. One Psychical State is due to the immediately antecedent psychical state and similarly one physical state is the result of its own antecedent Thus mental series in a way is independent of physi cal series. But still a mental change may be externally determined by a physical change and the physical conversely by the mental change The relation between the physical and the mental is purely external. In the technical language of the system one is the Nimita Karta of the other So far as we are able to make out, the meaning seems to be this, a mental change is due to two condition one an Ubudana Karta a mental antecedent and another Nimita Karta a physical antecedent. The mental change is the result of both these autecedent conditions physical and mental. Similarly a change in the body is to be traced to two conditions an Ubadana condition a physical antecedent in this case and a Nimitia condition a mental antecet dent. The system emphasises the causal interrelation between mind and matter even though the interrelation is one of external condition The reason given for accepting this interrelation is the reality of moral responsibility. If there is no causal interrelation between mind and matter why should a person be taken responsible for his conduct. moral responsibility is real, if moral evaluation of conduct is genuine then conduct must be the intimate expression of the personality.

Though the discussion is between Jiia and its Karmana Sarira the discussion and its conclusion may very well be taken as relevant to our problem of the relation between soul and body. The whole discussion may be taken as expressing the views in regard to the wider problem. Soul and body are capable of causal interrelation and a change in one always involves two antecedents, one physical and the other psychical. If causal interrelation is not admitted certainly ethical value will remain unexplained and unintelligible

SENSATIONS AND SENSE ORGANS

The sense organs recognised in the system are the usual five But sometimes Manas or mind is also spoken of as an Indriya

Indrivas in general are of two kinds Dravia Indriva or the physical sense organ and Bhava Indrija the psychical counterpart Sensary awareness is the result of the contact between Dravya Indrija and the physical object sensed. It is assumed of course that only physical objects or Pudgala that can be apprehended by sensation This contact may be direct or indirect. In the case of sight the contact is indirect. The object perceived by vision is not brought in contact with the eyes The objects in space are revealed to us by light or Jyoti It is through being illuminated they are apprehended by vision The exact operation of light on the eyes is not further explained In the case of the other sense, we have direct contact But the direct contact may be Sthula or Sukshma gross or subtle In the case of contact and taste we have the direct contact with the gross object But in the case of smell we have contact with minute particles of the object smelt In the case of sound also we have Sukshma contact But in this case what the ears come in contact with is merely a kind of motion Unlike the other Indian system of thought which assoc ate sound with $\hat{A}kasa$ Jaina system explains the sound as due to the violent contact of one physical object with the other It is said to be senerated by one Skandha knocking against another Skandha Sound is the agitation set up by this knock. It is on account of this theory of sound the system speaks of an atom or Paramanu as unsounding by itself Thus in all these cases the environmental stimulus is either directly or indirectly a physical object. Sense perception is the result of the contact between two physical things Dravyendriya on the one hand and the stimulus from the object on the other hand

ANALYSIS OF SENSATIONS

The next interesting point is the analysis of the different sensations obtained through different sense organs. Through the eyes we have the apprehension of five colours. Visual sensations consist of the five elements or Pancha Varna. But we have '0 note here that sensation of white is also included as one of the colours. In this respect the term Varna or colour is used in its popular sense and not in the scientific sense. Similarly taste is of five kinds, pun gent bitter, sweet, sour and saline. These five tastes are obtained through the tongue which is Rasanendrija. Skin is Sparsanendrija and through it the following eight kinds of cutaneous sensations are obtained light and heavy soft and hard rough and smooth, and

cold and hot sensations, four pairs of opposite senses. These cutaneous' sensations include sensations of temperature, contact, pressure and muscular or kinæsihetic sensations. Sensation of smell is only of two kinds, Sugandha and Durgandha. Sound sensations are of infinite variety. The different kinds of sounds natural and artificial, purposite and non-purposite, articulate and inarticulate, musical and non-musical are spoken of

SENSE PERCEPTION.

What we directly apprehend through a sense organ is not merely particular sensation but the object. Sense perception is known as Darsana. Darsana is the perception of a physical object. Darsana may be Chakshu Darsana and Achakshu Dasana, Chakshu Dirsana means perception of an object through visual sensation. Achalshu Darsana means perception through the other senses. Darsana or sense perception not only implies the passive receptivity of the mind but also the active interpretation of the received stimulus, i. e. Darsana means the complication between the datum and mental construction. This is implied in the description given of "knowledge by acquaintance" or mate Avagraha, Eha, Avaya are different stages of sense perception. Avagraha refers to roughly the datum. But the datum does not mean anything. It is merely the ununderstood patch of colour, e. g in the case of visual sensation. At the presentation of this visual patch there is the questioning attitude of mind which is represented by the term Eha. As a result of this examination we may interpret the object. This interpretation is Avana. In the case of visual perception these three different stages may not be clearly distinguishable. But in the case of auditory perception we may clearly recognise the different stages. Darsana then includes all these three stages, then only is the thing known to us.

[These three stages together with Darsana or recollection constitute the different forms of Mati Jiana But recollection is connected with memory and need not be brought under sense perception.]

In this connection we have to notice one important point. The term Darsana is not confined to sense perception. It is a general term including the sense perception as well as the supernormal perception of other kinds. Two kinds of supernormal perception are generally mentioned by Jaina thinkers, (1) Aradhi Darsana (2)

Retala Darsana Avadhi Darsana refers to the p cultar kind of clarvoyant capacity which is able to perceive things and events in distant places and also in distant times either past or future. Objects and events not evident to the normal sense perception are obvious to Avadhi Darsana. But the objects of Avadhi perception appear as if they are perceived normally close at hand. It is said that Avadhi Darsana is concerned with only Rupa Diary as or perceptual objects. The otter Darsana known as he ala Dirsana is perception par excellence. It is a soc ated with perfect consciousness. This faculty is acquired only after complete emancipation from karmic bondage. To this perfect perception the whole reality is obvious. In short it refers to the all perceiving faculty of Paramatina. What we are justified in speaking of in connection with Jaini psychology are the normal sense p reception (Charbahi Darsana and Achabahi Dirsina and the supernormal clair oyant perception (or Avadhi Dirsana)

JÑÂVA OR KNOWLEDGE

Jain's account of cognition is also intere ting. Juan's or under standing is said to be of different kinds according to means employed in cognition (1) Vati I ma is knowledge obtained through the normal means of sense perception and memory based upon the same This is the common inher trace of all persons (2) Sruta J mais knowledge obtained through te timony of books. This corresponds to knowledge by description It is acquired by study Therefore it is possessed by only the learned men Besides these two means of knowledge there are three other supernormal means of understanding These are Avala J and Mana Pary 1) a Jiana and Kevala J ana Avadhi Jiana is the understanding of the nature of the objects obvious to Aradhi Darsana Paryaya J and refers to a poculiar kind of telepathic knowledge acquired by persons of certain stage of spiritual development is a means by which knowledge of alien minds is obtained. The last one of course refers to the perfect understanding or the omniscience of the Perfect Being or Purushottama Treating this as the metaphy sical ideal we have to recognise the other four kinds of cognition as relevant to our psychological interest

AFFECTION

Affective consciousaness plays a very important part in Jaina metaphysics. The whole religious discipline is directly secured by

a stoic freedom from the affective influence of environmental objects. Experience of pleasure, pain, is assumed to be the specific characteristic of organised beings or Samsári Jivas. In one of the descriptions given of Jiva it is mentioned that Jiva has the tendency to continue beneficial activity from which pleasure results and to discontinue the harmful activity from which pain results. This is so very analogous to biological description of the instinct of self-preservation. Jiva equipped with this quality naturally desires pleasant things and avoids unpleasant things.

Since the psychological analysis is subordinate to the metaphy setal system several facts of psychological interest are thrown into the background of the philosophical scheme. Nevertheless there is no mistake about the striking psychological analysis exhibited by Jana thinkers Experience of pleasure and pain, is generally referred to as Karma-phala Chethana or consciousness of the fruits of action. Pleasure and pain are always viewed in relation to action.

Bhāva or affective consciousness is of three kinds, Sābha Bhāva, Asubha Bhāva, and Sudāha Bhāva Feeling of pleasant nature, feeling of an unpleasant nature, and feeling of pure nature. The last one refers to the enjoyment of Self by Self. As such it may be taken to ment the spiritual experience of the pure Self. The other two kinds of the feeling are relevant to the point. These are corresponding to the normal feelings generally recognised by students of psychology. These feelings are generally related to certain objects in the environment to which there may be attraction or aversion in the Jiva. Thus on the one hand feelings manifest as the result of Karma or action and on the other hands they are determined by objects in the environment.

A very interesting classification of emotions is given in connection with the conditions of Kārmic bondage. These emotions are generally divided into two main classes Sakashāy 1, and Akashāya those that have the tendency to colour or stain the purity of the soul and these that have not that tendency. The Sakashāya ones are Krodha, or anger, Māna or pride, Māya or deceitfulness or dissembling and Lobha or greed. The Akashāya emotions are

Hásya-laughter.

Rati-feeling of attraction.

Arati-feeling of repulsion.

Soka-sorrow

Bhaya-fear

Jugupsa—feeling of disgust which may manifest in hiding ones own weaknes es

Strueda-peculiar sex feel ng of women

Pt msat eda -- peculiar sex feeling of men

Napumsaka Veda-The corrupt sex feeling of eunuchs

Again certain instinctive tendencies are also referred to as Sam inus These are Ahora Bhaja Maithina, and Parigraha—hunger, fear, sevual appetite and acquisitive instincts. There are corresponding feelings to these instinctive appetites which may colour the consciousness of a Jiva

The feeling aspect of sensations is implied in the very classification of the sense elements. The feeling aspect is predominent in the case of smell and test whereas it is indirectly associated with auditory and visual sensations. The rest of the references to feeling of pleasure pain are purely metaphysical and therefore they are more of religious interest than of scientific interest.

CONATION OR THE CONSCIOUSNESS OF ACTION

Atma is not only Joans and Bhokta the knower and the enjoyer but is also a Karta or the agent. This may be considered as the centeral idea of Jaina system. Soul by its own activity is able to make or mar its own destiny. The Theory of Karma is intimately associated with the causal agency of Atma. As the result of this metapy sical assumpt on we have several facts of psychological importance mentioned in the System. Even in the lowest organism there is the tendency to continue pleasurable activity and to discontinue painful activity. This primitive tendency of life or Jiva is just the conative activity which develops into conscious choice of an end or purpose which is the characteristic of volutional activity. In human beings this conative tendency is naturally associated with raga and dresho, desire and aversion

Conative activity in general is denoted by the term Karmachetana The harma chatana or consciousness of activity is to be associated with the Zoological Kingdom—Trasa Jivas The plant world or the world of Ekandrija Sihavara Jivas is devoid of this Karma Chetana They have Karma Phala Chetana alone whereas the other Jivas have

both and also Juana Chetana to boot The importance of volitional activity is clearly testified by the part it plays in the Jaina System of ethics. The psychology of will is also connected with another doctrine of psychological importance. Mohaniya Karma which is considered to be the root of all evil has two aspects cognitive and conative. What is known is Drasana Wohaniya interferes with the faculty of perception and belief. Charitra Mohaniya is a sort of corruption of the will, it misleads the will and thus leads the Jiva towards evil. We shall consider the relation between Karma and Alma when we go to consider the ethical aspect of Jaina system. In the meanwhile let us see whit Jaina logic is

IAINA LOGIC

UNDER this head we have to consider the following three points -

- 1 Pramana
- 2 Nasa
- 3 Sabtabangi

Pramana and Naya refer to understanding (Pramanana) at radhigamaha' knowledge is through Pramana and Vaya Pramane refers to the apprehension of reality or valid knowledge Naya refers to the different aspects of considering things. These are the two means of enriching knowledge Saplabangs refers to the theory of predication which is peculiar to Jains System

Pramana is of two kinds Pratzarsha Pramana and Paroksha Pramana,—Immediate Apprehension of reality Ordinarily the term Pratzarsha refers to sense perception. This ordinary meaning of the word is considered secon dary and subordinate by Jaina thinkers. They call it Vyavaharika Pratzarsha The real Pratzarsha is known as paramirthika pratzarsha or that which is apprehended by Atma immediately and directly. According to this view sense perception is indirect and mediate, for the sensory object is apprehended by Atma only through the medium of sense organs.

Pramanas in general are five — Mati, Sruti, Avadhi Manahparyay i, and Ketala These five are already explained in connection with cognition Of these Mati and Sruti are considered Paroksha Pramanas. The other three are cosidered to be Praty iksha Pramanas.

There is one interesting fact about these Pramanas The standard of reality is distinctly experienced in its normal and super normal aspects The normal experience would be Mati It and , the super normal experience would include A-adhi Manahbaryaya and Kevala These four would constitute direct knowledge by the Self, but our experience is also enriched by the testimony of others. Therefore the testimony of others transmitted through literature is also cosider ed as one of the Pramanas This is Sruta Jana This is not given the superemment place which it has in the other Hindu systems of thought The Ved is form the ultimate Pramana for the Brahmanical systems Every other principle of knowledge is subordinated to the Vedic revelation which itself must be implicitly accepted But the Jamas recognise Sruta Jana as only one of the Pramanas and even then it is only subordinate Direct and Immediate Apprehen sion is the ultimate standard of truth. The Pramanas are all distinctly human and they are not considered to be eternal. It is this humanistic element in the system that is specially interesting Three of these nive Pramanas have the possibility of being corrupted by adverse psychological conditions. Thus they will become misleadignor corrupt Pramanas or Pramanabhasas Thus Mati Juana may become Kumati This evidently refers to illusory and hallucinatory percep tions and erroneous inferences Srute may become Kutrute This would be feeding ones intellect with fictitious philosophy and unreliable literature False and misleading clairs oyance is the corrupt form of At idhi which is technically called Vibhanga Janna, hence right bramanás would exclude these three corrupt forms of Kumati Kuéruli, and Vibhanga But in the case of the other two Pramanas there is no such psssibility of falsification Manahparyaya is the supernormal ficulty acquired after great spiritual development and Kerala is the Ideal reached after complete emancipation. Hence in these two cases there is no chance of extraneous interference. The right forms of the former together with the latter two constitute the Pramanas

I rom the short enumeration of the Pramunas given above it is clear that it e Jaina doctrine of Pramunas is slightly different from that of the Hindu Systems in general. The Pram inas such as Fratjaksha, Anumdana, Ufamania Sabha etc. which are variously stated by the various systems of Hindu Philosophy are all

comprehended by Wati Juana and Sruta Juana Even in these two cases objective corroboration seems to be the most important criterion of the true Pramants In addition to these two normal sources of Knowledge they recognise the other three supernormal sources Thus they recognised not merely the intellect but also the higher intuition which Bergson emphasises Bergson is no doubt right in placing intuition over intellect. Intellect is the analytic process of understanding things Hence it shares the artificial nature of the process of analysis It is no doubt incapable of accounting for the vision of artist or the poet The Daemon of Socrates and the Christ of St Paul are quite beyond the pale of intellectual analysis. The Reality like the Proteus of ancient myth slips out from the grin of intellect but is quite evident to the supernormal intuition. The existence of such a supernormal faculty in man we have an inkling of, through the lifting of the veil by recent psychic re earch. The normal personality is but a fraction of the total personaldy which is more of the subconscious nature. It is the sub conscious sell that seems to be the storehouse of spiritual power and wisdom One who has learnt to tap the resources of this hidden self, becomes a genious in the field of art or morality. To him is given the open seasame to unlock the secrets of the universe. A philosophy of knowledge therefore must necessarily take cognisance of such a supernormal intuition

But to recognise this is not to deprecate the intellect altogether According to Bergson what is revealed by the intellect is quite untru tworthy. Such a cummary condemnation of the intellect would be an unwarrented impeachment of modern. So ence. Intuition apotheosised at such a cost would be no more than a philosophical fetish. A more reasonable attitude would be to recognise both the intellect and intuition as adequate means of apprehending the nature of reality so long as they have corroboration by objective evidence. Rationalism which could not sacomodate any suppernormal faculty and mysticism which could not stand the glare of Reason both are inadequate representation of the full nature of human personality whose powers are inevhaustible and whose depths are unfathomable. The Jaina doctrine of Pramanas is able to accommodate both from the olivest to the highest in the order of gradation.

Ji ana and Jaeva

KNOWLEDGE AND THE OBJECT OF KNOWLEDGE

The recognition of the distinction between the Pramanas and Pramanubhasas implies in important philosophical principle-The existence of an objective reality which is beyond and beside know ledge Knowledge is not the only form of reality. If that be the case Jama philosophy would not be different from Advasta whole philosophical clam as an independent system of thought rests on the admission of the independent existence of the objective universe besides consciousness. The world of objective reality is apprehended by perception or darkana and understood by intellect or Jiana which two are but the manifestations of chetana the intrinsic nature of the soul. No there in the Jai a system is it even casually implied that the object of knowledge is in any way modified or interfered with by the process of Knowing order that Darsana may reveal the form and Jaana may discover the nature there must be an object postulated an object which is logically prior to the intellectual process. This postulating of an independent object of Knowledge should not be interpreted to imply the passivity of the intellect The continuous activity of the Jira or soul is the central doctrine of Jama thought Hence the intellect is an active manifestation of consciousness but this activity has the power of revealing its own nature as well as non chetana objects beyond Thus the term Jueya or the object of knowledge includes both the self and the non-self mental facts as well as physical facts The example of a light is very often brought in 10 illustrate the nature of knowledge. Just as light reveals itself as well as other objects which are illuminated so also Jianz reveals the tattvas both Jiva and Ajiva Hence it would be quite inconsistent to interpret the relation between J and and Jheya know l-dge and its object in any other way that would make both insepar able elements of any higher units. No doubt as far as Jiva or soul is concened the relation between Jhana and Jiesa is very intimate The soul is J ani the possesser of J ana or knowledge. There can be no Jiva without Jiana for without it he would be achetana and indistinguishable from other ajita dracyas, and there could be no knowledge without Jiea for being foundationless and off its moorings from life it will cease to have connection even with consciousness

Thus Jūána and Jūáni, knowledge and self are absolutely inseparable though distinguishable by name. But this very name Jūáni may also become Jūza-padārtha the object of knowledge to his own Jūāna The, Jūāni, Jūāna and Jūera, the self, knowledge, and the self as object of knowledge all become different aspects of a single concrete unity.

But Knowledge or Jūāna is also related to ajīva padārthas that is, physical objects can also be Jūeya padārthas,-When physical objects are the objects of Knowledge the relation of Knowledge to its object is not the same as in the previous case that between Knowledge and self as object of Knowledge. Juana is distinctly alien to ajira padarthas though these become as Jueya related to Juana or The function of Juana or knowledge here is to reveal Knowledge the airea badarthas in their true nature as achetna or physical. How could chetana reveal the nature of achetana things? This question is rejected as unreasonable for the simple reason that it is unanswerable for the question means why Jn ina should have its Jnana nature. That Juana though alien to the nature of physical object-these latter being achetana can still be related to them and reveal their nature to Juani or the knowing self-is taken as the fundamental postulate of Jama Epistemology.

Thus the close study of the philosophical foundation of Jaina Epistemology reveals the following two facts.

- (1) The relation between knowledge and its objects, Jaana and Jacya as far as agina padarthas are concerned is purely one of external relation.
- (2) As a corollary of the first we have the independent existence of Jūgya padārthas or objects of knowledge, of course with the exception of self, which has an internal relation to Jūūna or Knowledge.

The distinction between internal relation and external relation requires explanation. The Russell Bradley controversy as to the nature of relations is an interesting though an intricate topic of modern philosophy. But here we cannot deal with it in detail. It is enough to indicate what the terms mean. Bradley-Bosanquet school of modern idealism following the traditions of Hegal assume that all relations are grounded in the nature of the terms related. That is, the terms apart from the relations and the relation apart from

the terms will not be the same A and B having a relation R cannot be the same A and B if they cease to have that relation R Change or cessation of a particular relation will lead to change or the nulli fication of the terms so related A blind faith in this metaphysical doctrine has constrained the Hegelian Idealist to subscribe to many an absurd doctrine The terms related to one another since their nature is tyrannically controlled by this relation are to be in terpreted a, members or elements of a higher organic unity The members of a family therefore are the elements of the unity of family Society itself is an organic unity like plant or an animal body having as its elements the different human personalities who constitute the society. Nay, even the whole universe is conceived as an organic unity or system having as its members both things and persons The logical result of this doctrine is the complete subordination of human personality to this fetish of a higher unity beside which there is notling real Every thing is degraded to the level of appearance and unreality The political and moral consequences of such a metaphy sical doctrine need not be portrayed in detail. It is enough to say that the catastrophe whi h destroyed the European civilisation is the necessary consequence of the culture and social organisation inspired by the phil sophy of the Absolute

But we have a healthy change introduced into modern thought by the invaluable contributions from B Russell He the upholder of the opposite doctrine of external relations sufficiently exposed the inadequacy and the falsity of the rival doctrine. According to him two terms A and B may have a relation R and yet the nature of the terms may not be affected by the change of the relations To exhibit the truth of the controversy we may cite the following illustration which is very useful to the reader though crude You may have for example a chair by the side of a table The two are in a certain spatial relation say the chair is to the south of the table If the relation is changed, (i e) if the chair is placed to the north of the table then according to the doctrine of internal relations both the terms the chair and the table must undergo change in their nature because of a change in the relations. This seems absurd to the unsophisticated observer. In this case he knows fully well that there is no change in the things themselves except the change of position To persist in the belief that the things do change in consequence of the change of position is merely to surrender one's

own reason to the false gods of philosophy Russell holding the doctrine of external relations maintains that the things do not change their nature inspite of the change of postion. This has an important and refreshing consequence. You may have a society of human beings without degrading the personalities to fractions of a unity or to appearance of a reality. And the one consequence that is relevent to us in this connection is that the relation of Knowledge to its object need not amount to the postulating of a higher unity of which these two are aspects. If that were the case this alleged higher unity must have as its members both persons and things chetana and achet ina dravyas. The fundamental doctrine of Jainism like that of the Sankhya is the distinction and the alienability between Jii and ajiva

This short digre sion into modern European thought we had for the following reason. The authors of an Epitome of Janism'' in trying to evpound the doctrine of Sysdwada attempt to make out that Janism is a bold Idealistic interpretation of the univer e as a set off against the Realistic method. We are not going to quarrel about a name. Janism may be characterised idealistic or realistic according to one sown trists so long as the terms are clearly defined. But what we are concerned with is just the exposition of the doctrine of Syadwada. Speaking of the ordinary way of thinking of ajieas, the authors say.

"They are continually betraying the phenomenal changes when brought into relation with other existences around them. How, then, can we think of them as individual things in spite of the changes? The answer often unhesitatingly forwarded by philosophers is that we can combine diversity with unity in our conception of things by thinking them as individual entities each endowed with manifold qualities. They are substances according to philosophers which possess various, properties such as extension, solidity, weight, colour etc. Or they are substances or subjects to whom belong the capacities of sensation, feeling and perception etc., But a careful ob-ervation will show that such a device obviously fails to give us any real apprehension of existence—even though it may be the simplest individual existence, because in trying to give unity to a member of unconnected determinations by ascribing them to a common substance what we really do is to add to these determinations another?

1

equally isolated and unconnected with the rest. Take away the other determinations, what will be left of your substance? It is impossible to explain the known by the unknown So to apprehend the real unity of different qualities or to put in other words, to think them as one, what mind demands is, that we should think or have grational notion of the relation of each to each and that we should discern how the existence of any one involves the existence of all the rest and how all are so connected with this particular quality would not exist except in and through the whole to which it belones To catch hold of such substance and not substratum to Locke had meant we must discern the principle from which this manifoldness of parts and properties necessarily arises and which has its very existence and being in them and linking together in thought differences which spring out of it Such unity of substance is really a unity in difference which manifests itself and realises in these differences

" In the realm of mind or in the spiritual life of conscious beings also, there are undoubtedly infinite multiplicity and diversity, but we must not overlook the fact that it is a multiplicity or diversity which is no longer of parts divided from each other but each of which exists and can be concerved of by itself in isolation or segregation from the rest or in purely exterenal relations to them contrary, the multiplicity or diversity is that of parts or elements each of which exists in and through the rest and has its individual being and significance only in its relation to the rest or each of which can be known only when it is seen in a sense to be the rest. We cannot, for example, take the combination of two external independent things in space and employ it as a representation of the relation of mind and its objects for though thought be distinguishable from the object, it is not divisible from it. The thinker and the object thought of are nothing apart from each other They are twain and yet one The object is only object for the subject, the subject for the object They have no meaning or existence taken individually and in their union they are not two separate things stuck together but two that have lost or dissolved their duality in a higher unity" ("An Epitome of Jainism" pages 106 109)

The subject and the object merging into a higher unity sounds more like-idealstic rhetoric than philosophical logic. Here we have an echo of Bendley and Bosanquet. The authors have drunk deep of Hegel but they have not discerned what is living and which is dead in Hegel. No doubt the Jaina Conception of Dravya is closely allied to the Hegelian dialectic but the Jaina metaphysics does not contemplate the Hegelian absolute. The Authors who do not spare the Indian Absolutist, Sankara, for his misunderstanding of Syadvada do not hesitate to make obsisance to his western counterpart. This inconsistency is quite glaring and the misrepresentation of Jaina doctrine is all the more surprising as it apparently proceeds from Jaina writer a more careful study of their own system and a little less of that hypnotic ill ision by and the blind adoration to the German Idealism would have enabled our authors to see that the System they expound is a bold and masterly refutation of the philosophical Absolution of more philosophical Absolution of more philosophical Absolution of more philosophical Absolution of many processing their processing of the philosophical Absolution of many processing the processing of the philosophical Absolution of many processing the processing proces

JAINA LOGIC.

NAYAS

The next topic relating to Jaim Logic is about 'Nayas' This is the second means of understanding things the first being "Praminas". All concrete things are extremely complex, they have innumerable qualities, and relations. The Reals being such complex entities, they may be examined from different aspects. This apprehension of a thing from a particular point of view is known as naya—an opinion or an assertion from some one aspect. Every aspect of a thing in its own way reveils the nature of that thing. Hence Naya is a means of insight into the nature of Reality. Theoretically the possible Nayas are infinite in number since the Reals have infinite qualities and relations. But writers on Jaina Logic generally speak of seven (3) different Nayas. These are Nayama, Samgraha, Vyatahata Rijusutra, Sabda, Samabhrudha and Beambhuta. Let us try to explain these in order.

NAIGAMA NAYA

This Naya seems to be somewhat obscure and is therefore differently interpreted by the Scholars Puysapada in his commentary on Sútra 35 of Bk 1 Tatitartha Sutra, explains the Naya thus Vuigams: I sthat which relates to the purpose or end of a course of activity. The illustrations given are—

- (1) You see a person carrying water, firewood and other necessives for cooking meals and ask him "what are you doing?" "I am cooking meals" he replies. This answer refers to the purpose or end of a series of activity. The person is not actually in the act of cooking at the time of the answer.
- (2) The Second illustration refers to a person who goes with an axe When he is asked what he is about he replies 'I am to bring a wooden measure (Prastha)" He is to cut a piece of bamboo perhaps and make a prastha out of it. Here again this measure is only the purpose or end to be realised.
- (3) In each of the two examples "Odana" and "Prastha" "lood and "measure there is a central purpose which gives meaning to a course of exploit of some duration. The course of conduct is represented by different moles of activity at different stages. In

spite of this difference, the whole series and also every individual item tend to vards the ideal aimed at So far therefore the general purpose or sum may be said to be present in all the different stages of the cour e of conduct. It is the general purpose that gives meaning to the different items of the series and connects them into a whole. This emphasis on the teleological element which is immanant in a course of purpo ive activity seem to be Vaigama naya point of view.

The same interpretation with the same two illustrations of cooling and making a mensure is adopted by SrataSigara, the author of a Vritti on Taitvaritha' called after him Sratasagare, am The same illustrations are again found in Prame, a Kamala Martanda a treatise on Logic

This Naigama Vaya is further sub-divided into three according to the true relations of the teleological and interpreting idea. The ivo illu rations refer to some present course hence they come under—

- (1) Variamana Naigama But there may be looking back to a past event On the morthing of Desparali day you may say 'To day is the Parinteriana Rala of Lord Mahavira. But Lord Mahavira does not attrin Nireana on that day which you are activilly speaking about The event took plice several centuries ago. Yet it was on a corresponding day of that year Because of this correspondence an event true of the day centuries ago is also associated with all such corresponding days of the subsequent years. Thu we speak of the King's birth day, the Darbar day every year. The assertion has meaning only because of a past event. This characteristic attribute of the present—the genuinely belonging to the past yet transferred to the pre ent, because of an identical relation between the two is pertaining to
- (2) Bhuta Naigama (Past Naigama) Instead of looking back to the past you may look forward to a remote future. Instead of detecting in the concrete present "one" element which was once associated with it, you may discover in it something which is jet to be. At the sight of a prince you may ha! Here-comes His Royal Highness. The Prince is but Lion of the Royal family. He is not yet King but is going to be on. Similarly you may speak of every Bhavya Jiva a good soul as Siddha Jiva, a perfect Soul. For somehow in

the far off future Perfection will be the goal of all, for every one is God in the germ.

Such an assertion is true according to Bháva Naigama-future Naigama

The other way of interpreting this Naigama Naya is associated with Siddhasena who is quoted by Hermann Jacobi under his trans lation of the Sutra 33 (referred to above) of Umasvdti's Tattvartha Sri Deva Suri who is quoted by Hallishena in his Syadvada Hanjari also adopts this second view. But curiously this is not so very prominent in Umasvatis own Bhashy a, any ho v this method of inter preting the Naya starts with the examination of the relation between the universal and the particular, Samany a and Vishesha For this Nya ya and Vaiseshika systems are referred to as adopting this Naigama Naya in an ekanta manner (i e) These two system adopt this Naya so for as they go, but push it to an unwarranted length and Vedanta deny altogether Veseshas-particulars Buddhism denies-Samanya universal outright Against these two extremes the above systems recognise the importance of both. The universal by itself or the particular by self will not be able to account for a concrete thing These will be empty abstractions. Again one cannot be derivative from and secondary to the other. The thing is an organic unity of both Samanya and Visesha universal and There can be to (Samania) universal apart from the particular and no particular (Visesha) apart from the universal and there can be no real thing apart from either. This seems to be the fundamental Jama view of the Real

The very same view is said to be adopted by the Nayyayika's and the Veseshkas. Therefore both the Jainas and the others adopt the Nayama point of view. But wherein the Jainas differ from the others? It is here. No doubt Nayyayikas and Veseshkas adopt the Nayama view by maintaining that the concrete thing is the complex made up of the universal and the particular (Samanyas and Vishs shai). No doubt they maintain that these two are different and therefore distinguishable. No doubt they believe each is in itself primary and not derivative. So far they agree with the Jainas. But while the Jainas believe that the distinction between Samanya—universal and Visisha—priticular, is true only in a relative was the Nayayikas and the Visishakas maintain that, it is absolutely true

Samanya is quite different and distinct from Viserha. It is because of this absolute difference between the two that in their hands this Naya becomes Naigamabhasa. They are kathanchit bhinnah and not atyanta bhinnah.

- After explaining thus the Naigama Naya, Sri Deva Suri enumerates three species of this Naigama distinction.
- 1.—Differentiating two qualities one from the other. e. g. existence and thought are in soul Sat chaitanyam atmani." Here Thought is differentiated from existence.
- Differentiating two substances, e. g. Dravya is that which manifests through things and their modes,
 - " Vastu paryayayah Dravyam."
- Differentiating a thing from its attribute., e. g. a sensual person has only a momentary pleasure. " Kshavarnekam Sukhi Vishayasaktajira."
- Thus (1) existence is spoken to be separate from Thought, (2) a thing from its mode and (3) a person as different from his pleasure. Contrast in all these cases is true only in a relative way. As we saw above the very same illustrations are reproduced by H. Jacobi in his translation.

But when we attend to Mallishena, we find evidently both the interpretations given in his Sjadvada Manjari. He begins by explaining Naigama Nata in the same way as Deva Suri or Siddhaseng does. He refers the readers to an earlier portion of his book. When there is a discussion of the relation between Samanya and Visesha. Hence he does not want to add anything further under this Naya and ends the passage by mentioning two well-known examples given in Pravachana the Divine word What the two examples? He just mentions two names; and they appear to be cryptic. But this need not be altogether helpless. His words are Pravachana Prasiddha Nilayana Prastha dristantadura, etc., Nilayana and Prastha-are the words here. In Puisabada we have Odana and Prastha. Instead of food and a measure we have a house and a measure. The rest is quite clear. illustrations leave us in no doubt as to the meaning of the Naya. House-building or making a measure refers to the purpose or the ideal. It relates to "Samkalba mátra" as Puivabáda save.

The next question we have to face is How does Mallishera manage to give one explanation and to bring in the illustrations pertaining to the other interpretation? Here we must confess we are driven to conjecture We do not know wherefrom he is quoting the examples It may refer to an another from whom both Pujyapada and Wallishena draw their inspiration What justi fication is there for Mallishena's attempt to bring the two views together? The teleological element or purpose may be taken to be the common basis for both the views. In the case of house building, or measure constructing the thing which is to be the Goal is indicated by the purpose of the individual. This purpose embodies the ideal nature of the thing which is the concrete realisa tion of the same. Similary the distinction between the universal and particular is purely teleological. What is particular from one point of view may be universal from another In fact the particular is drawn out of the universal. It is through the medium of the particular that the universal expresses its nature If you remember this point then it is clear to us that the distinction entirely depends upon the purpose in view It is this purposive nature that brings the two views together. What are apparently divergent have this common foundation Perhaps Vallishena had this in his mind when he interpreted the Naigama one way and illustrated it in the other way. This compromise > offered as a provisional suggestion

(ii) Sanigraha Naya The next Vaya is the class point of view. The nature of things as understood by the Jaina System is such that there is a similarity and identity, among a number of individuals.

These individuals naturally fall into appropriate classes. When we consider them as individuals belonging to a class our attention is directed to the underlying similarity to the exclusion of their individual and proper characteristics. From this underlying principle of classification we may consider the individuals as a whole and a Unity. Here again the unity is only relatively true. The unity here rests on the underlying similarity among the number of individuals brought under the same class. But there is a great danger in forgetting the elementary fact of this class point of view. The individuals forming the class though spoken of as a whole with unitary class are really distinct from one another and may be really

differentiated by not only their intrinsic natures but also by intervals of Space and Time. To emphasise the unity at the cost of the plurality and difference would be a distinct metaphysical error. It is this erroneous application of Samgraha Naja that accounts for the system of Advata Vedunta. Too much emphasis on the unity and the complete ignoring of the diversity is the charac ristic of this system. A similar mistake is found in its western counterpart of Hegelian. Idealism. Both agree in condemning the differences as appearances and Naya and in accepting the ultimate absolute as the one reality.

But Juna thinkers noticed very early both the utility as well as the danger of this Samgrana Naja. This class point of view is quite useful and rational in its own was. It contributes to economy of thought be enabling us to deal with a number of things as one

This is not merely justified by practical convenience but also by the philosophical principle of the common nature of the common nature by itself is but an abstraction. Hence to set it up as the reality and the only reality is doubly erroneous. So long as its relative nature is remembered the Naya has its own uses. But in the hands of the Samkhjas and mimansikas it becomes absolute and thus a Nayabhasa.

This Samgraha Naya is of two kinds. Para Samgraha or the ultimate class view and Apara Samgraha or the inferior class view. Every existing thing partakes of the nature of Reality. Hence we may spea kof all things as one in the Ultimate Reality or Existence But the different classes of things living and non living included in this ultimate. Reality may Themselves be spoken of as different classes. This is Apara Samgraha or the inferior class view.

(iii) VYAVAHÂRA Vyavahara Naya ments the popular and conventional point of view, which rests on sense perception of the concrete present. This is the basis of the ancient materialistic systems of the Charrukas and Brahaspatjas. The whole Criterion of Reality is the Concrete present. The forgotten past and the far off future are unwarranted myths not justified by the only pramana of sense perception. Looking back into the past through memory and keeping into the future through ideal forecast are

philosophical uucertainties. The same applies ito the categories obtained by Intellectual analysis such as Samanya and Visesha Universal and particular

Sense perception reveals to us a tree or a stone or a pot or a cloth These are the real things supported by the Pramanas and sanctioned by Vyavahara or convention Whoever has perceived at any time either Samanya or Visesha? Why should philosophers trouble themselves about these metaphysical abstractions. The concrete reality of things is sufficient for our practical life and what is justified by this pragmatic criterion is so fur theoritically true

Here again the Jaina thinker recognises the partial truth of the principle. The tree in the compound the stone on the path way, the pot with water and the cloth you were are all real things. They are not appearance or tilusions of Vaya Their reality is corroborated by our concrete experience. To say this much is certainly acceptable and true. But to go beyond to condemn everything that is not included in the concrete present to deny the past and the future and to reject the philosophical categories in tolo is to surrender reason to sense perception is the apotheosis of convention. Hence Jaina thought rightly rejects the unwarranted exaggeration of this Vyaia hara. Naya though it recognises in it the soul of goodness and an element of parisal truth.

(iv) RIJU SUTRA This Riju Sitra is the extreme opposite of the Sa graha Vaya The latter denies all difference whereis Riju Sutra demes all continuity and identity Reality is concentra ted to mathematical present [t is purely momentary In this respect it is still narrower than the viatah iric present. At least for viava hira view there is a tolerable duration, for, the present and the conventional things are real so far But according to this Riju Sutra Nay 1 a thing is what it is in the present mathematical moment. To speak of duration of a thing is rejected by this view as an unwarranted as<umption What we are absolutely sure of is just the present moment. The past moment is no more and the next mo ment is not set. Hence a thing as being in the no more or in the not yet is sheer contradiction. If it is real at all it must be in the present moment. We at once recognise the identity between this Riju Satra view and Buddhistic metaphysics Its aim is as that of Buddhism to expose the pretensions of an unchanging

mataphysical substratum of things. As a corrective to such a conception of changeless substratum Buildhist metaphysics adonts Riiu Sutra view and brings the centre of gravity to the present moment. Thus it enables to secure the balance between change and permanence. Change partakes of the nature of time duration shares with it the ephemerality. There is some truth in maintaining the reality of change and in concentrating it to one moment over emphasise the neglected element of change as a set off against Vedanta and to secure a halitation for it in the camp of Reality is certainly a commendable metaphysical venture. But to identify reality with mathematical moment, to emphasis change as the only real and to make it live in a metaphysical void is to overshoot one's mark. It is this erron-ous and uncalled for accent on change to the detriment of the relating and the unifying principle of Reality without which, change will have no meaning. It is this Riju Sutrabhash a that the Jaina system asks us to beware of. If this principle is the sale criterion of Reality, then reality would end itself by committing suicide to employ a suggestive phrase of Bradley's

(v.) Subda-Nava or the implication of Terms or names. The name has the function of calling to our mind, the particular object which is referred to or implied by the name. Of sourse the implication need not necessarily be an individual object. An attribute, a relation and action may be referred to by appropriate words in the language Thus the grammatical distinction of terms into parts of speech has an underlying logical foundation. The particular kind of meaning is associated with a particular part of speech. Thus the difference in meaning corresponds to the difference among the terms. Thus a sort of intimate relation exists between a term and its meaning. Variation in the term may introduce a corresponding variation in the meaning. Thus not only the difference of the parts of speech implies a broad difference among the meanings but also the inflexional variations in the same part of speech may be said to have corresponding variations, however, slight they be. This principle of correspondence between the terms and their meanings is the foundation of the science of grammar. We have already mentioned that there is a relation to logic implicitly present in this grammatical principle. Indian grammarians in their discussion of serbal implications very often pass becomd their leguimate sphere and enter into logical and quasi-m-taphya cal discuss one. Such

an evaggerated notion about the verbal implication would be not only illogical but also conflicting with common sense and convention. Jaina logicians therefore raise a note of varning against such an unwarranted application of this principle and point out the logical danger in that one sided emphysis of the relation between Sabda and Artha

Their contention is this No doubt generally speaking the gramma tical principle or Sabla Vava adopted by the Vajakaranis is sanction ed by usage. But to assume it to be an universal principle without an exception would be neglecting the difference between the relative and the absolute and identifying the partial truth with the whole and the complete one Ordinarily each name has its own meaning. The term 'con is differe t from king not merely in word but also in meaning But this is also true Words which are different in nature and origin may nevertheless refer to the same identical object Fxaggerated and universal application of the Sabda Naya of the grammarians cannot conveniently accommodate synonyms in the vocabulary. That there are synonyms and that they are distinct from one another literally no grammarian can afford to deny unswerving loyalty to his principle of sabda naya would constrain him to accept such an absurdity. The only way out of the difficulty is to accept the Jaina interpretation of sabda nava according to which the relation between terms and meanings is a relative principle. The illustrations generally offered are the synonym Indra, Sakra and burandhara names referring to the one and the same individual the Lord of the Devas Similarly terms differing not merely in origin as the above but also in number gender person case etc. may still refer to the same individual fact Thus pushy aha (masculne) Tara (femi nine) Vahshatram (Neuter) in spite of difference of gender do refer to the same object -Star Again in a sentence, terms referring to the same individual object may appear in different cases, and if a verb. in different sense and person may refer to the same activity. This subordination of grammatical differences of inflexion to the logical implication of terms seems to be the essential principle of sabda nava as understood in the Jama It is not necessary to repeat that the nava in the hands of the grammarians because of ekanta application degenerates into a false nava-(Sabdabhasa)

vi Samabhirulah naja—the derivative difference of names This samabhirud ih navas is the differentiation of terms according to their roots. Thus it is only a special application of Sabda naja. In becoming specialised it becomes narrower and more exaggerated than the above nay: As a general rule the terms in a language have their own special radical signification. This radical signification is the reason for the particular nomenclature. The first appearance of the word was evidently suggested by such an implication of the root course this does not mean the connotation of the name Connotation is the ground of the application of the name whereas, the significance of the root accounts for the origin of the name. The former is logical and univer al whereas the latter may be purely subjective and even accidental. The science of history of language may discover various principles subs rvii g the origin of names in a vocabulary the historian of language this is certainly animportant principle detect radical difference in the vocabulary and to trace the history of different terms from this original seed difference is certainly a commendable pursuit. But this nuclear difference interesting to the historian of language is not so very important as to swallow up all the other grammatical and logical principles of implication. Here ag in the nava is discovered to be a relative one by Jaina Logic which enjoins a necessary circumscription to the above claims put forward by the historian of language. For example, it is true that the terms 'gan (cow) is different from Indra ' This difference can be traced to their re pective roots. Hence the difference in the roots must mean a corresponding diff rence in the terms and therefore in their meanings Accurately speaking says this ning the terms 'India,' Sakra and

aspect is contemplated by the root of a term and it is this contemplated aspect that is the legitimate meaning of the term in its current usage. The very same thing in a different attitude must be designated by a different term altogether. Thus for example, the term 'gan implies an animal in motion. That which moves is a gan' or cow'. The same term therefore should not be applied when the animal is at rest or lying down. Movement is fundamentally different from lying down underforce the same term 'cow hould not be applied to an animal at two such fundamentally different attitudes. Fundamental difference in the logical implication must necessarily be indicated by literal difference rice on the term. This is the contention of exambhutinaya. The term must just designate the particular assect or attitude in the object referred to. If the term goes beyond that it will be a source of confisional admissary. Language instead of reveiling things as they are would only a necal them.

This grammatico logical content on may be conceeded partially in a perfect vocabulary this ought to be the principle but the language that we u e is not so evolved under the guidance of such a rigorous logical princip. Hence it would be an egregious blunder to identify, what actually exists with what ought to be, logically. Therefore this exambhuta naya interpreted without reference to concrete usage and convents nal meaning would only end in meaningle a verbiage.

These are the Seren nayas referred to in Jana logic. The first four are called artha nayas maximuch as they deal with objects of knowledge, whereas the other three are called Sabda nayas inasmuch is they pertain to terms and their meanings. The same seven are sometimes otherwise grouped. The first three come under Draya naya whereas the other four come under paryaya naya. The former means the substantive aspect whereas the latter means the a pect of change or manifestation.

These nayas have an important place in the Anekanta Vada of the Jaina system. All human descriptions and predications are relative and circumscribed inasmach as they issue forth from the limited and partial nature of the intellect. Not only in our every day speech but also in the language of the metaphysical statements. have their own context and relation universals ing their meaning apart from their setting in the background would reall in practical inconvenience and philosophical confusion. Juna thinkers recognising the extreme

complexity of reality are never wearied of emphasising the anekanta as pect. Multifaced reality may lead to 'multitude of descriptions. Every one of them may be partially true but not one of them is really true. Philosophy is but the fable of the seven blind men and the elephant. Each one perceives a certain aspect of the real and congratulates himself that that is the only reality. When reality would not fit in with his own petty framework then there is the ruthless pruning and chopping to make it convenient. Then there is the denial of certain inconvenient things as appearance and illusion. System building in philosophy has always been the process of providing reality with a procrustean bed. But one who knows smiles at the simplicity of human philosophising. The critical caution that there are more things in heaven and earth thin are dreamt of in your philosophy was sounded several centuries before the Christian era by the Anekanta-audins.

Our account of the nayas will be incomplete without a mention of the six nay as which are generally employed in the examination of the nature of souls According to Jama Metaphysies Jeta or soul has the nature of consciousness or chetana. When it is viewed in its pure state unlimited by extraneous conditions it has its thought characteristics fully and perfectly developed. This state of its existence represents the Ideal of Perfection or Sid lhahoo ! But even in this ideal state the nature of the self does not lose its complexity. Even in its infinite radiance the self does not lose its Dravia nature or dinamic constitu It is not distinct and separable from its own infinite qualities It is the richness of content that marks the Jama conception of Perfection as against the milit stic attitude of Vedanta which speaks of quality less existence as the ult mate Reality Existence apart from qualities would be an empty abstraction and is therefore all the more unfit to stand for the ul imate reality. It is this unalienable unity that exists between the Real and its qualities that may be said to be the central doctrine of the Jaina metaphysics

This pure and perfect state of the self is a thing to be achieved Ordinarily the existence of the self is somewhat different. Its infinitude is limited its glory abated and radiance d mimed by alien conditions and limitations. By its own general weakness and disposition it weaves round itself material sheaths some subtle and some gross These, material sheaths form the encumbraices and limitations which make the cycle of births and deaths possible for the Jiras

In this state [Iva is generally an embodied consciousness or an organism. In its normal state it may be evident to the senses through its gros organic body. In its subtle state of interval for death to ano her birth even though it casts off its grosser sheath it is still endowed with a subtle harmic body which serves as the nucleus for building up its appropriate body of the next generation. Jiva in these states is said to be the conditional one Sopadhi Jiva is differentiated from the unconditioned perfect self Virubadhi Jiva

Jiva in its samsaric state is not only associated with a body of its own but with several other things living and non living environment in which it lives moves and his its being is generally wider than its own corpored frame Even in the case of animals and birds there is such a wider interest than the mere instinct of self preservation. The parental instinct of helping and preserving the young one, and filial instinct of falling back upon the support of the parents from the prehuman biological foundation of the institution of family among human boings. When we come to human society this widening of the environmental horizon of the self becomes all the more marked. Several economical and social institutions, such as owning property or belonging to a particular social order or a nation ail these contribute to extending the personality so as to coincide with its environments. There is a personal pride and pleasure in extending one's own property. There is a sympathetic feeling of Jou or sorrow with the prosperity or adversity of the family or the nation to which the individual belongs. The nature of the personality there force is determined by the extent and diversity of interest. We feel offended when any injury is done to our possessio is. We feel it a personal insult if any one who is near and dear to his is unfairly treated. The self which is by it, own intrinsic nature a complex entity becomes all the more complex by identyfying itself through its interest with its environment of things and persons. Under such circumstance, it is an extremely difficult problem to define the exact nature of the soul and to point out its own appropriate boundary Hence the necessity of the application of Nayas or points of view These Nay is as already mentioned are specially designed for the purpose of explaining the nature and defining the limits of the self.

To start with there are two main aspects or Najas Nischija and Vjavahara The former represents the true and complete point of

view. There is no distinction between Dravya and its gunas. The self is looked at as a whole with all the wealth of its attributes. The latter represents the partial point of view. The complex nature of the self is analysed into its diverse qualities and our attention may be directed to any particular attribute with which the self may be 'identified at the moment. Further the former Nischaya Naya is divided into Súddha Nischaya and Asúddha Nischya Naya, 'Súddha Nìschaya Naya holds the self in its pure and unconditioned Nirubādhi state. Disentangled from all its material evironment and limitation the self radiates in its pristine glory through all its a calth of infinite qualities This aspect where the self is in its qualities and its qualities are pure and unadjoyed expression of the nature of this self is the topic of Súddha Nischaya Naya The second Asúddha Nischaya Naya contemplates the self as caught in the meshes of the material evironment the Sobadhi state. The pre-ence of Upadhi makes it impure or Asiiddha. Its intriasic glory is dimmed but still it is viewed as a whole with its complete nature as expressed in its attributes though somewhat warped by alien influences. This is the selfaccording to Asiidha. Nis.haya Naya.

has no metaphorical and transferred import. Thus finally we have 4 kinds of Vyavahara Naya 1 Anupacharita Satbhúta Vyavahara Naya 2 Upacharita Satbhúta Vyavahara Naya 3 Anupacharita Asatbhúta Vyavahara Naya 4 Upacharita Asatbhúta Vyavahara Naya

Now the first is mainly Vyavahara masmuch as it analyses the attributes from the thing is Sathhita inasmuch as it emphasises the genuine and intrinsic attributes and is Anupacharita inas much as it is free from figurative and transferred implication The illustration of this is the self is of the nature of Jr and or knowledge The second Nasa is Vyavahara for the reason stated above and Sathhuta for the same and Upacharita because the epithet is figurative and transferred When we speak of Jnona or knowledge as Pramana or the criterion of truth we are thinking of the objects of knowledge or Artha Artha itself may be spoken of as a manifestation of knowledge or Jaana Vikalpa To speak of artha or the object as a modification of knowledge is only figuratively true in the case of external objects. These being physical in nature are achetana and yet as object of knowledge may be spoken of as modification of Jrana What intrinsically belongs to the self is transferred to its object because of the relation brought about by the process of knowledge The third Vaya is Anupacharita Asathhila Vyavihāra The only term that demands explanation is Asati huta here It refers to the identification of the Self with some alien properties For example to consider one's own body as oneself which is generally done n ordinary life is Asatbhata Vyavahara This is not merely figurative as the statement is sanctioned by the intimate interrelation that exists between the soul and the body. Hence 'this body is mine or I am this, pointing to the body is a statement which is justified according to Anupacharita Asathhuta Vyavahara Naya The last Naya is the Upachdrita form of the same Here the alien quality or the thing with which the self is identified lack that intimate relation that exists between the soul and its own body. To call the ornaments as one s own, to claim certain individuals as one's relating to possess certain things as ones own property in short to identify ones personality with alien things and persons is possible only in a figurative sense Each personality is distinct from and alien to

the others though all by co existing together may partake of common environment and enter into definite relations. Still from the meta physical point of view one's destiny is in one's own hands. Therefore the popular identification of the self with the other things said to be cwind by it is a figurative and transferred predication of Uprcharita Asathhita Vyarahara Naya. These four kinds of Vyarahara Naya together with the two Nisclaya Vayas constitute the six Nayas which are specially employed to fathom the depth of human personality.

The object of these Nayas generally of the former seven and specially of the latter six 1 *2 reveal the intrinsic nature of per sonality in its comic environment. It is not merely a matter of theoretical interest that underlies the e Najas Apprehension and understanding of the nature of reality must be a useful means in the hands of the self to reach the safe haven of Beatstude from which But besides this practical aspect there is another there is no return aspect to the question. The truth that is apprehended by the Pramanas and the Vajas must be available for the general public Ever growing under the weight of sorrow and yearning to have that Bliss which they know only by faith and hope. Hence is the necessity for expressing the truth through Scripture This Reveal ation of the truth through language is the WORD or Syadrada This pertains to the principle of conditional predication which is sevenfold This seven fold predication is known as Saftabhangi

SAPTABHANGI.

SAPTABHANGI OR THE SEVEN MODES OF PREDICATIONS

The Dialectic of Syadvala is no less puzzling to Indian students of philosophy both old and new, than the Hegelian dialectic is to the European philosophers Syatvada and its counterpart appear at first sight self conflicting and unwarratned. But they have an important principle—nay the fundamental principle of reality as their substrutum and justification. Closer examination reve. Is in them a clear grisp of truth. Every other theory of knowledge is fading into insignificance before the principle of conditional predication.

Everything existing from the bespangled heavens above to the immost core of human personality is in a process of change and modification. If we trust ourselves to the imagination of the astronomer which enables him to look back through eons of the past cosmic history or to have a glimpse of the far off future we see the universe as a motion picture on the screen of existence. What is a twinkling star at present was ence upon a time gigantic nebulous mass of several million miles diameter. Then by process of aggregation and condensing there is the formation of a denser nucleus which becomes larger and larger by the same process which in its turn leads to the evolution of an enormous quantity of heat. This leads to the formation of the fiery orb which by the same laws of motion gives birth to a number of incandescent masses. These held to the parent by the laws of gravitation form the planetary system.

Side by side with this evolution of a sun with its planetary system we have the converse drama enacted. Either due to a mysterious explosion or due to a clash of star with star there bursts into existence a new mass of nebula. The telescope reveals to human view not only the nursery of distant solar systems, but also the decay and dissolution of the decrepit and defunct starry systems. The starry heavens are but the cosmic alphabet which spell the three sublime words birth, growth and decay.

Turn now to our own earth which is but a tiny speck in space when compared to the Sun and the Stars. Here also the same process

The formation of the crust of the earth is after terrible volcanic convultions which had taken place in the early history of the earth. The geological changes are followed by the appearance of life on the surface, then the wonderful evolution of the plant and animal kingdoms are all indebibly written on the different strata of the earth. This also repeats the same story. Then life itself is a mysterious though subtle process of combined building up and breaking up. Turn where you will you see the same thing. Reality is a complex process of change and everything in it is partaking of the same process.

Nothing is merely permanent, nothing is merely changing. The accorn grows to the oak, the seed is in the plant and, the tree and yet it is not there as the seed. Ever, thing lives by the process of death. The very loss lends to gain. This message of the organic reality is an important contribution to philosophy.

Hegel founded his metaphysics on Legic—nay identified both. But his Logicis not the mere scholastic logic on which his predecessor. Rant built his system Hegel's logic came as a disturber of peace to the then philosophic thought, but it ultimately led to the emancipation of thought from scholastic thraidom. He proclaimed boldly to the world that infirmation and negation are ultimately reconciled by a higher unity 'is' and 'is not' are really identical and same for they are but the aspects of the same reality. To one who is familiar with this dialectical process of thesis and antithesis reconciling in a higher synthesis, the following presentation of saptabhangs will lose its paradoxical nature and discover the underlying truth

same gold is used Was Socretes a Greek or Roman? He was Greek and not Roman What is the Kaiser now? He is and yet is not the Kaiser These are familiar questions and answers. All these exhibit the possibility of predicating affirmation and negation of the same thing Is and is not, can significantly refer to the same subject. The only thing we have to remember is that the point of view is different in each case There is nothing my sterious nothing incredible. When the same subject can have two such predicates no one predicate can monopolise the subject to itself. There will always be some aspect of the subject left out by this predicate and this left out aspect can very well be expressed by the rival predicate This implies that under no circum stances can we have a predication which is the only true predication about the subject. There can be no judgment absolutely true and excluding every other judgment about the same topic Hence we have recourse to qualified assertions as the only available ones under the circumstances These qualified or conditional assertions are primarily two affirmation and negation

- (1) Perhaps X is
- (2) Perhaps X is not.

These two aspects are inherent in the same thing, hence we can say

- (3) Perhaps X is and is not, here we are contemplating the whole thing in its two aspects which are kept apart and attended to severally. But these two aspects are inherent in and expressive of one single identity. Hence they may be considered together jointly as expressing the single identity. In that case there is no chance of asserting two compountly by a single predicate, for the simple reason that there can be no such predicate. Therefore we have to confess our inability to and proclaim the bankruptcy of vocabulary for having such an assertion. This fact becomes the fourth mode of predication.
 - (4) Perhaps X is indescribable
- Remembering this helpless nature of our tongue, we may still qualify this by each of the first three predicates. Thus we have the last three modes of predications

Which are (5) Perhaps X is though indescribable

- (6) Perhaps \ ape is not though indescribable
- (7) Perhaps X is and is not though indescribable

In their traditional form these are -

- (1) Szadasti
- (2) Syannasti
- (3) Syadastmasticha
- (4) Syadaraktaryah
- Syadastı avaktav, ah
- (6) Syadnasti avaktavyah
- (7) Syadastı nastı avaktavyah

These seven modes of predication are usually illustrated with reference to some object such as a Jar or Ghata. Whether it is to have an affirmative predicate or negative one depends respectively on four aspects its or n form matter, place and time leading to affirmation and alien form matter, place and time bringing in negation to the jar. A jar is real or is affirmed with reference to Scarupa, Scadravya Scakshetra, Scakala and is unreal or is denied from the point of view of pararupa, paradravya, parakshetra and parakula. When we have affirmation and negation for their realons the subsidiary modes are derived from these two

1 Then what is the Scarupa or intrinsic form of a Jar. And what is its pirarupa? When we hear the word par uttered, the term invariably imples a certain definite group of attributes through which a particular object is designated by the term. The elevantial attributes connoted by the term Jar will be its Starupa. The attributes of any other object implied by any other term will be its pararupa—will be alien to the Jar. Existence rests on Scarupa and non existence on pararupa. If existence is predicated of the Jar both from its own form as vell as that of analien thing like clo. (pata) then the jar will be of the structure character and become one with cloth. If on the other hand non existence is predicated from its own form as from alien nature then there will be no jar at all. Neither of these results stand to reason.

Jar A exists on account of svarápa and does not on account of para rába If non existence is associated with svarápa then there will be no jar at all, if existence follows from pararápa then all jars will become one without distinction and there will be no separate individual ones.

Then Ghata jar is made by the potter The mass of clay on the potter's wheel is not yet a jar. It is only the finished product that is a jar. This finished form is its starupa any other stage in its form atton is its pararupa. The former leads to affirmation the latter leads to negation.

- 2 What is its own matter? Clay is Svadravya and gold is paradravya The Jara is of clay and is not of gold Svadravyena asts, paradravyena násti
- 3 What is 1 sown place or Svakshetra? The ground where the Jar is, 15 its Scakshetra and every other place is its Parakshetra The Taj is in Agra (Svakshetra) and is not in Delhi (Parakshetra) If the Jar exists in Parakshetra also then there will be no place with out a Jar In the case of the Taj every place will have a Taj Mahal If the thing is not even in its own place then there will be no Jar any where in the world Either result will be unsatisfactors
- 4 What is its own time or Stakula? The Jars Svakala is the duration of the present in which it is intact. Its past when it was a mass of clay on the potter's wheel and its future when it will be a heap of broken shells will be its Parakala. Its existence in its own time and non existence in other times will be quite evider to oalso with every other object. Socrates existed at a particular age of Athenean History and is not existing now. If a thing exist in Parakala also as in Svakala then it will be eternal, if it does not exist in Stakala, as in parakala then it will be nothing, for existence implies a relation to its time of duration.

Thus a thing is affirmed in its four fold self relation form, matter, place and time, and is denied in its four fold alien relation

Now the Svirûpa etc, are determined with reference to the four fold other relation of Pararupa, etc The self relation apart from the other relation has no meaning But how are we to determine the four kinds of relation —Pararupa, Paradraya, etc These must deperd on their environmental relations and these latter again on others. Thu we pass on from our environment to others still wider without having

any clear grasp of the self-relation The distinction between a thing and its other rests on the sandy foundation of a vicious indefinite regress Hence the affirmation and negation also fall to the ground

This objection rests on misapprehension. The distinction between the fourfold self relation and other relation does not rest on an indefinite regress. The essent al nature of a thing not only implies its Svarupa but differentiates itself from Pararaba. In experience we not only perceive a thing but perceive it as distinct from other things. A Jar is seen not merely as a Jar but as a thing distinct from cloth by its side. Without this distinction there can be no perception of the Jar at all. The very process of self assertion implies differentiat on from non-self. Hence the change of indefinite regress is quite unwarranted.

Now, according to this theory asti and nasti (is and is not) may be predicated of Prameya the objective aspect of knowledge or the process of apprehending an object. This dual predication must test on Spariph and Pararipa of Prameya. What is its Sparipha and what is its Pararipa Pararipha of Pranieya means non Prameya anything other than Prameya we have no means of knowing Hence the theory must fail in this case.

No says the Logician The state of being apprehended by knowledge Pramejatia is the Scarifa of Prameja This implies in general the relation of object to the knower anything besides this relation, even the object will be distinct from Pramejativa and hence will be the Pararifia in this context. Now this theory must be applicable to the ultimate existence or Mahasatta. If is and is not are to be oredicated of this Sin intim Genus what are its Svaráfia and Pararifia? For the latter cannot be as there can be no other form or matter or place or time not included in this The fararifia of Mahasatta need not imply the existence of other things besides itself that would be self-contradictor. But still we can speak of its Pararifia when we contemplate something which falls short of the all comprehensive universal. Any of its parts will be so far the negation of whole—its Pararáfia

Now asts affirmation depends on self nasts negation on other things. Asts which is conditioned by self can very well belong to a thing. But if nasts which is conditioned by other than the Jar

e g is also predicated of the Jar Then it would imply that the Jar participates in the nature of its other—say cloth This will lead to mere confusion

This objection also is based on a misapprehension. Affirmation no doubt rests on the nature of the self the Jar. The negation resting on another thing—Pata (cloth does not mean that the Jar also has the nature of a cloth. That would be absurd. The clear cot boundary between things will vanish and with it all knowledge Ast_1 —implies self assertion $nast_1$ implies alien exclusion. A thing not only asserts its own individuality, but also repulses anything alien to it. It is this element of repulsion that everything must have in order to be real that entitles it to have the negative predicate. Instead of leading to a confusion this element of differentiation is the only basis for self assertion of a thing Ast_1 and ast_2 assertion and exclusion are inalienably present in the same thing. Wherever there is ast_1 there is ast_2 there is ast_3 there is ast_4 also

Now this association of this two asti and nasti—in the same thing appears quite unwarranted. For on the one, hand when we perceive a Jar we see mere asti without nasti and on the other hand in the case of certain impossible and unreal concepts such as asses horns sky flower there is mere n isti without asti.

This is not quite correct. In the case of any perceived object nasts does not mean that the thing should not exist as such and yet be perceived. That would be meaningless Nasti means nothing more than that element of repulsion and differentiation which isolates a thing from its background and give it a determinate and positive nature. In this sense nastr is inseparable from astr and it is the sense in which it is used. As to the other case of impossible and unreal concepts where is the positive foundation If sky flower is quite real may if it has a slight positive basis it will cease to mean an unreal and an impossible thing nature seems to be pure negation and nothing less than that This cannot be answers our Logician How can there be any negation without any significance. A significant negation must have some positive basis, otherwise it will be more nonsense. The elements constituting the concept are by themselves real and are justified by the canons of experience We have seen horns in a cow;

we have seen an ass or a horse. These are existing and real. But the fanciful combination of an ass with horns or a flower with sky is unreal But for the experience of horns on the head of a cow or flower in a tree there can be not lk of an ass with horas or a flower in the sky Without this positive basis of experience there will no elements to make up even a fanciful complex. Thus even the fanciful ideas of unicorn and centur mist have some foundation in our experience Again in the proposition Syadasti Jiva the terms asti and J it a (life) must mean identically the same thing or different things If the meanings are of the same nature thin one cann to be predicated of the other 3, a pot cannot be the pred cate of a Jar both being co ordinate Further asts or ex stence is prefical le of everything real If asts is identical with Jira then Jira al > must be predicated of everything But if Jiva is different from asts then there is no chance of predicat ng astr of Jira for they are entirely different from each other Further Jiva being different from asti and asti being the predicate of everything Jita cannot be rabled to anything real, (i.e.) Jiva would become unr al You cannot m tain that Jiva though different from astr can be said to have the predicate by a process of combination with it, for combination is impossible in the case of repelling elements

The horns of this dilemma are blunted by syadcada. These results need not frighten the Logierin to whom asti and Jri 7 are identical from the drawyartha view and different from paryartha view. They are different and yet identical. But for this dual nature there can be no predication at all.*

The primary modes of prediction are three—Syadasti syannasti syadasaktaiyah The otler four are obtained by combining these three for a carding to sanklya philosophy everything is real and there fore exists. According to Biddhism everything is momentary and unreal. Both these vi w. are rejected by the Jainas as extremes the former is true according to the principle of Dravparthika point of view the latter is true according to paryajarthika point of view. Hence each is true in its own way and is not true absolutely. Again reality is inde crib the according to the Velinius who emphasise the unrachains a spect of reality. Even this is only partially true.

^{• [}This di cuss on from Sop 3 and Izrang a reminds us of Draie; a dismus on of the theory of Fredlest on —in appearance and Peal by]

for otherwise even this predication "that Reality is indescribable" will be impossible.

The same seven modes of predication may be obtained in the case of following pairs of attributes; eternal and changing, one and many, universal and particular, etc. These pairs of opposites can very well be predicated of reality and these may yield the other derivative modes of predication. Thus practically every attribute by being affirmed and denied according to different aspects may bring about seven fundamental propositions true of real subject.

It may be said that after all this principle of Saptabhangi is a wanton indulgence in meaningless self contradiction. This objection has been susfficiently answered above. This is not a case of wanton paradox or purposeless pun. If the words are wantonly misinterpreted or understood in an unwarranted sense then it may be charged with wanton quibbling; for example in the statement that this person has a new woollen shawl (nava kambala) the term nava may be taken to mean also nine. Though the word is capable of such an interpretation still in this statement it does not mean that In spite of the context if a person retorts that assertion by saying' "this person cannot have nine shawls as he is very poor," it would be wanton quibbling. There is no such wanton quibbling underlying Saptabhangi.

If it is not wanton quibbling it must merely be an expression of doubt. To say a thing may be as well as may not be is to exhibit ones own doubt and ignorance. At the best therefore the doctrine is a mode of scepticism. This charge of scepticism is certainly unfounded. Doubt expresses absence of determinate knowledge. If the prima facie appearance of a thing leads you to two different interpretations of which alternatives we cannot choose the right one then there is doubt as to its nature. Since its exact nature is unknown scepticism may be the result. But in the case of the contradictory propositions forming the basis of Saptabhangi we have two different aspects each serving as the basis of one of the propositions. Hence there is neither doubt nor confusion in this case.

SANKARA AND SYADVADA

THIBAUT'S TRANSLATION OF THE Bhashya.

"This doctrine we meet as follows: --Your reasoning, we say, is inadmissible 'on account of the impossibility in one thing.' That is to say, it is impossible that contradictory attributes such as being and non-being should at the same time belong to one and the same thing; iust as observation teaches us that a thing cannot be hot and cold the same moment. The seven categories asserted by you must either be so many and such or not be so many and such; the third alternative expressed in the words 'they either are such are not such' results in a cognition of indefinite nature which is no more a source of true knowledge than doubt is. If you should plead that the cognition that a thing is of more than one nature is definite and therefore a source of true knowledge, we deny this. For the unlimited assertion that all things are of a non-exclusive nature is itself something, falls as such under the alternative predications 'somehow it is' 'somehow it is not' and so ceases to be a definite assertion. The same happens to the person making the assertion and to the result of the assertion; partly they are, partly they are not. As thus the means of knowledge, the object of knowledge, the knowing subject, and the act of knowledge are all alike indefinite, how can the Tirthankara (Jina) teach with any claim to authority and how can his followers act on a doctrine the matter of which is altogether indeterminate? Observation shows that only when a course of action is known to have a definite result reople set about it without hesitation. Hence a man who proclaims a doctrine of altogether indefinite contents does not deserve to be listened to any more than a drunken man or a madman. Again, if we apply the Jaing reasoning to their doctrine of the five categories, we have to say that on one view of the matter they are five and on another view they are not five; from which latter point of view it follows that they are either fewer or more than five. Nor is it logical to declare the categories to be indescribable. For if they are so, they cannot be described : but, as a matter of fact they are described so that to call them indescribable involves a centradiction. And il you go on to say that the categories on being described are ascertained to be such and such, and at the same time are not ascertained to be such and such, and that the result of their being ascertained is perfect knowledge or is not perfect knowledge, and that imporfect knowledge is the opposite of perfect knowledge or is not the opposite; you certainly talk more like a drunken or insane man than like a soler, trustworthy person. If you further maintain that the beavenly world and final release exist or do not exist and are

eternal or non eternal the absence of all determinate knowledge which is employed in such statement will result in nobody's acting for the purpose of gaining the heavenly world and final release. And moreover it follows from your doctrine that sool, non-soul and so on, whose nature you claim to have ascertained and which you describe as having existed from all eternity relapse all at once into the condition of ab olute indepermination. As therefore the two contridictory attributes of being and non being cannot belong to my of the categories—being excluding non being and tieg terral non-being excluding being—the doctrine of the Arhit must be rejected.

RAVIANUJA AND SAPTABHANGI

Thibaut . Translation - With the help of this they prove that all things-which they declare to consist of substance (draya), and parvaya to be existing one and permanent in so far as they . are sub tances, and the opposite is so far as they are baryayas By paryaya they under tand the particular states of substances and as those are of the nature of Being as well as Non being, they manage to prove existence, non existence and so on regard to this the sutra remarks that no such proof is possible 'Not so,on account of the impossibility in one e because contradictory attributes such is existence and non existence cannot at the same time belong to one thing not any more than light and darkness a substance and particular states qualifying it-and (by the Jamas) called paryaya-are different things (palartha) one substance cannot be connected with opposite attributes. It is thus not possible that a substance qualified by the particular state such as existence, should at the same time be qualified by the apposite state, i e non existence The non permanency, further of a substance consists in its being the abode of those particular states which are called origination and destruction, how then should permanency, which is of an opposite nature, reside in the substance at the same time? Difference (blin natea) again consists in things being the abodes of contradictory aftri butes, non difference which is the opposite of this cannot hence possilly reside in the same things which are the abode of difference , not any more than the generic character of a horse and that of a buffulo can belong to one animal. But (the Jama may here be supposed to ask the vellation) how can you maintain that Brahmin,

although one only, yet at the same time is the self of all? Because we reply, the whole aggregate of sentient and non-sentient beings constitutes the body of the supreme person, omniscient, omnipotent and so on And that the body and the Person embodied and their respective attributes are of totally different nature (so that Brahmana is not touched by the defects of this body), we have explained likewise. Moreover, as your six substances, soul and so on are not one substance and one paryaya, their being one substance and so on, cannot be used to prove their being one and also not one and so on. And if it should be said that those six substances are such (viz. one and several, and so on) each owing to its own paryaya and its own nature, we remark that then you cannot avoid contradicting your own theory of everything being an ambiguous nature. Things which stand to each other in the relation of mutual non-existence cannot after all be identical. Hence the heory of the Jamas is not reasonable."

These two passages are quoted from the two great Hindu Commentators of Vedanta Sutras, Sankara and Ramanuja. The Sutra that is commented on is 'वैक्सपीवर्ग्यया' (CH I. PADA 2 SU. 33.)

The author of the Vedanta Sutras as well as the commentators reject the Saptablangi naya on the ground of the impossibility of contradictor; attributes inhering in the same thing.

All that is said above by way of exposition and discussion would vindicate the claim of Saptabhange against the charges brought against it by these scholars. Our account would be incomplete if these charges are not examined in this connection

Now the author of the Sûtras does not give any detailed reasons besides the one contained in the Sûtra itself—that a thing cannot have self-contradictory attributes. Asts and nasts being and non-being, or affirmation and negation being contradictory epithets cannot be referred to the same thing. Hence the doctrine of such a predication is futile. This reasoning though short is interesting and suggestic. We have already pointed out the philosophical attitude adopted by the Jamas. A thing being of complex nature, having drarya and harwaya must be an identity in difference. Instead of repeting the doctrine of reality for the reason given they seem to claim that the real is real only because of such a capacity to comprehend and reconcile the differences in itself. Here we are reminded of Brailey's

polemic against 'the nature of things Though he admits the Hege lean doctrine of identity in difference he cannot forget the scholastic traditions about identity ind difference. Every concrete thing or person is according to Bradley a Unity in diversity, and identity in difference a constant which is varying also. Now Bradley argues that such a nature implies self contradiction and internal conflict. This is so because it is not possible for us to know how the difference could be derived from and related to an identity. Hence he condemns such things to the limbo of appearances.

We have been suggesting the similarity between the Hegelian doctrine of identity and the Jaina doctrine of Ash nash. But we must raise a note of warning that the Jaina doctrine does not accept wholesale Hegelian metaphysics. Unlike Bra lley the greatest living representative of Hegelian absolutism the Jainas emphasizes this important aspect of reality. The reason which is employed by Bradley to condemn a thing to be appearance is the very reason which serves the Jain thinkers to proclaim the reality of the same.

The commentators deserve special attention Hence we shall examine their criticism in detail. Sankara's criticism is of three mun stage. First he tries to point out the intrinsic impossibility of this doctrine Second its practical futility. Third its conflict with many other Jaina doctrines Being and non being cannot be predicated of the same thing just as it is impossible to predicate hot and cold of the same Mutually contradictory and conflicting attributes cannot exist together of the same thing at the same time This objection appears to be unanswerable but if we remember the two different aspect of self relation and other relation we can very easily see that the objection does not I old good. Very often even in ordinars experience we have examples of co existing attributes which are in the abstract self contradictory. The branches of a tree may be in motion but the tree as a whole may not budge an inch. Here the tree is moving and yet is not moving. The same individual person may be father in relation to \ and son in relation to In this case we cant of reasonably ask how can the same individual be both father and son. The two conflicting attribes of fatheu hood and sonhood are quite intelligible in the same individual Similarly a class which is a geous with reference to its own species may itself be a species in relation to its own higher genus. We need not multiply in tinces It would be quite idle to maintain the impossibility of incompatible attributes in one and the same thing It is a matter of surprise to us modern readers hos such an acute thinker like Sankara should go without observing the parti cular aspect from which the rival presents his case

After appealing to experience to substantiate his point Sankara brings in the charge of indefinite iess against the doctrine of Sapta bliangs On a previous occasion we replied to this charge of in It is enough here to show that if by definiteness Sankara means unconditional and absolute assertion indefinit ness instead of being a defect would be certainly a meritorious point to the credit of sayadvada In the course of the discussion the critic indulges in certain epithets which we should now call unparliamentary We may pass it withou notic partly because

of its irrelevency to the main argum at and partly because of the fact that in his days such an interm sture of Logic and Rhetoric was perhaps accepted as a justifiable weapon of debate

As to his second point the practical futility of the do trine we have to say a word His argument comes to this Every theoretical doctrine has a practical bear og This is all the more so in the ca e of Indian thinkers All Indian philosophers in spite of their doc trinal differences accept this as the fundamental truth of philosophy that metaphysical research is the handmaid of ethico religious ideal of securing the summum bonum of life Hence any doctrine that is indefinite and ambiguous in its message is condemned by this pragmatic test Since we have not accepted the theor cal charge of ambiguity we need not tarry long at this practical consequence

Next let us go to the application of Saplabhaigi to the other Jama doctrines Such as the five categor es and the final release with the consequent heavenly bliss Sankara points out that according to this logic the five astikayas may be five and may not be five This result will not certainly non pluss the Jaing logician If they are severally referred to they are five If they are referred to as an aggregate and a class they are one If they are classified according to a different principle they may be two living and non living Hence there is no fixed numerical characterisat on of these categories Variation in the number that may be used to designate

these categories instead of implying self contradiction indicates only a variation in the point of view from which they are examined As the climax of his criticism Sankara asks his rival to say what would become of the heavenly world if it both exists and does not both eternal and non eternal H s rival will only answer as the author of Sabtabhangs Tarangens does-in the following manner If as you say it must be one of those and not both you have the fol lowing difficulty If the final release and heavenly bliss is eternal and existing where is the chance for Samsara and the attempt to obtain moksha It the other alternative is the only truth! what is the purpose of preaching such an ideal which is altogether impos " Man partly is and wholly hopes to be is not mere poetry It is genuine philosphy Inasmuch as the final release is the goal to vards which the whole creation moves it is true and real and in asmuch a it is the go il and is not yet an actual sed fact it is not real and true. Why should this doctrine be so vehemetly attacked passes our understanding

When we go to Raman ga we have got a different method of argument altogether He seems to accept the rival doctrine all the while protesting He clearly sees the distinction b tween dravya and paryaya Substance and mode He also perceives that par juja means change and dravya permanency. He also correctly points out that the doctrine of syadrada is based upon these two different aspects Dravya and Parayaya The proper course for the critic having gone so far would be to accept the doctrine Or if he wants to rej ct he must show that things do not have both these aspects dravia and paryaya Instead of doing either Ramanuja attempts to defend the Sutra on a principle which is quite indefen sible and unwarranted What he proves is that asts and nasts can not be predicated of a thing from the drive point alone Accord ing to him the same substance cannot have both predicates Certainly. it cannot have Jaina Logic too proclaims the same thing if you take the thing in both its aspects-and it must be so taken to avoid empty abstractions-then it can and must have both the predicates

Attempting to reject this doctrine of identity in difference Rama ni ja has the insight to perceive how his own doctrine of Vedanta is affected. In one sense the red nite metaphysics is the doctrine

of the one and the many. If reality could be one and the many at the same time Veddntism would be sufficient argument in favour of Sayadrada. But unlike Sankara who dismisses the Many as Maya Ramanuja as constrained by his metaphysical attitude accepts the reality of the many also. Then what becomes of the one in the many. He proposes the purva paksha for the Jain, "But how can you maintain that Brahmax although one only set at the same time is the self of all," He auswers the purva paksha thus-" The whole aggregate of sentient and non-sentient beings constitutes the body of the Supreme Person and that the body and the person are of totally different? nature." This is extremely dubious victory. If the body constituted by finite things and persons is really the manifestation or parinam; of the Brahmana and this what Ramanuna believes, then his refuge is quite unsafe. For, his rival would be justified in asking whether the barinama or the body is real or illusory. If the latter, his commentary becomes an unnecessary reduplication of Sankara's and if the former he is bound to admit the Sayadvada point of view that the real is one from the point of view of the Person and many from the point of view of parinama or his body

For a fuller discussion of the same we refer the reader to the excellent work Saptabhangitarangini from which we have freely drawn in this essay.

Bertrand

One other point and we may take leave of this topic **Bertrand Russell in his American lectures "On Logical atomism" develops developed in the proposition of the true or false has an objective fact as the basis. For in order that there may be an intelligent assertion apart from its ruth value their must be some objective basis. This doctrine implies two sets of objective facts one for true propositions doctrine implies two sets of objective facts one for true propositions and other for false ones. Both being objective, what is the destine may be a considered that the destine of the proposition o

doctrine.

He distinguishes between the meaning of a name and the fact
He distinguishes between the meaning of a name and the fact
implied by a proposition. Any individual object may be designated

by a name. The name is a symbol referring to some particular object This is a bare fact. There is no meaning in calling this true or fall e It simply is Truth or falsity refers to a proposition a proposition becomes true or false because of an objective fact. The term objective fact is used in the sense of that which is other than the proposition that makes for its truth or falsity. Every objective fact may have two propositions of which one is true because it corresponds to the fact and the other is false because it has no corresponding fact. This theory of correspondence works well in the case of a true affirmative proposition (ie) of the pair of propositions based on each fact if the true one is affirmative, it is so because there is a corre-ponding fact. The negative proposition which is not corresponding to that fact is so far falsified by the fact But take the following pair. Socrates is living and Socrates is not living Here it is the negative proposition that is true and affirmative one is false. According to Russel's theory the negative proposition which is true must have a corresponding fact; otherwise it cannot be true. But what is the objective fact that is corresponding to this proposition -- " socrates is not living" There seems to be none and yet there must be one Therefore Pussell brings in the theory of "negative fact" This suggestion raised a lot of discussion among the audience But Russel himself leaves it undeveloped He emphasses the fact that negative facts must be accepted as a fundamental postulate if the correspondence theory is to work.

Now what have we in the above doctrine of Sapiabhangs? Each thing is capable of hiving seven modes of predication and primarily two affirmative and negative. The affirmative proposition is determined by self form matter place and time. The negative proposition rests on non self-relation of the same four form matter, place and time. In this case both the propositions are true. A negative proposition in the case of self-relation and affirmative proposition in the case of non-self-relation would both be false. The proposition relating Socrates to his own time is true, the one relating him to any other time is false. To say that he is living now is such a false proposition. We are entitled to say only this that he is not living now.

Thus we have comething like thus A thing in its Scarupa ceelf form), stadrarya, (matter), stadrarya (place) stadkela (time) is the positive fact enabling the truth of an affirmative proposition. The thing in its foreign (non-self form), far drarya, parakshira,

parakala will constitute the negative fact. This will justify the negative proposition. This is offered only by way of suggestion for a possible explanation of what Russell calls "negative fact." Neither do we propose to develope Russell's theory nor do we want to imply that he was anticipated by Indian logicians of old. Any how the comparison is interesting and suggestive.

This Saptabhangi is a powerful organon in the hands of Syadvadins who avoided the Nihilism of the Buddhist's as well as the absotute Monism of the Vedantists, who steered clear of the shallow realism of the Charrakas and the ludicrous idealism of the Not avadins.

The following works are due to Sri Kundakunda Achaiya.

Prabhrita-traya or Nataka-traya, i e, the trilogy of

Panchastikayasara,

Pravachanasara, and

Sammayasâra or Sammayasâra Prâbhrita.

Also Nivamasara;

Satà Prabhrita; or Satapahada; consisting of Darsana Pahûda, Sutta, Charitta, Bodha, Bhâva, and Moksha Pâhûdas. Rayana Sîra: Bîraha Anubekkhî:

(All these books have been printed.)

He is said to have written 84 Pâhûdas. Some names are given below :- They are not as yet found in any library

Ionisara, Kriyasara, Arahanasara, Ksapanasara, Vamdhasara, Tattvasara; Amgasara, Dabbasara, Karma Pahûda, Paya Pâhûda, Vidya Pâhûda, Ughâta Pâhûda, Dristi Pâhûda, Siddhanta Pahūda, Samavaya Pahūda, Naya Pahūda, Prakriti Pahûda, Chûrnî Pahûda, Pamchabagga Pahûda, Karma Vipāka Pāhūda, Vastū Pāhūda, Payadhara Pāhdūa, Utpāda Pahuda, Dibba Pahuda, Sikkha Pahuda, Jiba Pahuda, Achara Pahoda, Sthava Pahoda, Alapa Pahoda, Chûti Pahoda, Sata Darsana Pahūda, Nakamma Pahūda, Samthana Pahūda, Nitava Pahūda, Eyamta Pahūda, Vihāya Pahūda, Salami Pahoda.

All the works of Sri Kundakunda are in Prakrit verse. But it is easy and beautiful. His nataka traya or Trilogy has been commented upon in Sanskrit by Sri Amrita Chandra Achârya and also by Sri Jayasena Achârya. There is also a commentary on Niyamsara by Srs Padma Prabha Maha Dhari Deva. There is an excellent printed Hindi edition of this work by Jaina Dharma Bhusana Brahmchari Sital Prasadji."

श्रीपञ्चास्तिकायसमयसारः।

Pañchâstikâya Samayasâra.

इंदसदवींदियाणं तिहुअणहिदमधुरविसदवक्काणं। -अंतातीदगुणाणं णमो जिणाणं जिदमवाणं॥१॥*

1 Obersance to Jinas, possessed of attributes infinite, the conquerors who are beyond the influences of transmigration, worshipped by the hundred Indras, revealers of the clear, sweet, and three-world-beneficial Word

· COMMENTARY ·

The three Lokas are Urdhva (upper), Madhyama (middle), and Adhah (lower). The Word is called beneficial, because it enables the people of the three worlds to realise their pure and perfect self. It is sweet because it draws towards itself the hearts of the faithful and the wise. It is called clear because it is free from defects such as, doubt, or self-contradiction etc.

समणमुहुग्गदमट्टं चदुग्गदिणिवारणं सणिव्वाणं । एसो पणमिय सिरसा समयमियं सुणह वोच्छामि ॥२॥*

2 I bow to the Sastra that is revealed by Jinas is the means of liberation from the four Gatis and leads to Nir yana Listen I describe that same truth in this work

COMMENTARY

Samiya means system It is of three kinds -

- (1) Sabda Samaya the system of philosophy or scripture
 - (2 Arthasamaya the sy tem of reality
 - (3) Inanasamaya the system of knowledge

Saluting the Sabdasamaya or the scripture the author goes to describe Arthasamaya the facts of reality in order to attain true knowledge of the Juanusamaya

The four Gatis are -

2

- 1 Naraka the Hell
- 2 Tiryak the plant and the animal world
- 3 Manusya Man
- 4 Deva the Gods

These four Gat s const tute Samsara

The Agrima is saluted because of two great reasons. Its original ditts frut. It is the vord of God. It is revealed by Sarvanja. Its fru Fis that it saves the soul from the four Gatts of Sam ara and leads it to Nirvania the unconditioned state of perfection where it e self is completely realized.

Then he mentious the three Samayas Sabda Artha Juana in the first half of the Gatha and the distinction between the Loka (the world) and the Aloka (the beyond) in the second half of the Gatha

श्रमणुमुखोद्गतार्थं चतुर्गतिनिवारण सनिवाण । एप प्रणम्य शिरसा समयमिम शृशत वन्याप्ति ॥ २ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit res derine

समवाओ पंचण्हं समजित जिणुत्तमेहिं पण्णत्तं । सो चेव हवटि लोओ तत्तो अमिओ अलोओ खं ॥३॥*

3 It is said by Jina that the group of five categories constitutes the system of reality the same is the world. And beyond that, is the immeasurable and infinite space (called Aloka)

Arthasamaya or the system of reality is two fold. Loka and Aloka Loka is constituted by the five Astikayas or evistences. Beyond that is the great and the infinite Aloka which is co extensive with pure Space or Anantákasa.

4

Here the author enumerates the five existences He describes the number of each and the general and special characteristics of the different Astikayas

जीवा पुग्गलकाया धम्माधम्मा तहेव आयासं। अत्थितिहा च णियदा अणण्णमङ्या अणुमहंता ॥१॥७

4 Jivas or souls, Pudgalas or ron souls, Dharma and Adharma the principles of ret and motion and fitally Space—these are the Astikijas. They are eternal, uncreated and of huge magnitude.

COMMENTARY

Since the atom or the material point is the unit of space the spatial point is also called Ancier Atom. Since the five entities such as Jivas etc. mentioned about earc capable of occupying space they are called Kayas (corporeals) the term kaya implies relation to many spatial points. Existences that can be so related to space are called by the Jamas Astikayas. It is evident that material objects consti

समयाया सञ्चाना समय इति जिनोत्तमे प्रवस । स एव च मजिन लोजसनोऽमितलोक ख ॥ ३॥

Sanskrit renderi ig

जीयः पुद्गतकाया धर्माधर्मी तथैव प्राकाशम् । धरितत्वे च नियता श्रनःयमया श्रणुमहान्तः ॥ ४॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

tuted by physical molecules have such space quality 'Jiva or soul is also considered to be an Astikaya because of its organic nature Ifva exists as an organism and as such it is related to body and hence the spatial quality Dharma and Adharma are the peculiar principles recognised by Jainas as corpored These are also Astikayas One is the principle of motion, the other is the principle of rest. They are pervading space and as such are. Astil as as . It is not necessary to point out that space is a multidimensional existence Jains have recognised the reality of space. They do not think that it is possible to reduce space to a 'form of the mind' as the Idealists of Europe and India have done

Since Time has neither potentially nor really the possibility of such space relations it is denied the name Astikaya. It is purely an entity of monodimensional series. Though it is not an Astikâya it does not cease to be a real entity Here also the Jains differ from the Idealistic thinkers of the world in their attitude towards Time Time is a reality and not a form of experience. Their views are more or less akin to the ideas of the Realism which is associated in England with thinkers like B Russell

Then the Astikayas are described

जेसिं अत्थिसहाओ गुणेहिं सह पज्जएहिं विविहेहिं। जे होंति अत्थिकाया णिप्पण्णं जेहिं तेल्लो क्वं ॥५॥*

5 Whatever things have the essential nature of manifesting themselves severally through their numerous qualities and modes are the Astikivas These fill the three worlds-they being the constituent parts of the world

COMMENTARY

Since these are real, they are called Asti. Since they constitute the world or Loka they have the space quality hence they are Kaya Astikāva then implies existential nature as well as space quality

Next the description of the six Dravins The above Astikayas together with the Time (Kala) form the six Dravy as

*Sanskrit rendering

येपामस्तिस्त्रभाव गुणैः सह पर्यायैथिविधै । ते मवन्त्यस्तिकायाः निष्यन्नं यस्त्रेलोक्यम् ॥ ५ ॥

ते चेव अत्थिकायां तेक्कालियभावपरिणदा णिच्चा । गच्छेति द्वियभावं परियहणलिंगसंजुत्ता ॥ ६ ॥*

6. These five Astikayas which though manifesting themselves diversely, maintain their permanency, constitute together with Kala or time which has the quality of permanence in change, the Dravyas.

COMMENTARY.

These five entities are being and becoming. They undergo change and yet maintain their identity.

"Thus they have the three characteristics of coming into existence, ceasing to exist, and also remaining permanent in spite of both Creation, destruction, and yet continuity,—these are the main qualities of Dravya . Kâla or time also has these three qualities, hence the Dravyas are six in number.

Dravya is fundamentally an organic unity. This view takes reality not merely in its aspect of change nor of permanency. It permanency in change. Heged is responsible for introducing such a conception of reality in modern thought. Jainas in their conception of Dravya have anticipated such a modern idea, several centuries in advance. Of course the concept was not fully worked out because of other limitations peculiar to their age.

7

These six Dravias can move in the same place. They can also occupy the same space because of their mutual accommodating nature. Though they get thus interpenetrated still each preserves its own proper nature.

अण्णोण्णं पविसता दिंता ओगासमण्णमण्णस्स । मेलंता वि य णिच्चं सगं समावं ण विजहंति ॥ ७ ॥।

7. These six Dravyas though mutually interpenetrating, and accommodating one another, and though getting mixed up

तेचेवास्तिकायाः श्रेकालिकमात्रपरिगता निरयाः । गच्छन्ति द्रव्यमायं परियत्तेनलिङ्गसंयुक्ताः ॥ ६ ॥

Sanskrit rendering :

श्रानेपाऽन्यं प्रविश्वन्ति बृद्दन्ययकाशुमन्ये।ऽन्यस्य । मिश्वनस्यपि च नितर्यं स्यक्षं स्वतार्थं न विज्ञहन्ति ॥ ९ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering:

6

in view of occupying the same space, yet they always maintain their identical nature without losing their respective qualities, general as well as spicial

COUMENTARY

The six Drayas are classified into three kinds —(1) Sakriva (2) Sakriyan skriya (3) Niskrya Sakriya Drayyis are those that can be efficient causes. They can move about from place to place They have the capacity of Âgamana or motion. Such are Pudgala or matter and Jiva or soul. Sakriyaniskriya Drayyas are those that condition movements without them elses undergoing change or motion. These have merely Avagathan. The physical principles of Dharma and Adhurma correspond to this description. Lastly Niskriya Drayya is one which is capable of being neither the direct nor the indirect condition of change. Such is Space which has pure Avasthane.

R

After describing the general nature of Astikáyas in Gáthá No 5 'Jesim Atth sahao etc the nuther proceeds to describe their distinctive care eterrates and examines them from different conte or Navis

सत्ता सन्वपयस्या सविरसहत्वा अणंतपज्जाया । भंगुप्पाद्धुवत्ता सप्पडिवक्खा हवदि एक्का ॥ ८ ॥*

8 Substance is one (as a class) It is the inherent essence of all things. It manifests itself through diverse forms. It undergoes infinite modifications. It has the triple characteristics of creation destruction and permanence. It also has the antithetical qualities that is it may be described by the opposites.

COMMENTARY

The nutritiesis referred to is due to the fact that the substance may be described in each case by the opposite attribute. It is described as one from the class point. It may be described as many from the individual point. So with every adjective, Sarvapadastha

*Sanstrut rendering

सत्ता सर्वपदस्था सविश्यक्षण श्रनन्तपर्यांषा । भद्रोतपादधौन्यात्मिका सप्रतिपत्ता भवत्येका ॥ ६॥ may have the antithesis Ekapadastha, Viswarupa against Ekarupa. Anantaparyaya, against Ekaparyaya etc Such a description of the same thing by opposite attributes may be incompatible with the false position taken up by Ekanta philosophy that is the philosophical attitude which insists on a single point of view with reference to the extremely complex facts of reality. But such complex facts can be described by opposites without involving violent self-contradiction according to the Anekanta philosophy-philosophy of manifold aspects. Complex reality naturally claims complex attitude of the understanding Any attempt to provide life and its problems with a simple ready made framework must certainly end in failure, for conceptual analysis always implies selection and abstraction. The reality which is described by a concept will certainly be richer in content than the content of the idea. Hence is the possibility of describing the same fact of Life by di tinct and sometimes diverse conceptual symbols. This means that life is always greater than Logic It is this aspect that is expressed in the Jama attitude of Anekanta The brima facie contradiction suggested by the term Anekanta is in no way different from the Hegelian dialectic which could embrace contradictions. When the Jaina philosopher speaks of describing the same thing by opposite attributes his view need not be assumed to be m re violently shocking to the common sense attitude than Hegel's assertion that affirmation and negation are identical Both the views in short are abbarently inconsistent but both emphasize an important aspect of reality

While describing the nature of Dravya the author goes to mention the qualified identity between Satta and Dravya. These are the same from one aspect

द्वियदि गच्छिट ताइं ताइं सदमाव पज्जयाइं जं। द्वियं तं भण्णंति अण्णण्णभूदं तु सत्तादो ॥ र ॥*

9 What flows, or maintains its identity through its several qualities and modifications, and what is not different from Satt1 or Substance, that is called Dravya by the All knowing

^{*}Sanskrit rendering द्रवति गच्छति तास्तान् सङ्गावपर्यापान् यत् । द्रव्य तत् भणन्ति यनन्यभूत तु सत्तात ॥ ६ ॥

COMMENTARY

Here Satta or substance is distinguished from Drivya Dravya means that which flows or changes. While changing through its different qualities and modifications its essential nature persists. This kind of progressive development is associated with Drivya. But such development is also the characteristic of substance. Hence according to Juna attitude Dravya is not entirely different from Satta or substance. Therefore the opposite qualities mentioned above with reference to Satta or substance are also applicable to Dravya which is not different in meaning.

According to this view there is no unchanging substance or Satta in Jaina system. Such adaminatine existence cannot be identified with Drayya which is extremely volatile. Here also the similarity between Hegelian concept of 'thing and the Jaina concept of Drayya is worth noticing. Satta is not a thing in itself behind Drayya Satta and Drayya are one and the same as Hegel mentioned. Thing in itself and experience are not absolutely distinct. Drayya refers to facts of experience. Satta refers to existence or reality. One may be abstracted from the other but it is not different from the other as a fact.

10

Then he speaks of the other characteristices of Dravy a

द्व्यं सत्त्वस्वणियं उप्पाद्व्वयथुवत्तसंजुत्तं । गुणपज्जयासयं वा जं तं भण्णंति सव्वण्ह ॥ १० ॥*

10 Whatever has substantiality has the dialectical triad of birth, death, and permanence and is the substratum of qualities and modes, is Dravya So say the All-I nowing

COMMENTARY

Here the three characteristics of Dravya are described First Dravya has the quality of Sat' or existence Secondly it has the quality of permanence through birth and death Thirdly it is the

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

substratum of attributes and conditions The quality of 'Sat' emphasises the substantial reality of Dravya It is not merely the form of the intellect. It has an existence in Rerum Natura triple quality of dialectical change is the second attribute Utpada is appearence, i.e., assuming new modification. This does not mean creation out of nothing Creation by the flat of a Will is not recognised by the James Utpada therefore means that phase of the process of the development when a new form is assumed Vyaya is losing the previous form Here also it is different from absolute disappearance It only means that phase in the process of development where the carlier form is replaced by the succeeding one. Dhruva refers to the persistence of the essential nature of Dravya which undergoes develop ment and which makes both Utpada and Vyaya simultaneously possible. In fact the process of development includes all the three phases This fact is not only recognised by the scientists like Darwin and Spencer, but by the great French philosopher, Bergson, who raised it to an important philosophical principle Lastly Dravya to the substratum of qualities and modes. Attributes and modifica tions will have no basis if they do not rest on something real. This does not mean that Dravya is merely a prop, supporting an alien fact, The attribute is the thing and the thing has the the attribute attribute, but still the Jamas do not admit that the attributes alone are sufficient to constitute a reality. For them, esse is not percifit Attributes in order to be objective and not merely psychical do require an objective basis Such a basis Drayya is Finally it is to be noted that these three characteristics are inseparable from one another Satta pre supposes Utpada, Vyaya, Dhruvattva and also is the substratum Similarly process of development implies Satta, which again cannot be existing apart from qualities and modes Neither of the three can exist apart from the other two In short the three characteristics express the same essential nature of Dravya in three different ways

11

Then Dravya is examined from two points of view -

(1) Dravyarthika Navi, the aspect of substance, and (2) Paryayarthika Nava, the aspect of change or development.

उप्पत्तीव विणासी दृष्वस्स य णित्य अत्यि सन्भावी (वय' उप्पादंभुवत्तं करंति तस्सेव पज्जायाः॥ १९॥[†]

11 Dravya in its reality can neither be created nor destroyed, it has only permanent substantiality. But through its modes, it secures the triple qualities of permanence, appearance and disappearance

COMMENTARY

According to Dravyarthika Naya Dravya, eg, gold can neither be created nor destroyed. It exists and that is all. But the orna ments and other things made of gold are the Paryayas or modes. These may change one ornament may be melted and a new one be made. The disrippearance of the previous ornament is Vyaya and the appearance of the new ornament is Utpada, and yet all the while there is the same gold. Dhruva. Dravya then has both the qualities, permanence and change, it is permanent as Dravya and changing as Paryaya.

10

Then it is pointed out that there is no fundamental difference between Dravya and Paryaya, substance and its mode

पज्जयविजुदं दव्वं दव्वविजुत्ता य पज्जया नित्य । द्रोण्हं अणण्णभूदं भावं समणा परूविंति ॥ १२ ॥‡

. 12 There is neither substance without mode nor mode without substance. The one cannot be without the other, so say the Śramanas

COMMENTARY

Though there is a difference between substance and its mode from the points of significance, quality and utility, yet the one cannot exist apart from the other no the difference is not fundamental. For

†Sanskrit rendering

उत्पत्तिर्घा विनाशो दृष्यस्य च नास्त्यस्ति सङ्गावः। घय उत्पादभुवत्य कुर्वन्ति तस्यैव पर्यायाः॥ ११ ॥

Sanskrit rendering

पर्ययियुत द्रश्य द्रश्यवियुक्ताश्च पर्याया न सन्ति । दुयोरनन्यभूत भाव अमणा प्रस्तपपन्ति ॥ १२ ॥

^{*}There is another reading of it in the printed edition of this work in Raichandra Jain Granthamala as वित्रमृत्याइ

example an ornament which is the Paryava of gold is different from gold in Samjūā or significance in Laksana or attribute, and in Prayo jana or utility. But still there can be no ornament apart from gold and gold apart from some form or mode of it. The relation between Dravya and Paryaya is the same as the relation between matter and form. No matter without form and no form without matter.

13

Next he estribisher the identity of cubitance and qualities दव्वेण विणा ण गुणा गुणेहिं दव्यं विणा ण संभवित । अव्वदिरित्तो भावी दव्यगुणाणं हवित तक्षा ॥ १३ ॥*

13 There is neither quality without substance nor substance without quality, hence these two are not incompatible in their nature

12

COMMENTARY

Sapta—Bhangi or the seven aspected logical predication is an important doctrine of Jaina logic. The same object may be described by seven distinct propositions. These are the seven possible ways of a priori description. We cannot have another proposition about an object, which cannot be brought under any one of the seven propositions. The complex nature of a real object or Draya is amenable to description by the above seven and only seven propositions. To have a complete description then the thing must be taken in all the seven ways. In each case, it is the same fact that is the subject of the propositions which are certainly diverse in nature. That only means that different and apparently conflicting ideas may be predicated of the same subject. This is possible only in Anekanta philosophy.

The different propositions are all beginning with the term 'Syât which means bethabs. The proposition aims, at the most only at probable truth Jaina logicinis were evidently extremely cautious. With the consciousness of seven possible predications they would never assert anything categorically about anything. Absolute categorical assertion may be justified in Ekanta philosophy. But the Jaina thinker in his dread of such absolutest attitude never hesitited to emphis z= h s r latinistic philosophy even at the cost of verbal redundency.

The prefix 534t therefore twes the proposition from becoming an absolute assertion. The quality predicated is probably or perhaps true. The predication is accepted provisionally with the full recognition that the same may be denied and that other ideas may be affirmed of the subject.

1 The proposition Syadasts Dravya means that existence is affirmed of a thing from the point of view of its own Dravya or essence Essential characteristic may be safely affirmed of a thing The affirmation has meaning with reference to its own Isetra or place ie you can say that a thing is when you refer to its place of existence Similarly the proposition is significant with reference to its own Kala You can affirm the existence of a being during its life time Again affirmation is significant if reference to to its Bhava or quality. The quality of a thing can be safely predicated of the thing Hence the proposition Syddasts Dravya is an affirmation about the Dravya with reference to its own Dravya (substance), keta (place), Kala (time) and Bhava (quality)

- 2 Symmasti Diavya, (perhaps the thing is not) This proposition is a negation about a thing from the point of view of Para Draya (then substance), Pira Kestra then phochess Pira Kala (alien time) and Para Bhaya (then quality) i.e., you can have a negative proposition of a thing in the following manner.
 - 1 X is not Y (Para Drasya)
 - 2 \ \ is not in Y (Para Kselra)
 - 3 X is not now (Para Kâla), ie, it censed to exist or it is not yet born
 - 4 A has not the quality Y, re, (Para Bhava)
- 3 Syddastmusts Drawya (perhaps the thing is and is not) Both the conflicting predicates may be applied to the same subject, provided the following condition is satisfied. The affirmed predicate must refer to Sta Drawya (its own substance), Sva Ksetra (its own place), Sva Kala (its own time) and Sva-Bhava (its own quality.) And the predicate denied must refer to Para Drawya (other substance), Para Ksetra) (other place), Para Kåla (other time) and Para Bhåva (other quality.)
- 4 Syndatakiatjam Dravy: (perhaps the thing is beyond description) There is no word which would bring out the implication of both the affirmation and negation of a thing at the same time Aviktivya should not be interpreted to be absolutely inde-cribable for their 'Arakiavya' itself would become meaningless. It only refers to the impossibility of finding an id-a which would include both the thesis and the antithesis at the same time.
- 5 Systastav ktarya (perhaps the thing is and is beyond description). When reference is made to Sia Draya, Sia Ksetra etc, the thing exists and hence the affirmation and when reference is made at the same time to Sia Para Draya, Sia Para Ksetra etc. the thing becomes beyond description and when attention is directed to both the abovementioned aspects, affirmation and indefinability will be the fifth Bhanga, namely—perhaps a thing is and is beyond description.
- 6 Syannastian oktavya (perhaps a thing is not and is indefinable)
 Here the first reference is negation from the point of Para Drays,
 Para Ksetra etc Secondly the reference is to the indescrib ubility
 and when both these characteristics, denial and indefinability are
 associated with the thing at the same time then the proposition
 "perhaps that it is not and is beyond description" becomes significant.

7 Syad asti nasti avaktavya Dravya, (perhaps the thing is, is not and is indefinable) Affirmation is with reference to Sia Dravya etc. Negation is with reference to Para Dravya Indefinability when these two are taken at the same time. When all the three above characteristics are attended to together then the thing may be said to exist and yet not to exist, and to be beyond description.

The seven principles are divided in the following manner

- + 1 Pratyeka Bhangas single principles
 - (a) Syådasti
 - (b) Syânnasti
 - (c) Syadavaktavyn
 - 2 Dvisamyoga Bhargas dual principle
 - (a) Syadastınastı
 - (b) Syadastiavaktavya
 - (c) Synnnâstiavaktavyn
 3 Trisamyoga Bhaiga (triple principle)
 - (a) Svådaštinåstiavaktavva

It is already mentioned that the Jain's accept only seven principles. Hence the following statement of Kumarila Bhatta is condemned to be about

सप्तभगी प्रसादेन शतभग्यपि जायते ।

When seen principles are admitted then there may also be hundred Jain's believe that the true nature of reality will be understood when it is viewed according to Sapta—Bhangi

There can be neither destruction of existing Dravyas nor creation of non existing ones Concepts of creation and destruction are applicable to substance only because of its qualities and modes. In this Gathâ Dravya is shown to be permanent from Dravyârthika Naya and changing from Paryâyarthika Naya.

भावस्स णरिय णासी णरिय अभावस्स चेव उप्पादी । गुणपज्जयेसु भावा उप्पादवए पकुव्वति ॥ १५ ॥*

15 There can be no destruction of things that do exist, nor can there be creation of things out of nothing Coming into existence and ceasing to exist, things do have because of their attributes and modes

*Sanskrit rendering भावस्य नास्ति ताशो नास्ति श्रभावस्या चेव उत्पादः। गुणपर्यायेषु भावा उत्पादस्ययान् प्रकुर्वन्ति ॥ १५ ॥

COMMENTARS

For example the atoms of gold that constitute the substance gold are subject to neither creation nor destruction. But there may be appearance and disappearance in the different forms and modifications of gold, the original form may be lost, and a new form may be assumed. One ornament may be destroyed and another created. What is true of inorganic things is also true of other Dravyas such as Jiva. Jiva as such is neither created nor can be destroyed. Its essence is eternal, but it may lose its original state of existence and come into a new state of life. Life then is continuity of existence through births and deaths. Thus Dravya as such is permanent and unchanging. But its forms and modes are perpetually changing. Hence Dravya may be described by both the attributes permanent and changing according to the respective aspects or Naya.

16

Then Dravyas are further distinguished from their qualities and modes

भावा जीवादीया जीवगुणा चेदणा य उवओगी । सुरणरणारयतिरिया जीवस्स य पज्जया बहुगा ॥१६॥*

16 Jiva and other Dravyas are reals The qualities of Jiva are consciousness and upayoga, (perception and knowledge), which are manifold The soul manifests in the following forms as Deva, as man, as a member of the Hell or as a plant or an animal

COMMENTARY

The term Upayoga is used to denote Darsan and Juana Darsans is perception and Juana is knowledge Besides Upayoga there is the quality of consciousness or thought or Chetana Chetana and Upayoga constitute the mining allities of Jira. But according to Jama thought, Jiva may be pure and perfect or impure and imperfect—Suddha Jiva and Karma Jiva Karma Jiva is not a distinct kind. It'is the same Suddha Jiva soiled by the Karma.

Chetana or thought when associated with Suddha Jiva would mean perfect thought to which there can be nothing opaque

Sanskrit rendering

भावा जीवाद्या जीवगुणारचेतना चापयोग । सरतरनारकतिर्वञ्चो जीवस्य च पर्यायाः बहुव ॥ १६ ॥

Upayogt also will be Suddha Darsana and Suddha Juana—perfect perception and perfet kno vledge. The whole of reality forms in object of perception to Suddha Darsana and at the same time the whole review is known to Suddha Juana. Suddha Chetina and Suddha Upayoga, consciousness perfection and understanding all perfect and pure constitute the Syabhaya Guna—the intrinsic qualities of Jiva. These Sabhaya Gunas are potentially present in all Jivas and explicitly manifest in Siddha Jiva or the Realised belf!

The very same Svabhava Gunas get corrupt because of the interference of Karma. Then they become Vibhava Gunas of Juva or the extrinsic qualities of Jiva Chetanâ and Upayoga become Aśuddha. The imperfect and the impure consciousness of man and other Samsara states of Jiva is Aśuddha Chetana. It is the Vibhāva Guna which must be got rid off before the self-attains purity and regains its intrinsic Suddha Chetana. Similarly Suddha Darśana and Suddha Jinana become. Aśuddha in Samsari Jivas Sense perception or Indriya Darśana and knowing or Aśuddha Jinâna are the forms of Upayoga which is corrupt. This again in the Vibhava Jinana of Jiva. The Vibhava Gunas accordingly are present in all Samsara Jivas.

In a similar way Jiva has two main Paryayas Sabhava or Suddha Paryaya Vibhava or Asuddha Paryaya. The Siddha state the state of purity and perfection is the Svabhava Paryaya, whereas all Samsara states are Vibhava Paryayas

The listrictive between quita ties and modifications or states of existence may also be illustrated with reference to other Dravyas

Next it is pointed out again that though things have origin and decay from the point of forms or modes they have no change in essence

मणुसत्तर्णण णद्वो देही देवी हवेदि इदरी वा। उभयत्त जीवभावो ण णस्सदि स जायदे अण्णी ॥ १० ॥*

17. If a soul departs from the human state it be comes either a Deva or some other living being. In either case (during death or birth), it does not lose its intrinsic nature

^{*}Sanskrit renderi ig मनुष्यत्वेन नष्टो देही देवा भवतीतरो वा । उमयत्र जीवभावा न नर्वति न जावतेऽन्यः ॥ १०॥

COMMENTARY

When the original form is lot, the soul does not lose its own nature with the passing form and when it puts on a new form it does not get a new nature with its coming form. In spite of origin and decay of forms the soul maintains its nature and identity.

This view of soil rejects the two false views Ksanika Ekania and Nitya Ekânia. The former maintains that there is a different self at every moment as the Buddhist believes. The Juna view rejects this as untenable because it recognises the change with Paryaya or Guna and not with Dravya. Nitya Ekania view maintains that the self is absolutely permanent and unchanging and that all changes are illusory. This view also is rejected by the Janas. For them Jiva maintains its identity through the changes of Guna and Paryaya.

18

The same fact is again explained from the point of Nayas or principles of understanding

सी चेव जादि मरणं जादि ण णहो ण चेव उप्पण्णो । उप्पण्णो य विग्रहो देवो मणुसुन्तिपज्जाओ॥ १८ ॥*

18. Though the soul experiences both birth and death, yet it is neither really destroyed nor created. Origin and decay refer respectively to the disappearing Deva state or the appearing human state and these are only its Paryayas or modes.

एवं सदो विगासो असदो जीवस्स ग्रिय उप्पादो । तावदिओ जीवाणं देवो मणुसोत्ति गदिगामो ॥ १६ ॥*

19 Thus neither an existing thing is hable to death or destruction nor a non existing one can come into being. The Jiva has the Deva state or human state as the effect of the Gatin ima Karma and the duration of each state is conditioned by its own Nama karma.

COMMENTARY

The Âtma in itself has neither beginning nor end and yet it will take infinite forms. Each form of evistence will be of a particular Gail. Gail is a general class of beings. Four such classes are recognised by the Junis. Manusya. Deva Naraka and Tiryak Gatis. The birth of Âtmā into any one of these forms is entirely conditioned by a particular kind of Karma. This Karma which leads the soul to take forms according to the different Gails is called Gatinama Karma. The form and the duration of life are dependent upon the quality and the strength of this Nama karma.

When the particular Nama karma exhausts its efficiency, the particular form of life brought about by that karma ceases to exist and the soul puts on another form that is enters into another Gati as determined by the new Nama karma acquired during the previous life. Thus Åtmå is like an actor who takes several parts on the stage. Forms are put off and put on while the actor is one and the same. Through all the changing forms the soul maintains its identity and nature for which there is neither birth nor death. And finally when the Åtmå gets liberated from the Karmas it still maintains its everlasting nature of course pure and perfect.

20

It is pointed out that the soul which by liberation from Karma attains Moksa is not absolutely distinct from the soul which was in Samsåra

For a gem purified is not fundamentally different from its own state before purification The gem is the same though free from dirt

*S inskrit rendering

षव सते। विनारोाऽसते। जीवस्य नास्त्युत्पाद । तावरजीवाना देवा मनुष्य इति गतिनाम ॥ १६॥

णाणावरणादीया भावा जीवेण सुद्धुजणुवद्वा । तेसिमभावं किच्चा अभूदपुब्वो हवदि सिद्धो ॥ २० ॥*

20 Jiva on account of his Bhava Karma or an impure emotional state may get utterly bound by the Karmas such as Juantvarniya (the knowledge-clouding Karma) (Then he becomes a Samsari Jiva) But he may (through the realisation of his own nature) completely liberate himself from that bondage and then actains a state unknown to him before the state of perfection

COMMENTARY

Though gold may be different from briss, yet it is mixed with it. In the same way, Jiva pure in itself gets bound by harmis. The initial condition is its own impure heart called "Bhata Karma. On account of this psychological state the Dravya Karmas, or the different kinds of Karmic matter are attracted and get deposited on the IA's, thereby shrouding its light and glory.

When the Jiva is so bound by Karmas it undergoes a series of manifestations. But finally by realising its true nature it may liberate itself from Karmic shickles and get Niraha. When once this stage is reached there is no fear of coming back to Samsâra Jainas do not assume the doctrine of the fall of man. Every Jiva to begin with is a Karma Jiva and Niryāna is a unique state to be acquired anew and for the hirst time. The stitle of nature is not a state of freedom. It is a state of bondage, Jiva finds itself in chains, and by its own exertion secures freedom.

21

Then it is stated that the Atma with the manifestation of Guna and Paryaya (attributes and modes) will lose its existing nature and assume a new state of existence according to the Paryayar thika Naya

ब्रानावरखाद्या भावा जीवेन सुष्टुः श्रतुबद्धाः । तेपामभाव बृत्वाऽभृतपूर्वो भवति सिद्धः ॥ २० ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

एवं भावसभावं भावाभावं अभावभावं च । गुणपज्जयेहिं सहिदो संसरमाणो कुणदि जीवो ॥ २९ ॥*

21. Thus the Jiva with its attributes and modes, roaming in Samsara, may lose its particular form and assume a new one Again this form may be lost and the original regained.

COMMENTARY

Dray's or substance has the quality of identity and difference. It is permanent while changing. It's Dray's is capable of taking different forms or Paryây's. This process of Paryâyic change is Samafar. In the scriet of Simafar with reference to a particular Jiva there is the pressing away of old forms and the coming in of new ones. These changes of forms do not pre suppose the loss of identity of the Jiva. There is same Jiva as an individual survives after each change thus maintening its effect at the particular Infinite number of Jivas each having its own Paraga's constitute the total of Samsára. At any particular moment, the simultaneous and co-existing forms of different. Jivas will make up the Samsára of that moment which means the organic world the mankind, and the Devas and the Nårikas and animals and plants of that moment.

After describing the Drayas in general by way of introduction the author is going to speak about Kala or time which is indispensably related to the five Astikâyas. Before going to the description of time, the author re-capitulates the five existences or Astikâyas in relation to which only time has relevency and meaning

जीवा पुग्गलकाया आयासं अत्थिकाइया सेसा । अमया अत्थित्तमया कारणभुदा हि लोगस्स ॥ २२ ॥†

22. The souls, the muterul bodies, space, together with the remaining two, Dharma and Adharma, are the uncreated existences that constitute the world. These are the Astikiyas

^{*}Sanskrit rendering पर्य भाषमभाषं भाषाभाषमभाषमायं च । गुणपर्थेश सहितः संसरन् करोति जीयः ॥ २१ ॥ ¹Sanskrit rendering

जीय पुद्गलकायाः भ्राकाशमस्तिकायी शेषी । भ्रमया भस्तिन्यमयाः कारणमृता हि लोकस्य ॥ २२ ॥

COMMENTARY

The infinite number of couls the infinite number of physical bodies space and the two physical principles of (Dharma and Adharma) of motion and rist—the care the constitutive elements of the world. These are uncreated and eternal Jamas recognise the reality of space. To complete the world they also pre suppose the two principles of motion and rest called by them Dharma and Adharma.

23

Then Time is described. It is the instrument of change in the above five Astikayas. This is real Time or absolute Time From the echanges we have the perception of duration and interval which corresponds to relative or Vyuaharika Time. Real Time is allo a matter of inference from the changes in the above Astikayas.

सदभाव सभावाणं जीवाणं तह य पोग्गलाणं च । परियह्रस्पसंभूदो कालो स्थिमेस परस्पत्तो ॥ २३ ॥ *

23 That on account of which these existences the Jivas, material bodies, the Dharma and Adharma undergo changes is called real time or Kåla Dravya.

COMMENTARY

Time is of tvo kinds real and relative. Birth, growth and decay of things are possible only because of the former absolute time. The relative Time is made up of conventional periods measured by unit based upon those changes. This is merely an aspect of real time which is constituted by Instants. This is unconditional and absolute

First of all the distinction between absolute and relative time remaid one of Nextons of struction. The idealist for whom the world of concrete experience is illusory may speak of time as a form of experience. But one who accepts the reality of the concrete world and its changes must also admit the reality of Time. Jamas being realists do recognise the reality of time. If change is real again, Time must also be real. It is the instrument of creative evolution as Bergion would say. And lastly the abolute or real time is constituted by instants which correspond to the points of space and

^{*}Sanskrit renderine

particles of matter. In fact, these three classes of elements, points, instants, and particles have important function in the Juan system of Philosophy, at is also worth noticing that modern realist led by the Mathematical Philosophers admits the doctrine that space is real and is made up of points, Time is real and is made up of finistints or moments, and the physical world is real and is made of particles. And these doctrines form the fundamental concepts of the Jana view of the world.

24

Next the account of real time of which the conventional time is a form or mode

ववगद्पणवण्णस्सो ववगद्दोगंधअहुफासो य । अगुरुलहुगो अमुत्तो वहणलक्खो य कालोत्ति ॥ २८:॥*

(24) What is vithout the five colours, and the five tastes, without the two smells, and eight contacts, what is neither heavy nor light and has the character of introducing changes in other things is Time

COMMENTARY

Time has meaning for and existence in the world. It is made up of Instants or Kalanis. The Instants have neither colour, taste, nor touch. The qualities associated with physical objects cannot be applied to it. These constitute a "continuous compact series." The time series formed by Instants is one dimensional in the language of the Mathematicians, that is why Time is denied by attachy the Juan Philosopher. Time which is so constituted by Instants is called Mukhyakila or absolute time. It is also described by the following terms. —Paramatrhakila the great unconditioned time. Nischa Jakkila, true time. Drayakila, real time. It is one of the six Drayas or the Reals.

2

Then the account of relative Time which is measured by changes in the physical object or in the Jivas This conventional Time is merely a Pary tya of real time and is conditioned by the above changes.

^{*}Sanskril rendering व्यवमातपञ्चवर्णस्मा व्यवमातद्विमन्धाष्ट्रवर्शस्य। मगुप्तलपुक्षे समुनो वर्षानलक्षणस्य बाल इति ॥ २५॥

समओ णिमिसो कट्टा कला य णाली तदो दिवारती। मासोद् अयणंसंबच्छरोत्ति कालो परायत्तो ॥ २५ ॥*

25 Samaya, Nimisa, Kastha, Kala, Nali, then (Muhurta). day, month, season, Ayana, and, Samyatsara and other periods of Time are all Vyavahira or conventional time. These are determined by other objects

Samaya or moment (which is the manifestation of infinite number

of pltmate distants)

Nimis i which is made up of innumerable such moments Rustha which is equal to fifteen Aimisas Kal which is equal to thirty Kasth is

Val. which is a little over twenty Kilas Slukurta which is equal to two Nalis

Day and Night, equal to thirty Muhurtas Month which is equal to thirty days

Season which is equal to two months

Ayana Half year equal to three seasons

Samvatsara year which is equal to two Avanas COMMENTARY

The different periods enumerated in this Gatha are the conventional

periods measured by different units. These are artificial distinc tio is introduced into the continuous time series of real time. The units which determine the conventional distinctions are generally the changes in the objects of the phy ical world or of the organic world Winking of the eyelid, the desiral motion of the sun across the heavens (wlich is only apparent according to modern Astronomy) and the motion of the moon round the earth are some of the important changes of physical objects which have been traditionally associated with conventional period of measurements. Because of these conventional distinctions relative Time is said to be Parayatta dependent on other things but still since it is morely a form of real time which is an unconditioned Drayra Vyavahāra Kula is said to be conditioned in a way It is called hatha whit Parijatta, somewhat conditioned

Then is explained what is meant by somewhat conditioned in the case of relative Time

*Sanskrit re iderine समयो निमिपः काष्टा वला च नाली ततो विधारात्रं। मासर्वेषनस्वत्सर्मिति काला प्रावस. ॥ २५ ॥

24

णित्य चिरं वा सिप्पं मत्तारिहदं तु सा वि सि मत्ता। पुदुगलदृक्वेण विणा तहाा कालो पहुच्चभवो॥ २६॥*

26 Duration of time either long or short is impossible apart from a standard of measurement. The standard of measurement also has no meaning apart from material objects. Hence conventional or relative time is brought about by extraneous conditions.

COMMENTARY

Vyavahara Kala or conventional time consists of periods of durition which may be either short or long periods is not intrinsic. It is entirely due to external conditions such as the moments of the physical bodies. Therefore though time as such is unconditioned Vyavaharakâla is certainly conditioned by alien objects. Thus Time as such is the instrument of change or Parinama in the five existences of the world, while itself has the Vyavaharaka Paryayas measured by the changes of the other objects.

Here ends the Introductory Chapter of the Pafichâstikaya

CHAPTER I

27

After describing the Dravyas in general the author examines them in detail. He takes up Jiva Dravya first for that is the most important

जीवोत्ति हवदि चेदा उपओगविसेसिदो पहूकता। भोत्ता य देहमत्ती ण हि मुत्ती कम्मसंजुत्तो ॥ २० ॥

27 The soul has the following attributes. It has Life, Consciousness, Upayoga, (knowledge and perception) and 12 Potent, performs actions, and 13 affected by their results, 18 conditioned by his own body, 18 incorporcal and 18 ordinarily found with Karma.

Sinskrit renderine

नास्ति चिरं वा तिम्न मात्रारहित नु सापि रालु मात्रा । पुरुगलद्रव्येन विना तस्मात्कालः मतीत्यभवः॥ २६॥

Sanskrit rendering

जीप इति भवति चेत्रियोपयागियशेषियः प्रमु. वर्षा । भोता च देहमात्रो न हि मुर्चः वर्मसंयुक्तः ॥ २७ ॥

COMMENTARY

The author enumerates nine attributes These attributes are true not only of Sopadhi Jivas, but also of Nirupādhi Jivas The enumer ated attributes refer to Sopadhi Jiva—the Jiva that has Upādhi or limitations Of course the author implies also the correlative nine attributes of the Nirupādhi Jiva or the liberated soul

The attributes relating to Sopadhi Jiva are -

- (1) Life, se, living with Dasa pranas or ten life principles
- (2) Chetaná or consciousness i e, the ordinary finite consciousness which as associated with will and emotion viz, acting and enjoying
- (3) Upayoga Upayoga is the manifestation of Chetana in the act of understanding Juana and Darsana are the two Upayogas, Knowledge and perception These two modes of the understanding Karmic changes. The yelation may be said to be in inverse ratio. They decrease in intensity, and quality as the Karmic veil becomes thicker and stronger. If the Karmas decay or disappear then the Upayogas have the chance of fuller manifestation.
- (4) Lordship or the capacity to assume different states of existence in Samsara He is the architect of his own life?
- (5) Rart: He is the doer of his own Karmas—both Drayya and Bhava (Physical and mental Karmas)
 - (6) Blokt: He is the enjoyer of the fruits of his own Karmas
- (7) Deham tra Being embodied he may be said to be of the same dimensions as the body
 - (8) Am arta is incorporeal being spritual by nature
- (9) Karma-Samjuktah is born with Katmas, being a Samsari Jiva

Similarly we have the nine corresponding attributes of the Niru padhi Jiva or the liberated soul -

- (1) Living the life of pure and perfect existence
- (2) Having the consciousness which is infinite in contentment
- (3) Suddha Upayoga. He has the pure modes of hevala Jaana and hevala Daráana perfect knowledge and perfect perception
- (4) Lord. He is the true Lord because of the freedom acquired by annihilation of harmas
- (5) He is the true Karts or Doer being independent of and undetermined by extrareous conditions, Self determined

- (6) Is the enjoyer of the eternal and infinite bliss begotten of the complete realisation of self
 - (7) Is the approximate size of the body possessed by him last
- (8) And yet is without form being spiritual and being free from all Karmas or physical qualities
- (9) And is Karma-nirmukta is absolutely free from the bondage of Karma

Thus the author in a single gatha describes the two kinds of Jivas each with the nine qualities

कम्ममलविष्पमुद्धी उद्दं लोगस्स अंतमधिगंता। सो सब्बणाणदरसी लहदि सहमणिदियमणंतं॥ २८॥*

28 Atma which is free from the defect of Karma gets to the highest point of the universe, knows all and perceives all, and obtains the transcendental bliss everlasting

COMMENTARY

Of the nine attributes the attribute of lordship is taken first for explanation. It is known by experience and by the study of scriptures that Soul is the lord of his own attributes and states of ex stence Impurity of the heart or false faith may lead him it to Samsara The Lordship should not therefore be a terpreted only with the reference to the fall from a high estate. Even when he is in the right path the path that takes h m to the never before experienced bliss everlasting he is the Lord of his own destiny. He is not to be imagined merely as a dr ft wood carried up and down by the waves in the Ocean of Samsåra. That would make him helpless in the hands of extrinsic Karmic forces. No. He is the Creator the Karmas themselves owe their existence to his will. Hence he is his own Lord whether he walks the path of righteousness or choses the other one Atma is his own maker and Lord

laina philosophy is peculiarly associated with its own cosmogony Heavens and hells are arranged in an order. The soul that gets liberation quits the place rises up to the summit of Loka where he retains his abode This Dogma is referred to in the Gatha As the

natural and inevitable result of self realisation the true Lordship of the oil consists in inheriting the abode of blies at the summit of the world

29

Then it is mentioned that this everlasting heavenly bliss is ecured by Atma be his own efforts without any extraneous help

जादो सयं स चेदा सवण्हू सञ्वलोगद्रसी य । पप्पोदि सुहमणन्तं अञ्बावाधं सगममुत्तं ॥ २९ ॥*

29 Thus Atm1 becoming omniscient and all perceiving through its own effort obtains the infinite bliss which transcends sense experience which is free from any imperfection, which is sputtard and self determined.

COMMENTARY

This Gatha goes with the presions one and states that infinite bissis an acquisition of the self through its own exertions. This brings out the quality of Prabhutya or lordship over ones own destiny.

30

After the description of the attribute Prabbutsa we have an account of Jivatva or Life characteristic. Here the author adopts the Vyavahárítva point of view and describes the characteristics of organic beings in Samsárá.

पाणिहिं चदुहिं जीवदि जीवस्सदि जी हु जीविदी पुव्वं। सी जीवी पाणा पुण वलिमिदियमाउ उस्सासी ॥ ३० ॥ १

30 Whatever thing manifesting through four Pranas (or principles of organism) is fiving at present, will continue to live in the future, and was living in the past, that same is Jiva Again the Pranas are Bala, or strength, Indriya or the senses, Ayuh or the age and Vehehhy is or respiration

Sanskrit rendering
 जातः स्वयं सं चेतियना सर्वतः सर्वेलोरद्शीं च ।
 प्राप्नीति सल्यमनन्यमध्यायाथ स्वयं ममुत्तम् ॥ २६ ॥

'Sanskrit rendering प्राचैत्वनुर्मिर्जीवति जीवष्यति वः खनु जानितः पूर्वे । स जाराः प्राचः पनवस्मिन्द्रियमायुरुष्टवामः ॥ २०॥ 2

A living organism must have these four Pranis or life principles You cannot think of a living being devoid of the e characteristics Hence the author trying to describe the nature of life as we know it, enumerates the four fundamental characteristics of organic life These are

Balapråna or strength which consists of

(a) Manobala or strength of mind (b) Valbala or strength of speech

(c) Kayabala or strength of body Indriva pranas are the senses

(a) Sparfa or contact sense through skin

(b) Rasa or taste through tongue

(c) Ghrana or smell through nose

(d) Śabda or sound through ears (e) Chaksu or vision through eve-

Thus the Indriva- are five

(3) Âyuh Prâna which is the duration or age of life and it is one

(4) Uchhyasa or respiration is one

Thus the four Pranas become ten Pranas when details are taken into consideration. These Pranas need not all of them with all the details be present together in an organism, ie, there may be an organism which has not all the five Indrijas. But there must be the four main characteristics. These Pranas are generated by the respective Karmas The number and quality of the Prinas will be determined by the Karmic differences. These are considered to be the characteristics of soul, only from \ javaharika point cular Vyavahara point adopted here is called by the Jaina Philosopher, अनुप्रति चसतम्त व्यवदारमय se, non conventional and relative aspect of attending to the unessential nature of a thing se, these characteristics do not belong to Atma according to the principle of absolute reality or Suddha Nischayanaya.

31 and 32

Next he enumerates the general and special characteristics of Jiva, the characteristics both manifested and unmanifested अगुरुष्रहुगा अणंता तेहिं अणंतेहिं परिणदा सब्वे। देसेहिं असंखादा सियलोगं सन्वमावण्णा ॥३१॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

भगुरसपुका अनुलास्तरनन्तैः परिख्ताः सर्वे । देशैरसंस्याता स्याञ्चोकं सर्वमापद्माः॥ ३१ ॥

केचितु अंणावण्णा मिच्छादंसणकसायजोगजुदा । विजुदा य तेहिं बहुगा सिद्धा संसारिणो जीवा ॥३२॥*

31 32 The spiritual qualities of Jiva are infinite. Jivas assume different forms through the manifestation of these infinite attributes. Taking the point of world space some souls through their complete inanifestation may fill the whole world Others are not so fully manifested. These are filled with false faith blinding emotions, erroneous knowledge and perception. The former class of souls are free from these defects and are called the perfect ones. And the latter are the Samsin Jivas or the imperfect ones. Each class contains infinite number of individuals.

system Bhakit and Jifana are inadequate either severally or jointly to lend the soul to Mokan. Châritra or conduct is the indispensible third to constitute Mokamarga. If individuality is merely pleasure moral value will also become purely relative Ultimate reality may be complete without conserving moral value which becomes merely an unessential characteristic confined to the world of appearance. Any system that attaches great importance to moral value that believes that results would be incomplete without the conservation of values, cannot afford to play with individuality and must necessarily be pluralistic explicitly or in plicitly.

33

Next he explains the characteristic that soul is of the came dimensions as its own body which is acquired through Karmas. He explains it by analogy

जह पडमरायरयणं सित्तं सीरे पभासयदि सीरं । तह देही देहत्थो सदेहमत्तं पभासयदि ॥ ३३ ॥*

33 Just as the lotus hued ruby when placed in a cup of milk imparts its lustre to the milk, so Atma residing in its own body imparts its lustre or intelligence to the whole body

COMMENTARY

Aimá is in itself non special bence it is not accurate to ask "Where does it reside in its body? It pervides through the whole body. It it is heated in may particular part of the body, the parts away from its residence will have to be somehow related to consciousnes. The Jaina sistem avoids the whole difficulty by considering the soul to be completely pervading in its own body. This pervading is not to be interpreted as a sort of physical expansion. It is merely spiritual manifestation still since the relation of consciousness is intimate with its own body which is physical and special. Atma is considered to be a hava. But this hayatra would not make it physical. It is districtly defined to be spiritual.

34

It is characterist of co extensiveness with the boly is true in its fresent as well is its past and future states or forms. Then

Sanskrit rentering

यया पद्मरागरत्न क्षिम क्षीरे प्रमानयति क्षीरं । तथा देही देहम्यः स्वदेष्टमात्र प्रमानयति ॥ ३३॥

the author mentions the sub tantiality of the soul, its distinctness from the body and the reason of its different at tes of existence

सन्वत्य अत्यि जीवो ण य एक्को एक्ककाय एक्क्कि। अज्भवसाणविसिद्वो चिद्वदि महिणीः,रजमलेहिं ॥३१॥*

34 Jiva pervades the whole body Still he is not of e with the b dy though when functioning, he is identical with it Impelled by gross emotions, stained by Karmas he puts on different forms in the cycle of Samsåra

COMMENTARY.

जेसिं जीवसहावी णित्य अभावी य सव्वहा तस्स । ते होति भिण्णदेहा सिद्धा विचिगीयरमदीदा ॥३५॥*

35 Those Jivas which have not the life principles (Dravya Pranas or the sense organs) and yet are not altogether devoid of them (as the Bhāva Prānas are intact) are the ones that are free from corporeal limitation and they are the perfect ones beyond description.

COMMENTARY

The body is the limiting condition of Âtma Pradesa or the dimen sion of Âtma. The size of the perfected soul is said to be a little less than that of its last body for it is free from the 'Yoga' the principle which brings about contraction and expansion or shrinking and diffusing. It may be said to be metely an assemblage of pure knowledge and other qualities of perfection.

That Suddha state is shown to be neither the cause nor the effect of the Samsara series. It being absolutely unconditioned cannot be an item of the conditioned series of causation

ण कुदोचि वि उपण्णो जहाा कज्जं ण तेण सो सिद्धो । उप्पादेदि ण किंचि वि कारणमविःतेण ण स होदि ॥३६॥ ं

36. The Siddha is not to be born again at any time in Samstra. Hence he is not to be an effect (he is not to be causally determined by anything else). Nor is he to bring about a change in anything else, therefore he is not a cause either

COMMENTARY

Siddha has secured the absolute Amuurta State, the state of perfect spirituality and is incapable of maintaining causal relation with the Samsara series. The latter is determined by Karmic conditions. The

येषां जीवस्यभाषां नास्त्यभाषश्च सर्वथा तस्य । ते मबन्ति भिन्नदेहाः सिद्धा षाग्गोचरमतीताः ॥ ३५ ॥ ,

'Sinstral rendering
म बुतिहचदप्युत्पस्रो यहमात् वार्यं व तेन स सिद्धाः।
उत्पादपति न किचिद्धि कारणमणि तेन म स मवति ॥ ३६॥

Sanskrit renderine

former is not so conditioned. The casual category which is true of the conditioned series is not to be applied to the unconditioned reality. The argument is the same as that employed by Kant with the reference to the Thing in itself. What is true of the experience need not necessarily be true of the metaempirical. The Siddha state then is true-senden al Self.

37

Then he states that the soil maintains its intrinsic nature and a real even in its Siddha or perfect state. Thus the Bud dustic view of Nix ura as the annihilation of self is condemned and rejected.

सस्सदमध उच्छेदं भव्वमभव्वं च सुण्णमिद्रं च । विण्णाणमविण्णाणं ण विजुज्जिद्दि असदि सद्भावे॥३०॥*

37 That he is infinite in perfection and yet finite with reference to temporal life, that he is born into perfection and yet dead from Sameira, that he is the pegation of all extrinsic qualities and still the affirmation of his own intrinsic nature, that he has I nowledge perfect and yet devoid of knowledge imperfect, these eight attributes will not be associated with him if 'Nixi unt' is interpreted inhilistically.

COMMENTARY.

As we end above, this Gathà defends the Juna view of Self against the nihilistic interpretation of the Buddhists. Even in Siddha state the self does not lose its "Sathhava" substantial reality

It is only perfection of the already existing true nature which is in the germ in the finite self. Complete evolution is no annihilation. There must be more of its real nature and not les-

With this ends the Amurta characteristic of JIva

38

Next the characters tic of 'Chetan: The author notices the three forms of Chetan: or consciousness

*S inskrit rendering

3

शास्त्रनमधोच्छेरो भन्यमभन्य च गृत्यमितरच । विश्वानमविश्वान नापि युज्यते श्रसति सङ्गवे ॥ ३७ ॥

कम्माणं फलमेक्को एक्को कज्जं तु णाणमध एक्को । चेदयटि जीवरासी चेदगभावेण तिहिवेण ॥३८॥†

38 One lind of Jiva experiences merely the fruits of Iva ma, pleasure pain. Another experiences countive activity as well, still another has pure and perfect. I nowledge. Thus consciousness is manifested in three fold awareness.

COMMENTARY

The first two states of experience are related to Samsåri Jian for the have reference to harma, whereas the third has reference to pure Chetan. Saubhasa and hence is a sociated with the perfect one. The implicit recognition by the author of the three different aspects of consciousnes a feeling activity, and a nowledge is worth noticing from the point of modern P 3 chology.

Then be specifies the June according to the three aspects of consciousnes anoticed above

सन्त्रे खलु कम्मफलं थावरकाया तसा हि कज्जजुदं। पाणित्तमदिक्कंता णाणं विदंति ते जीवा॥ ३६॥*

39 Indeed all fixed organisms ble plants experience merely feeling, but the moving ones, the animals have besides feeling, conative experience. Whereas those that transcend the organic conditions or Prain's experience pure knowledge.

COMMENTARY

Plants are fixed and inexpable of movement, and can therefore only suffer the environmental changes. They can only feel the mechanical and elimitic stimula around. The moving organism because of their movement are capable of experiencing their own activity. In their experience then there is besides feeling the

Sanskrit renderine

वर्मणा फलमेर, परः वार्यं तु झानमधेरः । चेतपनि जीपराशिरचेतरभाचेन त्रिविधेरः॥ ३=॥

[&]quot;S ir sleit ren lers ig

सर्वे सनु दर्भपल स्थायस्वायात्रसा हि वार्ययुत्त । प्राणियमनिवाला द्वार्ग यिद्धाला मे जीवा ॥ ३४ ॥

consciousness of activity, whereas to experience pure thought, there must be complete absence of physical and organic conditions. Such a being is certainly the Mukta Jiva

After the wonderful discoveries of Dr Boae with reference to plant life it is not necessary to defend the proposition that plants are capable of feeling. Western science, though it recognised the organic nature of plants was very much reluctant to admit the correlative organic characteristic of feeling in plants. This is only another phase of the Cartesian prejudice with reference to plants and animals. Descartes was resyonable for the view that animals were skillfull created automata or machines. Derivin give a death blow to this plulo ophical superstition and Bose d d the same service to the plant world and thereby distinctly established the fundamental unity of the organic, world and this is the thesis presupposed in the above Gatha.

Thus et J. the di cu sien of Chetana characteristic of Jiva

Then the quality of Upayoga or the instrument of knowledge

उवओगो खलु दुविहो णाणेण य दंसणेण संजुत्तो । जीवस्स सन्वकालं अणण्णभूदं वियाणीहि ॥४०॥*

40 Upayoga the instrument or means of I nowledge is twofold—Juána or understandin, and Darsana or perception It is inseparable from and always present in Jiva So do thou learn its nature

COMMENTARY

One of the commentators ease that this Gatha is addressed to a disciple who is a Naijajaka Perception and understanding are essentially related to Jiva There can be no Jiva which has not perception and understanding similarly the faculties cannot exist apart from the self. This is the view of the author, the rejects the view that the faculties are adventitious and acquired.

41

Then the author de cribes the different species of Janua which is one of the Upisogas referred to in the previous Gatha

*Sanskrit rendering उपयोग रालु द्विविधो बागेन च दर्शनेन संयुनः । अध्यक्ष सर्ववालमनन्यभूत विज्ञानीदि ॥ ४० ॥

आभिणिसुदोधिमणकेवलाणि णाणाणि पंचभेयाणि । कुमदिसुद्विभंगाणि य तिण्णि वि णाणेहिं संजुत्ते ॥१९॥*

Abhimbodha (knowledge obtained by congenital mental capacity), Śruta (knowledge obtained by study), Avadhi (a kind of chirvoyant knowledge of events in different places and in different times) Manah Paryaya (telepathic knowledge of another's mind), and Kevala Jiani (the perfect knowledge). These are the five kinds of right knowledge. But, when the first three are associated with the error, or Aju'ra they form three linds of erroneous linowledge, Kumati, Kuśruti, Vibhanga Avadhi, and are included in the forms of knowledge.

COMMENTARY

Mati Inana refers to sense perception and the inferential know ledge based upon it Sruta Inana is knowledge based upon testimony obtained mainly through books. Avadhi Juana is an abnormal faculty of perception, but the perception is not conditioned by sense organs It is supposed to comprehend things and events of different places and of different times. The faculty is able to project itself either to the past or to the future. This form of awareness is quite analogous to sense perception. This in a way corresponds to the clairvoyant capacity possessed by certain "mediums." Manah Paryaya is the capacity of knowing the ideas in another's mind This corresponds in a way to telepathy of modern psychology. This gives an insight into mental facts of persons at a limited distance—the distance limit being conditioned by the strength and the quality of the faculty This should not be confounded with inferential knowledge obtained from facial expression. And lastly hevala Junia is the perfect knowledge which is associated with the Siddha State. The first two are distinctly conditioned by sense perception, whereas the other three transcend sense limitations. The last is absolutely free from any kind of physical conditions whereas the other four are still associated with corporeal existence. Avadhi and Manah Paryaya are the super normal faculties acquired under peculiar psycho physical

Sanstrit rendering

याभिनिषोधिमधुतावधिमनःपर्ययदेवलानि दानानि पश्चभेदानि । दुमतिथतविभद्गानि च त्रीएयपि द्वानैः स्वतानि ॥ ४१ ॥

conditions The normal and super normal cognitive faculties which correspond to the revelations of modern psychic research are not facts to be passed over without notice

42

Then the five Jūanas are described in detail First Mati Jūāna is taken.

मदिणाणं पुण तिविहं उवल्ही भावणं च उवओगी। तह चेव चदुविषण्पं दंसणपुत्र्वं हवादि णाणं ॥१२॥*

42 Matijuana is of three kinds—Upalabdhi or perception, Bhivani or memory, Upayoga or understanding. It is also said to be of four kinds. This Julina is always preceded by cense presentation or Darsana.

COMMENTARY. This Matifiana is certainly consequent upon sense perception It includes as the Sutra says apprehension of the object, memory, and understanding, i.e., all that is given to us through sense perception and all that we elaborate out of these sense elements in memors and imagination. Though it is mainly of three kinds it is also considered to be of four different forms. I or example the author of Tattvarthisutra speaks of अववेद्याया चारण This four fold division is not fundamentally different from the previous division was implies the sense datum, eg, Perceiving a thing to be white through the eyes is Avagriha. To attempt to determine what that white object 1, 15 \$51 This \$51 refers to the indecisive mental attitude where several alternative determinations are possible. I mally when the thing is determined, i.e. out of several alternatives when one is chosen because of certain special characteristics perceived in the white object then we have Avaya This implies the inferential element in all perception. This should not be confounded with mediate inference about other things through sense perception very same act of perception involves all these three stages lastly whenever we remember these things after sometime it is called भागा This Matignana consists of 336 forms when viewed according to different principles of Division

^{*}Sanskrit rendering मतिज्ञानं पुनस्थितियाँ उपलब्धिमायना च उपयोगः । संयेव चनुर्विशम्प दर्शन पूर्वे मधीन शानम् ॥ ४२ ॥

43

Then Sruttjuana knowledge by testimony and not by acquaintance. The objects of this knowledge may be Murta and Amurta. Physical and non physical.

सुद्रणाणं पुण णाणी भणंति लढ्ढी य भावणा चेव । उवओगणयवियप्पं णाणेण य वत्थु अत्यस्स ॥१३॥

43 The wise say that Sruta Jimaa is of four kinds—Labdhi, or association, Bhiwani or attention, Upayoga or understanding of things and Naya or the aspects of their meaning

COMMENTARY

Of thee four forms of Srutajnana or knowledge by testimony the first is Labdhi. This corre ponds to association of idea. dhi is defined to be the process of getting the meaning of one idea through its associated idea. Bhuana is the direction of attention to one idea with a view to get at the associated idea. Upayogn is the process of understanding the meaning of ideas consequent upon Bhanna Nava is viewing the menning from different relations The first three are concerned with the psychic process of acquiring knowledge through the ideas contained in books. The last is the way of understanding things from different a pect. This Naya plays a very important part in Jaina system of thought. This and the Sapta Bhangi mentioned above are the two pillars of Jaina Logic The Navas also are of seven different kinds. In order to distinguish the seven Nayas these are called Naya Sapta Bhangi as contrasted with Pramina Sapta Bhangi Naya is defined in "Naya Vivarata" भीयते गम्पतेदान धुतार्धीक सनीनय that by which the various aspects of the meaning of the scripture are understood is for us the Naya or principle. This Naya or principle of interpretation is mainly of two kinds 1 Dravy irthikanaya that pertaining to Dravya or sub tance Pary is irthika Naya that pertaining to modifications. Again Dravy irthikanaya is further sub divided into three kinds 1 Samgraha 3 Syavahara The Pary is irthika Naya 18 sub divided into four kinds 1 Rijusutra, 2 Sabda 3 Samabhi rudhi, 4 Liambhuta These seven may be explained in detail, 1. Naigamanaya Nigamah or Sankalpah or purpose. That which pertains to বিনাল or purpose is বিনাল It may be said to be the Teleological aspect of a continuous activity. When the purpose of the activity is tallon to represent the whole series them it is বিনালৰ It is defined in the Satra অৰমিনিয়েন্দ্ৰ নৰবেনাৰ মাৰ্বা বিনাল Thus when a person who is drawing water or who is busy carrying firewood is questioned. What are you doing?" He asswers "I im cooking." Here he is not actually cooling but it is the purpose which accounts for his action of carrying firewood or drawing water vide Pecyja Pady is Commentary Sarvarthaiddhi Sutra 33 of Ch I. This Naya is misunderstood by M. D. Desai (Navalaninla * and by S. C. Vidya bhusana (Nyaaayatan) *

These passages give an entirely different interpretation of this naya It is very clearly explained and illustrated by Poopapadya in his Surrarthasiddhi-Sutra 33 of the first chapter. The same explanation and also the same illustrations are adopted by another commentator of the same sutra-Seutasagara the author of Srut isaggretam-an unpublished commentary on Tateaethadhirama. We own explanation is based upon these authorities and also on the preat work on Logic-Prameya Kamala Martanda I consulted Stade idamanjari also of the Bennes I dition tage 166 and Bomlas I' is see of Rasehandrajama grantimala page 198. Here also the same interpretation is found. If in a series of qualities or action and carr is taken as the representative of the whole, this representative aspect is Naigama. The derivation given by Mr. Desti may also mean the same Natham, grhatite Nigeme nigemo bana- Valgama The particular that is not restricted to itself, but poes beyond itself to unily and represent the whole

This representative character is of course not to be identified with either the mere universal or particular. It is different from both When a person is making preparations to start on journey, we say "He states." At the moment of the statement he is not starting, but it going to start. Similary "He dwells berte, need not mean that the person must be actually be in the residence at the moment;

2 Sameraha स्वतास्पितिरायनैक्द्रप्रमुगनीय पर्यापानाहान्त भेदानिरिशेषेण समन प्रदुषारसम्बद्ध — (तत्वार्थमुक)

स्यजारविरोधेनेकस्यस्पर्नायार्थनात्रात्तं भेरान्समस्त प्रद्शातस्प्रदः ।

Samgribi is the class view. When several things which are similar in essence and which are not incompatible with one another are brought together under one class concept we have Singraba Naia. Thus the name pot refers to the whole class of individual objects which go by that name. Similarly the idea of Drasya. From the point of Drasya characteristic (Permanency through change) the term Drasya refers to several entities, living and non-living which are all taken as one class.

3 Vi realiara समझणवातिनानामर्था । विधिधर्वक् मण्डरण व्यवहार ॥

(Tatvartha)

सम्बद्धीतार्थाना निधिवर्षेत्रभवहरण विभन्नन भेथेन ग्रह्मण व्यवहार ॥ (Prame)a)

Vyntalina is the process of examining the objects which are brought together under one class according to the different rules of Logic. This would spically enquire into the several species which constitute the whole genus. Examination of the specific Dravia Jiva Drava and Ajiva Drava, which both b long to Dravia. Genus would be an illustration for vyavihara Nava.

Riju Sutra बाजुबपण सम्बद्धि तन्त्रवतद्वति सजसूत्र ॥ (Tatvartha)

श्रुत्यांत्रत्र ६५क') वर्तमान नद्भाव सुरवती (भोषाति) इति स्त्र सुत्रा (Prime) n)
That which clearly expresses a momentary state is Riju Sutra
Niva This would take into consideration only the present state of
a thing "It is very pleasant now This proposition predicates
something which is true of the subject only at the moment of the
predication Such an aspect of a thing is Riju Sutra Nava

5 Śabda Naya

निंग सख्या साधनारि व्यभिचार निवृत्तिहर ग्रन्टनय (Tatvartha) बाचकारक चिंत सन्या साधनीरप्रद भेगार्भिनन अर्थे ग्रपतीति ग्राहोतम् ग्रन्ट प्रधानत्वान्

(Prameya)

Words though differing in tense voice, gender, number and instruments may point to the same thing i'refer to the same meaning This aspect of the identity of meaning in spite of differences noted above is Sabda hava

6 Samabhirudha नानार्थ समिपिराहणान् समिपस्ट This refers to merely synonymous which though interpreted account to their derivation may refer same identical thing

7 বাৰ সুৰুত্ব That which describes a particular action or capacity of a thing Thoogh the thing has not that particular aspect at the time of judging, the name obtained b-cause of that action is still applicable to the thing, according to this principle

Again the Nayas are divided into six kinds. Thus we have Shadanayas Dravyarthika Naya is also called Nischaya Naya or the relative aspect. Paryayarthifa Naya is called Vyavahara Naya or the relative aspect. The former is further sub divided into Suddha and Asuddha. Thus we have Suddha Nischaya Naya and Asuddha Nischaya Naya. Vyavahara Naya is divided into Sudbhula and Asadbhula. Each again determined is Upacharita and Anufacharita. Thus we have four kinds of Vyavahara Naya.

- (1) Upacharita Sadibhuta, (2) Anupacharita Sadibhuta, (3) Upacharita Asadbhuta, (4) Anupacharita Asadbhuta The term Vyaxa hara is added to each at the end. These six Nayas are specially employed in the examination of the characteristics of Atma
- (1) Suddhanischaja Naja. That the soul is identically the same whether in Samsara or in Molsha because of its intrinsic characteristics of Juana and Datsara is a statement according to this Naja.
- (2) Asuddha Nischaya Naya to believe that the soul is characterised by gross emotions in its Samsaric state is true according to Asuddha Nischaya Naya
- (3) Upacharita Sadbhula Vyavahira Naya The opinion that the soul has the chetana modification of Matijuana etc., is true because of the Upacharita Sadbhula Vyav hara. That the soul has Matijuani, is relative and figurative though pertaining to a quality "Juhikh va va 2.228, cell.
 - (4) Anupacharuta Sadbhuta Vyavahara That the soul has the modification of Revala Jñana
 - (5) Annhacharita Asadbhuta Vyavahara Naya This corresponds to the inseparable accident of the scholastic logic, for example, this is my body Body is identified with self according to this particular Nava
 - (6) Upacharita Asadbhuta Vyavahara Naya This corresponds to the separable accident of scholastic logic for example. This is my house. House is identified with the self according to Upacharita Asadbhuta Vyavabara Naya.

These Nayas refer to the different relations that the several attributes have to the self. The soul owns tiese several attributes according to these several Nayas. That special a pect of possessing is different in different cases and the different Nayas define the several relations which may be real or relative which may be pure or impure which may be separable or inseparable and so on

(44) Then Avadhi is described in detail

ओहिं तहेव घेप्पदु देसं परमं च ओहिसव्वं च । तिपिणवि गुणेण णियमा भवेण देसं तहा णियदं ॥४२॥

Thus Avadhi also is of three kinds Desavadhi Paramavadhi and Sarvavadhi All the three are conditioned by Psychic qualities. But Desavadhi is also conditioned by birth in the case of Deva and Naraka.

COMMENTARY

Desayadh is the very limited faculty of perceiving things beyond sense perception. It is able to apprehend only a limited number of things within a limited space and within a limited time. Paramayadh is the higher Avadhi Indian which is free from such limitations. But the last. Sarvavadhi is the perfect faculty which perceives all reality. This is associated with the perfect self. These three faculties are respectively acquired by psychic devel pment. It is along as certain Psychic qualities are present these in temperical faculties spontaneously manifest themselves. But in the case of the last when once it is acquired it becomes permanent and everlasting. Whereas the first is also present as a matter of birth right in Devis and Narakas. It they need not acquire it by special Psychical effort and development But in the case of man and some higher minutes it is to be acquired by developing the psychical nature.

Thus Desavadhi is said to be of two kinds Gunapratyaya and Bhavapratyaya conditioned by Gunas or Psychic qualities and by Bhava or Birth Gunapratyaya Desavahi is associated with man and animals and Bhavapratyaya with Devi and Narah.

Gunapratyava is again divided into six kinds -

- 1 Anugami (the following)
- 2 Ananugamı (not following)
- 3 Vardhamana (the growing)
- 4 Hiyamana (decaying or decreasing)

- 5 Avasthita (limited or bounded)
- 6 Anavasthita (unlimited or indefinite)

The first is that which enlightens the things while marching as the sun does

The second is that which sticks to one and the same thing as the fixed idea of an in the person. In the first attention is continually ho ving from things to things whereas in the second it is criefled to the very same thing.

The third is that which begins lik a spark of light and grows into a huge flame gradually lighting up a number of objects

The fourth implies the opposite tendency in the faculty. Here the faculty gradually decreases like fire going out

The fifth is the finally that neither increases nor decreases but is himited and definite because of the Samiak qualities of the soul

The sixth is the opposite of the fifth. It is unlimited and indefinite. It is wavering bither and thither like a column of fire or water that is subject to heavy gust of wind

(45) Then the description of Manah Paryaya

विउलमदी पुण णाणं अञ्जवणाणं च दुविह मणणाणं । एदे सजमलद्वी उवओंगे अप्पमत्तस्स ॥४५॥

Manah Paryaya is of two Kinds Rijumati and Vipulamati, telepathy which manifests straight and direct and telepathy that manifests crooked or in undulations. These appear only in a person of appramatia Gunishana who acquired Samjama labih. (i. e) a person who acquired complete harmony or steadiness of the spirit by thorough renunciation.

COMMENTARY

Rijii mana striight that which manifests straight or direct is Rijiimati. This apprehends straight and direct the ideas in another's mind. Vipula means crooked or zigzag. When the process of knowing the ideas in others mind manifests in a zigzag way it is. Vipula Miti. These are the two kinds of Minah Paryaya Jiiana. This capacity is distinctly an acquired one. It is associated only with a person who has risen pretty. high in the ladder of spiritual evolution. That particular stage it which this faculty appears is known as Apramatta gunastibana.

These Nayas refer to the different relations that the several attributes have to the self. The soul owns these several attributes according to these several Nayas. That special aspect of possessing is different in different cases and the different Nayas define the several relations which may be real or relative, which may be pure or impure; which may be separable or inseparable and so on

(44) Then Avadhi is described in detail

ओहिं तहेब घेष्पदु देसं परमं च ओहिसव्वं च। तिण्णिव गुणेण णियमा भवेण देसं तहा णियदं ॥१२॥

Thus Avadhi also is of three kinds Desavadhi, Paramavadhi and Sarvavadhi. All the three are conditioned by Psychic qualities. But Desavadhi is also conditioned by birth in the case of Deva and Naraka

COMMENTARY

Desayadhi is the very limited faculty of pirceiving things beyond sense perception. It is able to apprehend only a limited number of things within a limited space and within a limited time. Paramayadhi is the higher Ayadhi Jiana, which is free from such limitations. But the last. Sarvayadhi is the perfect faculty which perceives all reality. This is associated with the perfect self. These three faculties are respectively acquired by psychic development. I eas long as certain. Psychic qualities are present these metemperical faculties spontaneously minifest themselves. But in the case of the last when once it is acquired it becomes permanent and everlasting. Whereas the first is also present as a matter of birth right in Devis and Narakas ie they need not acquire it by special Psychical effort and development. But in the case of man and some higher animals it is to be acquired by developing the psychical nature.

Thus Desavadhi is said to be of two kinds. Gunapratyaya and Bhavapratyaya conditioned by Gunas or Pojchic qualities and by Bhava or Birth. Gunapratyaya Desavahi is associated with man and animals and Bhavapratyaya with Deva and Naraka

Gunapratyaya is again divided into six kinds -

- 1 Anugami (the following)
- 2 Ananugami (not following)
- 3 Vardhamana (the growing)
- 4 Hiyamana (decaying or decreasing)

- 5 Avasthita (limited or bounded)
- 6 Anavasthita (unlimited or indefinite)

The first is that which enlightens the things while marching as the sun does

The second is that which sticks to one and the same thing as the fixed idea of an in one person. In the first attention is continually flowing from things to things whereas in the second it is rivetted to the very same thing.

The third is that which begins lik a spork of light and grows into a huge flame gradually lighting up a number of objects

The fourth imp is the opposite tendency in the faculty. Here the ficulty gradually decreases like fire going out

The fifth is the faculty that neither increases nor decreases but is limited and definite because of the Samark qualities of the soul

The sixth is the opposite of the fifth. It is unlimited and indefinite. It is wavering bither and thither like a column of fire or water that is subject to heavy gust of wind.

(45) Then the description of Manah Pary 132

विउलमदी पुण णाणं अञ्जवणाणं च दुविह मणणाणं । एदे सजमलद्वी उवओंगे अप्पमत्तस्स ॥१५॥

Manah Paryaya is of two Kinds Rijumati and Vipulamati, telepithy which manifests straight and direct and telepathy that minifests crooked or in undulations. These appear only in a person of appramatia Gunasthana who acquired Samjama labilu. (i e) a person who acquired complete harmony or steadiness of the spirit by thorough renunciation.

COMMENTARY

Rijvi m ans strught that which manifests straight or direct is Rijumati. This apprehends straight and direct the ideas in another is mind. Vipula means crooked or zigzag. When the process of knowing the ideas in other is mind manifests in a zigzig, way it is. Vipula Mati. These are the two kinds of Vanah Paryaya Jādaa. This capacity is distinctly an acquired one. It is associated only with a person who has risen pretty, high in the ladder of spiritual evolution. That particular stage at which this facalty, appears is known as Apramatta gunasthana.

The stages in spiritual evolution are fourteen. They are called Gunasthanas These are-

मिथ्यादृष्टि ध .. 1

सासादन सम्यग्दष्टि ॥ 2

नग्पः पिथ्यादृष्टि ॥ 3

द्यसपत् सर्वेगर हि ॥ 4

5 स्थतास्थत ॥

6 व्रमत्तर्भवत ॥

7. द्यप्रमतस्यत् ॥

8 य्यप्रेकरणस्थान वपग्रमक न्नपक ॥

श्चनिवृत्तिवाद्रसाम्परायस्याने उपग्रमक सपक ॥ Q

स्त्रमुम्बरायस्थाने उपयमक जनक ॥ 10

ष्ठपेशास्तकपायकीतरागद्धदस्य ॥ 11

जीसक्यायजीतरागश्रदस्य ॥ 12

13 संग्रेतके उसी ॥

14 प्रदेशाके प्रजी सेति ॥

The first is the stage which represents spiritual blindness. A person in this stage is incapable of either perception of or belief in true reality. This is the lovest stage of spiritual existence where thought is without the value of truth and conduct without the value of goodness

2 The second stage is the stage of retrogre sion. A person may advance in the path of evolution and become a Samragdrishti (the fourth Gunnsthana) This stage is the opposite of the first It is only from this stage (the fourth) onwards that a person is capable of having either truth or goodness. But sometimes a soul after reaching the fourth stage which is really the next step from the first may have the misfortune of spiritual degeneration. He may slip down to the bottom of the ladder This process of slipping down is the stage of Sasadana

It is only a transition period. The person will very soon settle down in the first stage. Hence the second stage does not really mean the next slip from the first. So also the third stage is the spiritual oscillation between first and fourth. It is also a transition stage

3 The third stage represents the mixed quality. The characteristic of the first and of the fourth stage get inextricably mixed together The spiritual character is indeterminate. A person cannot be I rought under either the first class or the fourth class. Hence it is called Misragunasthana

- 4 The fourth stage represents the beginning of the spiritual well being. Here is the possibility of truth and goodness. But still there is not active effort to elect true thought and good conduct. The absence of this effort is associated with the right spiritual disposition. The littler is called Samyaktva "A *person who is in this stage and who is without the effort to exhibit the innate powers is Asamyakta Samyaktashit.
- 5 The fifth stage represents partial effort to dra v out the spiritual powers. In this stage a person has not only the desirable spiritual disposition but all o makes some effort towards further development. He is called a Desatrati
- 6 The sixth stage represents whole hearted effort. Complete and possible control over self is associated with the true bent of the spirit. But still the whole hearted good will is not yet free from tempting desires and impulses. There is the chance of these impulses getting the masters for there is not yet complete repuncisation. Hence this stage is Pramatta Samyata.
- 7 The seventh stage is called Apramatt's Samyata. In this stage the tendency to be uttached by the outer things is thoroughly over come. Spiritual strength is firmly established. Spirit has conquered the body. This stage is the critical stage in the spiritual evolution. From here begins the double path of higher spiritual evolution. One path leads to absolute perfection. And the other relative perfection. The former is associated with the annihilation of harmas. The latter with the suppression of them. The former is called bishapkit. See in the ladder of annihilation, the latter is called Ubidiant's See in the ladder of principation of harmas.

Manah Paryaya Jua in appears only in a person who has reached this critical stage of higher spiritual evolution

Hence it must be considered as an extraordinary psychic quality acquired only after reaching a high stage of spiritual evolution. Before proceding to describe the characteristics of the other stages of evolution let us note the further qualities of Manah Paryaya. This Psychic capacity is peculiarly limited by time, and space. Though it is higher than ordinary mental faculty though it is supernormal still it should not be considered even as approaching Kevila Jifána. Of the two kinds of mind knowing Vipula Mati is considered to be greatly superior to the other. The limitations given are follow.

Rijumati Manah Parjaja from the point of time has a lower limit as well as a lighter limit. In its lower limit it may apprehend the thoughts of another individual during his lifetime or it may extend to two or three Bhavas or births before and after. The upper limit is upto seven or eight Bhavas or births before and after. From the point of view of spice its lower limit is to the relius of a Gavjuli Gaventi or about two leagues and the upper limit is the radius of one Yojina. The second Vipulimiti has the lower time limit of seven or eight little whereas the higher is innumerable. Its lower distance limit is round a radius of one yojina and its higher distance limit is round a radius of one yojina and its higher distance limit is upto Manushotlara moin tain and not beyond that. This Manushothara Saila is according to Juna Grogerijhy the limit of human habitation.

When we examine the I mitations described above it is clear to us that the latter Vipula Matris, decidedly the higher supernor mal faculty. Therefore the commentators in erpectation of upula as kutila or crooked really means Rythmic or undulatory manifestation. Whereas the former is straight and direct. The rythmic mainfestation is naturally capable of greater efficiency. This is illustrated by several rythmic movements in Nature as in the heart beat. This Pychic faculty evidently through this rythmic or undulatory manifestation is able to achieve better and higher results than the e of R urnati

8 The eighth stage represents the acquisition of a spiritual weapon called the first Sikla Dhyma. This is an instrument by help of which karmis are to be destroyed. This is a unique Psychic force never before experienced by the Sell. Hence it is Apirica Karana. This stage is represented in both the ladders of development. Upraintable and hishpitalm ie, sell in this stage may be in the pith of annihilation or in that of pacification of karmis.

9 The ninth stage recressints spiritual warfare Equipped with the weapon of Sulla Dhyana Self—the warrior destroys the grosser desires. This spiritual warfare is also associated with both the paths of development.

Badara Sampara Sampara means waifare Radara mean gross waifare or conflict with gross desir and impalies of this soul

10 The tenth stage is the stage of the same spiritual warfare when the subtle impulses of the soul get destroyed. This stage also

has representatives in either ladder, i.e. as the result of the spiritual struggle the gross and subtle desires may either, be rooted out or supressed One who roots them out is kshapaka and one who supresses them is upasamakaha

Sukshma Samparaya Conflict with subtler impules

11 The eleventh stage is the stage where spiritual peace is secured but here peace is the result of suppression. Hence it may not be quite secure. The spiritual harmony may yet be disturbed. If it gets disturbed then there may be the misfortune of slipping down again. But the fall will be to the Apramatta Gunasthana from which the two Sreins branched off

12 The twelfth stage represents the corre ponding step in the hidder of annihilation i.e. after the destruction of subtle and gross desires with the help of Sukh Dhyàm the self may pass on to kshima kash iyasihana which is the twelfth. This is just below the stage of perfection.

- 13 This stage is certainly the stage of Perfect Emancipation Kevala Jnana is reached but there is still yoga (Manavachanakaya or mind speech and body). Hence this stage is called Sayogakevali the kevali who has still yoga.
- 14 The last is the stage where even this joga disappears. The stage immediately after the disappearance of joga is called Ajoga levalt. The Siddha state is considered to be a transcendental stage. Therefore it is considered to be beyond this classification of Gunas thanas. It is purely metemperical and therefore description by difference of degree will have no meaning with reference to this transcendental Lgo.
- 46 Then the kevala jūana—the ideal knowledge This is the result of the destruction of the four Ghatiya karmis co existence with infinite qualities such as infinite bliss the desire of all the faithful and the pure and that which makes even a Devendra discontented in his own glory

णाणं णेयिणिमित्तं केवलणाणं ण होदि सुद्णाणं । णेयं केवलणाणं णाणाणाणं च सारिय केवलिणो ॥१६॥

Kevala Juana is knowledge par excellence. It is not conditioned by objects of knowledge. It is not even Srutajuána which is consequent upon study. To the person who has

acquired perfect knowledge there is no diversity of knowledge. So let it be known

COMMENTARY

Kevala Inana completely comprehends all Dravyas and all their modifications The author of Tatwartha Sutra says सर्वेद्रव्यव्यावेषु The infinite number of Jiva Dravyas the infinite number of Physical bodies, the two physical principles of rest and motion and infinite space and time all become transparent to Kevala Jiiana There can be nothing which is not comprehended by this knowledge The contents of this knowledge constitute the whole of reality This is not conditioned by objects. It is absolutely self determined Therefore all the Dravyas and the Paryayas are at the same time evident to Kevala Inana This unconditioned simultaneous compre hension of reality makes it fundamentally distinct from Mati Juana or Stutt Inana and also from the other two The other four mants are limited in efficiency and extent And Levala Juana knows no such limitations Again Mati Sru i and Avadhi are subject to degeneration and corruption But Manah Paryaya and Kevala do not have any such tendency - But the capacity of Levala Juana is infinitely higher than even Manah Parsaya. In short it is the absolute and unconditioned wisdom

47 After describing the five kinds of right knowledge (Samyak juana), the author mentions the three Ajuanas or the kinds of wrong I nowledge

मिच्छत्ता अण्णाणं अविरदिभावी य भावआवरणा । णेय पहुच्च काले तह दुण्णंध दुप्यमाणं च ॥१०॥

If Mithyatva which veils the faculty of perception of and faith in reality appears, then knowledge gets vitiated and becomes Ajiana and the regulative principle of conduct also becomes corrupt. Again during the process of investigating reality the standard of truth and the methods of reaching it all become misleading and untrustworthy.

COMMENTARY

Mithyatva is the condition of Ajñana Its presence in a soul is responsible for several undesirable consequences. This Mithyatva may be innate or acquired. In the case of persons who have congenital Mithyatva their faculty of understanding is also corrupt from

birth They have innate Ajna in Kumati and Kusruti Bit in the case of per ons who acquire I this Mithyatva after losing Samyaktya their right knowledge 'turns into erroneous one. The effect of Mithyatva is not confined to corrupting the faculty of understanding It indirectly iffects conduct also such a person because of his Amana is incapable of the ing the right path. Full becomes his good. And lastly even if there is itig effort after trith it ends in mere illusion so long a there is the primary source of earl Mitheatra intact

48 After describing Inanopayoga Le goes on to speak about

Darsanopaya -The faculty of perception

दंदग्रमवि चक्खुजुदं अचक्खुजुदमवि य ओहिणा सहिय। अणिधणमणंतविसय केवलिय चावि पण्णत्त ॥१८॥

Perception or Darsana is of four kinds Perception through visual sensations, perception through non visual senses. again that through the faculty of Avadhi, or clairvoyance, and lastly through levala or infinite perception which is unlimited and apprehends all reality -Thus is it described

COMMENTARY Darsana or pe eptim implies mer a the avareness that a thing exists. It corre pond to kno vledge by acquaintance. Understand ing the reality thu Apprehe delt Juana in a rough was Dar and and Juana may be said to correspond to the sens bilits and under standing of Kant's system. This understanding and perception apprehend things gradually one after the other. But in the case of Kevalis the two faculties are co extensive with the complete reality The whole existence is perceived and understood at the same time and as there is no real ty beyond such faculty it is not necessary for such a person to attend to things one after the other

49 After describing I and and Darsana the author in order to clear the doubt of a Varyavika student for whom substance and quality are absolutely distinct explains that the distinction is only relative and he also mentions the diversity of Inana

ण वियप्पिट णाणादी णाणी णाणाणि होति णेगाणि। तम्हादु विस्सरूवं भणिय टवियत्ति गागीहि ॥१९॥

The soul is not distinct from its attribute of Juina or knowledge and because the ways of knowledge are duerse the world of reality or the universe is also said to be multiverse by the wise

COMMENTARY

There can be no kno sledge apart from the knower. Self and its knowledge are inseparable. A an knowledge is also intimately related to the objects known. There are diverse forms of knowledge. This imple i that the objects of knowledge are also diverse. Hence from the point of view of object. They are both one and many.—One becalled the common Drugatia and many because of the different ways of apprehending objects. The proposition that the reality is one and also many is not self-contradictory according to the Jaina system hence the statement that reality is univereal to not incompatible with the reality is a multiverse. The point emphasized in the gathy is mainly the inflienability of the attribute from the thing.

50 Then I e points out the absurdits of the siew that substance and the attribute are entirely distinct

जिद हविद दन्त्रमण्णं गुणदो य गुणा य दन्त्रदो अण्णे। दन्त्राणंतियमधवा दन्त्राभावं पकुन्त्रंति ॥५०॥*

If the substance is entirely separate and distinct from its qualities then it may change into infinite other substances or again if the qualities can exist separate from their substance, there will be no necessity for a substance at all. (In either way the result would be absurd)

COMMENTARY

The relation of substance and its qualities is an extremely difficult problem for a metaphysician

Some would emphasize the qualitie to the detriment of substance and some would emphasize the substance at the cost of qualitie. In either case the result would be about because of the false emphasis

We may have the world of unchanging reality of Parmenides or a world of eternal flux of Herachtes, but both these worlds are

*Sa iskril rendering यदि भवति इस्पमन्यद्युएतश्च गुगाश्च इस्पतोऽभ्य । इस्पानगयमथवा इस्पामाच प्रदुष्टरन्ति ॥ ५० ॥ so far removed from the world of our concerete experience. In our world of concrete experience things and qualities are of equal importance. In fact the distinction is not ab olute.

There can be no qualities apart from substance nor substance without qualities. If substance is deprived of all its qualities and if it is still the possible substratum of qualities then different groups of qualities may get associated with that substratum, ie, the same substace has the of qualities may chance of becoming infinite other substraces.

This is a manifest about dity. Or again if the attributes can float themselves without any fiving substratum then they would by their on nombination constitute a thing and the nategory of substance is no more necessary. To think of reality as identical with qualities apart from the qualified thing is also equally about. On account of internal contradiction the view that qualitie may be different from substance is condemned. The argument adopted by the author reminds us of Burkley and Hume.

अविभत्तमणण्णत्तं दृव्वगुणाणं विभत्तमण्णत्तं । णिच्छंति णिच्चयह्नं तिव्ववरीदं हि व तेसिं ॥५१॥*

Those that know the truth do not recognise any of following the views as to the relation of substance and quality that they are absolutely identical and inseparable, that they are distinct and separate in every way, and lastly that they are both distinct and identical at the same time

COMMENTARY

The doctrine maintained by the author is that substance and quality are not distinct and separable in rarium-natura, but only in thought, and there too the distinction is only relative ie, the quality cannot be thought of as ab olutely independent of substance Still it can be attended to as distinct from the thing. Hence it is that the three views mentioned in the gatha are rejected.

(52) From the point of view of Vyapidesa ete substance and quality are in an a pect distinct

ववदेसा संठाणा संखा विसया य होंति ते वहुगा। ते तेसिमणण्णते अण्णत्ते चावि विज्मते ॥५२॥†

The difference between Draya and guna, substance and attribute, may be determined by appellation, form, number, or locality. These determinants are various. They hold good among things and their attributes whether these are considered different or identical.

COMMENTARY

These differences hold good not only among different things but also in the case of a single complex thing which is capable of internal distinction. The difference due to Vyapadesa or name is of two kinds.

श्रविसर मनन्यन्य दृदयगुणानां विसर मन्यत्व । नेच्छन्ति निर्चयद्वास्तद्विपरीतं हि वा तेषां ॥ ५१ ॥

व्यपदेशा सस्यान नि सच्या विषयाश्च भवन्ति ते बहुन्ना । ते तेपामनगर्ने बन्दाचे चावितान्ते ॥ ५२ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

Sinskrit rendering

- 1 SHASHTI VIAPADESA This is the relation of the sixth case or the po sessive relation
- ARAKA VYAPADESA due to causal relation

 The Possessive
 relation among different things is illustrated thus

 The same relation is illustrated by a single complex thing
 The branch of a tree or "Jinas attributes Here the possessive
 relation is distinctly internal in the very same thing
- (2) Kåraka or cau al relation This is alse Vyapadesa difference, ie difference due to Nomenclature or appellation Kåraka sanjña also holds good between two different things or in the same identical complex thing haraka or causal relation is recognised to be of six forms ie in a complete causal relation six elements are implied—
 - 1 Karta or agent or subject
 - 2 Karma, the object or effect
 - 3 Karana the instrument
 - 4 Nimitta the purpose
 - Sakasa the place from which the effect issues
 - 6 Adhikarana the place in which the cause operates
 - The illustration given below implies all these six elements.
 - a) Cau al relation among different things. See the following sentence —

इवहत = Devadatta (Karta or subject or agent)

योगीन = with the hook (harang or instrument) धनदत्ताच = for Dhanadatta (\imitta or purpo e)

हजान = from the tree (akasa or the place of 1550e)

पारिकाचा = from the orchard (Adhikarana or the place of operation)

भविनानि = planks doun

This sentence illustrates the different aspects of causal relation that may exist among different things. The proposition "Devadatta plucks a fruit for Dhanadatta from a tree in his orchard with a hook relates several independent things. Whereas the next illustration shows how the same causal relation with the six elements may exist in an indentical thing.

तर्दर भारता (कर्ता) भारताने कसेतायाने बारमना (करण) भूनेन भारतन निर्मा भारतन सकागाय भारति भिश्वकरण भने ज्यादि । Atmn about himself with his self reflection for his own purpose drawing out of firmself and yet reposing in himself contemplates. Here the causal relation is with reference to the same complex thus.

- (2) Samsthana—the difference of figure or form. This determinant also is illustrated with the different things and with the same thing.
- (a) Tall Devadatta's tall cow The Samsthana determinant is applied to two different things
- (b) The tail branch of a tail tree Here the determinant is applied to the branch and the tree which are not two separate things 'Murta Dravyasya Murtaguna Here also the determinant Murta or visual form refers to Dravya and guna which are not separate and distinct
 - 3 Sankhya or number
- (a) Devadatta's 10 coms. The quantitative difference here is between two distinct things—Devadatia and Coms.
- (b) But the quantitative difference may exist internally in the same thing as the 10 branches of the tree or the infinite attributes of Draysa
 - 4 Vishaya or locative difference
- (a) 'In the cowshed is the cow Here the Vishaya or the locality is external or Bheda Vishaya
- (b) Abheda Vishaya or the internal locative relation 'In the substance are the qualities

Thus the difference due to Vyapadesa Samsthana etc., is seen among different things or in the same thing which is internally differentiated. Hence when one kind of relation as implied it need not be confounded with the other. If substance and attributes are said to be different this difference need not be interpreted to make the two distinct and separate. Hence the relation between substance and attribute can certainly be from one aspect a relation of difference and still the two need not be absolutely distinct. In short the relation between substance and attribute may be one of identity and difference. That this relation of identity in difference is not self-contradictory is supported by analogy. The illustrations of the same thing internally differentiated given above justify the attitude of the author.

(33) Again he speaks of the relation of over h p or po session. This relation may exist between two different things or in the same thing internally differentiated. Hence by analogy he bring out the Ekaty --- virities a espect of the relation.

णाणं धणं च कुञ्चिद धिणणं जह णाणिणं च दुविधेहिं। भण्णंति तह पुधत्तं एयत्तं चावि तच्चण्हू ॥ ५३ ॥*

Just as Dhana and Juana (wealth and wisdom) make the owners Dhani and Juani (the rich and the vise) thus expressing two ways of relationship (unity and diversity) so a so the relation between substance and qualities implies both the aspects of identity and difference. Thus say those that know the truth

COMMENTARY

One who owns Dhana or wealth becomes on account of that ownership a Dhani-the rich. Here too different things because of the relation of pos e sion are brought together te because of posse sion there is union and again because of possession the things uniting mu the different. Things may exist as separate and distinct when the relation may unite them or there may be single thing which because of the relation may get differentiate? The illustration of Dhana Dhani i of the former kind. The illustration for the latter I many mani. The person to start with is one b t on account of this relation of po session the sigle of the gets differentiated In order to be called juin ne it i po eslaa or 1 iom the no se sed thing must be a fferer ated from the owner. Othervise the relation of ownership will have no meaning. Hence we have to admit that the relation of ownership implies both units and diversity whether the things related are different or identical in themselves Thus the author concludes that the relation between substance and its qualities exhibits both the e characteristics. It is not incoherent to associate both the characteristics with the relation

(34) Then he points out the absordity that would result if juana and juan (the knower and knowledge) are taken to be entirely di tinct and separate

Sanskrit renderir g

णाणी णाणं च सदा अत्यंतरिदा दु अण्णमण्णस्स । दोण्ह अचेदणन्तं पसजदि सम्मं जिणावमदं ॥ ५१ ॥*

If self and its knowledge are always substantially distinct one from the other, then each will become non conscious or non spiritual entity. That view being self controllictory would undoubtedly conflict with the truth revealed by Jina.

COMMENTALL

Soul and knowledge are called spiritual or conscious entities only because of their identity. If the two are entirely absolute and district they would cease to be a ritual. Soul voild be deprived of thought and hence will cease to be a conscieut being and knowledge or thought per se would have not a sociation, with the thinker and lence will become again non spiritual. Thinker without thought to ild be blindand thought without thinker avoild be chimerical. The person who maintains such a view would contradict himself because of his own thought the jaina view is free from such a contrad ction.

Here we have to notice one important thing Jinava matam as

not to be taken as the reason for rejecting the opp site view.

The real standard of truth or Pramana s not the principle of revelation. Though no doubt the Juna system of thought is very often referred to as revealed by Juna, the system is acknowledged to be true not because it it to ealed by a great spiritual being but because the revelation is borne out by the nature of Reality.

Jama thinkers therefore attach more unportance to absence of self contradiction than to revelation

A doctrine must not contradict any previously accepted truth Purvapara I rodha is the main thing that is dreaded by the Jaina thinker. Hence the anthor's rejection of the opposite view because it is inconsistent with Jaina thought is really based upon the internal self contradiction, involved in the rejected view. If it is interpreted otherwise, then the Jaina position would become self contradictory. When the Jaina rejects the Vedas of Brahmanic thought, though they are claimed to be revelation from the divine

^{*}Sanstrit rendering

being, on the ground that they involve internal inconsistency, he cannot have recourse to the very same method of depending upon revelation. For according to his principle even revelation must stand on logical evidence

55

If Self and thought be really distinct then the two would never be able to constitute a single spiritual being even by the proce s of combination

ण हिसी समवायादी अत्यंदरिटी दुणाणादी णाणी। अण्णाणीति च वयणं एमत्तप्पसाधमं होटि ॥५५॥*

55 If the Self is entirely distinct in nature from thought then he cannot become, even by combination with thought, Juan or the thinker "Unthinking thing" will still be the name denoting the identity brought about by the combination of substance and quality which are in themselves unthinking things

COMMENTARY

If Self and thought are in themselves unthinking things then be combination they would still be unthinking. Consciousness is not to be derived from non conscious elements existing seperally or in combination. If thought is an adventitious characteristic of the self then before the acquisition of thought he must have been either juans or Ajuan (thinking or anthinking). If he is thinking then the combination with jiana is unnecessity and useless. But if he be unthinking then is that attribute anthinking again innate or adventitious? If it is adventition, then the self cannot acquire thought for this attributer's incompatible with his former acquisition. If the unthinking quality is unate then the thinking quality may as well be taken to be innate. Thought then is not an adventitious attribute of the self. Self is jiana not as a result of combination of self and thought. Even the perfect knowledge herala juana is innate in the soul, though it is veiled for the time being by the Karmas.

Sanskrit rendering न हिस. सम्प्राया द्यर्थान्तरितस्तु शानता झानी । श्रज्ञानीति च ब्बनुमेक् यमसाधक भवति ॥३५॥

56

Then since there is no other relation than identity between substance and quality the explanation by the principle of commation is shown to be unwarranted and absurd

. समवत्ती सभवाओ अपुधन्मूदो य अजुदसिद्धो य । तस्त्रा दन्वगृणाणं अजुदा सिद्धिति णिद्दिद्धा ॥५६॥*

56 The relation between substance and quality is one of co eval identity unity, inseparability, and of essential simplicity. That is why the unity of substance and qualities is said to be not the result of union or combination.

COMMENTARY

The terms Samayaa implies mainly unity and also union. Union implies the existence of independent elements which constitute the unity. The elements must be prior to the unity. Then unity will be the result of combination. The relation between Guna and Guni is not one of combination.

Hence though the author designates the relation by the term Samavâya he strictly excludes the other implication of the term The term means nothing more than oneness or unity. Dravya and Guna, substance and quality are merely the different aspects of the same reality and as such they must exist together without beginning and without end

This eternal co existence is implied by the term Samavâya. This co existence of Dravya and Guna is termed Samaviya or unity by the author. The very same relation implies inseparability of the two though they are different in name. Hence is the relation called Aprithag Initiativam. Again since the unity is not brought about by combination of two independent elements it has Apulasidhativam. Therefore the relation is one of unity and not of union.

57 and 58

Then he establishes by analogy that substance and quality though distinguishable in thought are not distinct in nature

* Sanskrit rendering

समयतित्व समवायः अपूर्यम्मृतत्वमगुतसिद्धाव छ ।

वण्णरसगंधकासा परमाणुपह्रविदा विसेसा हि । द्व्वादो य अणण्णा अण्णत्तपगासगा होति ॥५०॥ दंसण्णाणाणि तहा जीवणिवद्वाणि णण्णभूदाणि । ववदेसदो पुधत्तं कुञ्जंति हि णो सभावादो ॥५८॥*

57-58 Colour, taste, smell and touch are the qualities of the primary atom. They are not said to be really distinct from their material substratum though they are undoubtedly distinguishable from it as regards name, form, etc. In the same way perception and understanding are really inseparable from the self and are not distinct from it, though from the point of name, form, etc., they may be spoken of as distinct from the substratum Ego. In short though distinguishable in thought the faculties are not really distinct.

COMMENTARY

The author establishes the proposition that distinction in thought does not necessarily imply distinctions in nature. What may be distinguished by comparison may in reality exist in esential unity. This result is obtained by the principle of analogy. In the case of matter the qualities are not distinct and separate, from the substratum though they may be referred to by different name, by different forms, and so on Exactly in the same way are the conscious qualities of perception and understanding related to Jiva. As attributes they are distinct from the ego and may be distinguished by name, form, number, etc. But still they are not really distinct from it.

Distinctness necessarily presupposes an underlying identity between the things compared Apart from this identity there can be no comparison and distinction. What are distinct must really

Sanskrit rendering

वर्णरस्तान्यस्पर्शाः परमाखुपम्रपिता विशेषा हि । द्रव्यतस्य क्रमन्याः क्रम्यत्यमकाशका भगन्ति ॥ ५० ॥ दर्शनकाने तथा जीवनिवद्धे श्रनन्यभूते । व्यपदेशतः पृथकृत्य दुखते हि नो स्गभाषात्॥ ५८ ॥ be identical. Hence distinction in thought instead of presupposing distinctors in nature, implies identity. This view of the author is borne out by modern thought. Thus ends the section dealing with the attribute of upayoga.

59

The next section deals with the three remaining qualities of Jiva Kartritva, Bhoktritva, and Karmizamyuklatva acting, feeling and being associated with Karmas In the beginning the author describes the nature and number of Jiva Dravja which is the substratum of all the nine attributes.

जीवा अणाइणिहणा संता णंता य जीवभावादो । सुरुभावदो अणंता पंचमगुणप्पधाणा य ॥५९॥ *

59. Jivas according to their characteristics have neither beginning nor end, have beginning and end, have beginning but no end. Thus having these five fundamental qualities they are as existences infinite in number.

COMMENITRI.

Jivas really are the agents, since they bring about different modes with the different names. They are described as (1) without beginning or end, (2) with beginning and end (3) and with beginning and no end. If we attend to the essential nature of Jivas (parinamika bháva,) i.e., their thought or consciousness then they may be said to have neither beginning nor end, as spiritual evistences they are eternal. Neither are they created nor can they be destroyed.

2 The very same Jivas from the Psychic qualities of Audayika Aupashamika, and Kshayopashamika have both beginning and end

3 But from the Kshayika Bhava they have beginning but not end. It cannot be said in the last case that because there is beginning there must also be an end. When they are free from Upadhis then they realise their true nature, then they become

Sanskrit rendering

Siddhås Jivas that are found with such characteristics are infinite in number. Again it cannot be said that in the case of these Jivas which really have neither beginning nor end the other characteristics would be inconsistent. The other characteristics are, having both beginning and end and having beginning but no end. These characteristics are true of Jivas because of Karmic entanglements. The presence of Karmā with Jiva is the condition of Samsāric transformations and Jivas in Samsāric cycles have both birth and death. But in the last styge towards emancipation from Karmās, Jivas may be said to have only birth but no death. For there is spiritual immortality as the result of complete emancipation.

The five fundamental characteristics implied in this githa are the five fiva Bhavas mentioned in the 62nd githa

These are (1) श्रीद्रिक (2) श्रीपग्रमिक, (3) नागेगरग्रमिक (4) ज्ञापिक (5) प्रारिकामिक मात्रा

The last one is the attribute of consciousness. This conscious nature is eternal. Hence It a is अनाहित्यित्र without beginning or end. The first three bring about Samsåric changes of birth and death. Therefore from their aspect Jiva has both beginning and end. The fourth is the characteristic of emancipation. The emancipated Self has beginning but no end.

60

Though Jivas are truly eternal yet they are born and they die because of accidental conditions of Karmic associations. To be eternal and to have births and deaths are not really conflicting

एवं सदो विणासो असदो जीवस्स होइ उप्पादो । इदि जिणवरेहिं भणिदं अण्णोण्णविरुद्धमविरुद्धं ॥६०॥*

60 Thus Jivas that are, may die and those that are not may be born; thus sayeth the Jina Though the statements (this Sutra and the 19th) are apparently conflicting they are not really contradictory

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

पव सतो विनाशोऽसतो जावस्य भवत्युत्पादः। इति जिनवरैमंशितमन्योऽन्यित्रदस्विददस्॥ ६०॥

COMMENTARY.

From Dravyarthika Naya, i. e., from the aspect of essential nature Jivas can have neither birth nor death. But from Paryayarthika Naya from the point of modifications they have births and deaths. The two propositions are quite compatible with one another as they state two different aspects of Jiva. The other proposition with which this gatha appears to conflict is the gatha 19

एवं सदो विणासे। असदो जीवस्स णुरिय उष्पादो ।

There is no death for the existing flux; nor birth of the non-existing ones.

This certainly appears to contradict the present Sutra and the commentator establishes that the conflict is only apparent and not real.

61

Then it is pointed out that the death of Beings that are and the birth of those that are not are the result of Gaunamakarma; the Karma that brings about for the soul different modes of existence.

णेरइयतिरियमणुआ देवा इदि णामसंजुदा पयही । कुन्नंति सदो णासं असदो भावस्स उप्पादं ॥६९॥*

61. Life in hell, life as plant or animal, as man or as Deva, these states of being are caused by their respective Năma Karma Prakritis. These bring about death to the Jivas that are and birth to those that are not.

COMMENTARY

The different states of existence are the different modifications of Jiva brought out by upadhi or Karmac conditions. Those Karmas that determine the next individual state of Jiva are Nâma Karmar. These Nâma Karmas are the real causal conditions that lead the soul to manifest in a particular form. These forms appear and disappear. Birth and death are characteristics associated with these forms or modes. Just as waves may appear and disappear in the

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

नारषतिर्पेश्मनुष्या देवा इति नामसंयुताः प्रहत्यः । इर्पेन्ति सत्तो नाग्रमसत्तो भाषस्योत्यादं ॥ ६१ ॥

surface of ocean as the result of wind, while the sheet of water is really unaffected, so Jiva remaining eternal and changeless in its real essence is the bissis of the different waves of existence appearing and disappearing. And these waves in the ocean of life are brought about by upadbis or Karmic conditions. The characteristics of birth and death which are really true of the form of existence are also predicated of liva.

62

After mentioning the Karmas as the condition of Samearic cycle the author goes to describe their nature. Here he explains the origin of the five Bhavas emotional states of consciousness

उद्येण उवसमेण य खयेण दुहिं मिस्सिदेहिं परिणामे। जुत्ता ते जीवगुणा वहुसु य अत्थेसु विच्छिण्णा ॥६२॥ *

62 On account of the rise, suppression, annihilation, mixed suppression and annihilation of karmas, by the intrinsic nature of self unconditioned by Karmas, Jiva has five Bhavas or thought characteristics. These are fully described in the Agamas.

COMMENTARY.

Karma, are considered to be physical in nature conditions determine the Psychic characteristics. Five different classes of Karmic conditions are mentioned Each of these is the causal condition determining its corresponding Bhava or thought state in Jiva

These conditions are-

- I Udaya, or rise of harmas,
- 2. Upasama, or suppression of Karmis,
- .3 Kshaya or eradication,
- 4 Kshayopasama, the mixed process of eradication and suppression,
- 5 Parinama or the unconditioned thought

*Sanzkrst rendering उद्येनोपरामेन च स्रवेण च द्वास्यां मिश्रितास्यां परिणामेन । यक्तास्त्रे जीवगणा यहप् चार्येषु विस्तीर्णाः ॥ १२॥

These five conditions (four physical and one spiritual) determine respectively the Bhayas

And these are-

- Audayika Bhava
- 2 Aupashamika Bhava
- 3 Ashayika Bhava
- 4 Kshavopashamika Bhava
- 5 Parinamika Rhava

The last one is unconditioned by Karmas It is Nirupadbi character whereas the other four are generated by the changes in physical conditions or upadhis. The last Parinamika Bhava is not causally connected ith Sam ira or Woksha It is Kishkriya Bhava

मात्त उर्नित मिस्रापशमिक द्वायिका भिधा । बन्धमीद्रयिको भाषो निष्टिय पारिकामिक ॥

63

Next it is pointed out how these Bhavas or thought states are brought about

कम्मं वेदयमाणो जीवो भावं करेटि जारिसयं । सो तेण तस्स कत्ता हवदित्तिय सासणे पटिदं ॥ ६३ ॥

63 Being affected by the change in Karmic material Jiva experiences certain emotional states. Whatever emotional state thus appears in consciousness is due to the direct causal agency of Jiva. Thus say the Scriptures of Janas.

COMMENTARY

Jiva is said to be the direct and immediate cause of the several emotional states brought about by Karmic materials. The extrinsic cause is physical matter and the provimate cause is jiva itself Karmas are always spoken of as of two kinds. Dravya Karma and Bhava Karma. Dravya Karma is distinctly physical. A peculiar combination of Paramanús constitutes Karma Podgala or Karmic

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

वर्मे घेदयमानो जीवो मा करोति यादशर।

स तेन तस्य कत्ता भवतीति च शासने परित ॥ ६३ ॥

matter. Matter under such modification has a special attraction towards Ifvas ordinarily. Jiva in its world state is generally found in association with such Karmic matter. Karmic matter and conscious states are mutually determining each other. A change in Karmic matter may bring about a corsesponding change in consciousness. This conscious change has a predominent affective tone. It is generally some form of emotion. This corresponding emotional state is Bhava Karma. Since it is a Psychic event it is immediately determined by mind itself. It is this fact that is emphasized by the author in this gatha.

The author recognises two distinct causal agencies as will be evident later on. Nimitta Karta and Upadana Karta. Distant or" remote cause and substantial cause. Jiva is the substantial cause of all its modifications, and matter may still be an extrinsic cause. Then Ifva is the Upadana Karta of the Bhavas while Karmic matter is Nimitta Karta.

It is this fact that is mentioned in the next gatha. That Self is the immediate cause of the emotional state while Karmic matter is the indirect cause.

कम्मेण विणा उदयं जीवस्स ण विज्रफटे उवसमं वा । खड्डयं खओवसमियं तम्हा भावं तु कम्मकदं ॥ ६२ ॥*ं

64. The different forms of Bhava Karmas such as, the rising, the sinking, the annihilating and partial annihilation and sinking cannot happen in the consciousness of a Jiva without corresponding changes in Dravya Karmas or Karmic matter. Therefore the Bhavas such as Audayika which are brought about by the Bhava Karmas may be said to be the effects of Dravva Karmas as well.

COMMENTARY.

A change in Dravya Karma or physical karma immediately brings about a change in Bhava Karma i. e., a corresponding change pure or impure in consciousness. This Bhava Karma in its turn brings

Sanskrit rendering

about its corresponding emotional state or Bhâva It may be pleasant or unpleasant. The chain of causation is as follows.—Dravya, Karma, Bhâva Karma and Bhâva. Kârmic matter, Kârmic thought and an affective state. The last affective state or Bhâva which is the immediate result of hârmic thought or Bhâva Karma may also be said to be the effect of Dravya Karma. For there can be no Kârmic thought or Bhava Karma in a jiva which is not determined by Dravya Karma.

65

The next gatha is in the form of Purcapaksha from the disciple. An objection is raised against the doctrine that Atma is the sole and immediate cause of Bhava Karma

भावो जिंद कम्मकदो अत्ता कम्मस्स होदि किथ कर्ता। ण कुणदि अत्ता किंचि वि मुत्ताअण्णं सर्गभावं ॥६५॥४०

65. If these states of emotion or Bhava are really brought about by Karmic matter how can Atma be said to be the cause of these Bhavas? But the soul's agency is such that while giving up its own state it can effect entirely alien or non-mental changes (i e, it is the cause of its own mental states which are also indirectly conditioned by Karmic matter)

COMMENTARY

As has been mentioned already Dravya Karma or Kårmic matter and the nature of Jiva, both determine the occurrence of a Bhåia or an emotional state. Still Jiva is taken to be the causal agent or Kartå to these emotional state. If the Bhaias are really due to Dravya karma or Karmic matter then how can it be consistently held that Jiva is Karta. But the answer is given thus. Bhåia is Psychic change and as psychic change it can only be brought about by Jiva. Soul cannot have direct causal relation with material and non mental things. Again if the Bhåias have no causal relation to Jiva then there is no reason why it should be bound by Karma. Kårmic bondage necessarily presupposes the intimate relation between Jiva and its emotional states. Since there will be no logical ground for bondage

Sinskret rendering

भावा यदि कर्मकृत झारमा कर्मणा-भवति कथ कर्चा । न करोरवारमा विचित्रवि मुक्त्यान्य स्वकं भाव ॥ ६५ ॥

in the absence of causal relation then there is no chance for Samsåra which is the result of Karmic bondage. This result contradicts our real experience for there is Samsara. Therefore our original supposition that the soul is not causal agent for his emotional states is an impossible hypothes. With this indirect demonstration the author establishes that soul is the causal agent producing the emotional states which are also indirectly conditioned by Ademic matter.

The disciple who rai es the Purapalsha is evidently a believer in the Sankhya system

श्रक्तां निर्मुण शुद्धो नित्य सर्प्रमताकिय । ्रश्रमूर्तं श्वतने। भोका जीप कपिलशासने ॥

In answering Pirvapaksha the author is really condemning the Sankhya view represented by the above sloky

66

The answer is again strengthened by further detailed argument

भावो कम्मणिमित्तो कम्म पुण भावकारणं हवदि । ण दु तेसिं खलु कत्ता ग विणा भूदा दुकत्तारं ॥ ६६ ॥*

66 Bhava or emotional states are conditioned by Draya Karma or matter. And Karma in its turn is indeed conditioned by Karmic thought or Bhava. Soul is not the essential cause in that case and still without essential cause those changes cannot happen.

COMMENTARY

The author here makes use of the distinction between Upadana harta and himita harta Intrinsic or substant af conditions extrinsic or alien condition. Soal is the essential cause of Karmic thought the emotional states of debire etc., haimic matter is the essential cause of the changes in harmic matter i e, the changes in each case form an independent series and jet the two series are corres

Sanskrit rendering
 भाष कर्मनिमित्त कर्म पुनर्भावकारक भणति ।
 न त तेपा यन कर्मा न बिना भूताक कर्मार ॥ ६६ ॥

ponding and interrelated. Bhava or the emotional states is distinctly a Psychic change. As a mental lict it is immediately determined by the nature of consciousness. So is the change in Kârmic matter. It is entirely determined by physical conditions. Matter cannot take the form of mental state nor the mind underago a physical change. The author seems to suggest a kind of Psycho physical parallelism. But this parallelism is not merely the temporal correspondence of the two series. The parallelism is transcended and reconciled by the doctrine of Nimitta karta. The author has in his mind such a sharp distinction between the thinking thing and unthinking thing as is associated with cartisianism and yet the two are related by a peculiar conception of causal relation. The unthinking thing may be the Nimitta Karta of the thinking thing and conversely the thinking thing may be the Nimitta Karta of the other, though certainly one cannot be the Ubbaddau Karta of the other.

67

The same view is further elaborated

कुन्वं समं सहावं अत्ता कत्ता सगस्स भावस्स ।

ण हि पोग्गलकम्माणं इदि जिणवयणं मुणेयव्वं॥ ६०॥*

67. Soul which brings about changes in himself is the Upādāna cause of such mental states. But not of changes in Karmie matter which are distinctly physical in nature. Let the word of lina be understood thus

COMMENTARY.

The author strengthens his argument by referring to Agama or Sruta. He draws the attention of the reader that this doctrine of causation is the right view upheld by the Scriptures

68

Then it is explained that Jiva and Karmic matter are related to their respective changes according to the six aspects of caucal relation

Sanskrit renderine

बुर्पन् स्वकं स्वमार्च भागमा बर्ता स्वकस्य मायस्य ।

कम्मं पि समं कुन्बदि सेण सहावेण सम्ममप्पाणं। जीवो वि य तारिसओ कम्मसहावेण भावेण ॥ ६८ ॥*

68 Karmic matter itself through its own essential nature indeed brings about its own changes. Jiva too in the same manner through its own impure states of thought that are conditioned by Karma brings about its own thought clarges.

COMMENTARY

The author here in phasizes the fact that the mental states and the states of Karma form two independent series. A change in Karmic matter is determined by antecedent physical ciange. Similarly the mental change in the Jiva is entirely determined by the intecedent mental change. In short, Jiva is the primary condition of mental changes and Karmic matter of its own changes. The Physical changes of Karmic matter cannot be attributed to Jiva nor the mental changes of Jiva to matter.

The Shatraka referred to by the commentator has reference to the six aspects of causal relation already explained. These are (1) Karth (2) Karma (3) Karana (4) Nimitta (5) Sakara and (6) Adhi karana. Each series of caus I changes has by itself these six aspects 1 c, the physical and the mental series are self-sufficient and complete

69

Having heard that the two causal serie, are independent the student ignorant of the principles of reasoning or the Najas raises an objection.

कम्मं कम्मं कुव्वदि जदि सी अप्पा करेदि अप्पाणं । किथ तस्स फलं भुंजदि अप्पा कम्मंच देदि फलं ॥६॥†

*Sanskrit rendering

कर्मापि स्वकं करे।ति स्वेन स्वभावेन सम्यगारमानं । जीवे।ऽपि च ताद्रशकः कर्मस्वमावेन भावेन ॥६८॥

Sanskrit rendering

वर्म कर्म करोति यदि स झारमा करोत्यात्मानं। कथं तस्य फलं भुद्रके झारमा कर्म च ददाति फलं॥ ६६॥ 69 If Karmic matter effects its own changes and similarly if Jiva brings about his own changes in himself why should be enjoy the results or fruits of Karma for which he is not responsible and how can Karma offer its fruits to him?

COMMENTARY

If Karmic changes form an independent physical series and if mental changes similarly form an independent Psychic series then why should Jiva be affected at all by physical changes and in what manner could the physical changes affect Jiva at all. The student asks for the justification why the two really independent series should affect each other at all.

70

The Purvapaksha is answered by the seven following gathas. This one states that the Loka is filled with matter

ओगाढगाढणिचिदो पोग्गलकाययेहिं सन्वदो लोगो। सुहमेहिं वादरेहि य णंताणंतिहिं विविहेहिं॥ ००॥*

70 With mate all bodies of form perceptible and imper ceptible, infinite of infinites in number, and of multifarious kinds by constitution, the world is in every respect filled without interspaces

COMMENTARY

The author is trying to answer objection by showing first the possibility of connection beteeven Jiva and Latrinic matter. The world space is throughout filled with material bodies. Some of these are minute and some of these are perceptible to the senses. Of these minute forms some have the peculiarly necessary constitution which would make them fit to be Kurmas. These are called Karma Vargands. Karma Vargands are physical molecules of a particular constitution which gives them the tendency to be attracted by Jivas. They are otherwise known as karma Prásogya Pudgala. The world that is filled with such materials also contains Jiva. The Jivas and karma Varganus co exist and by the mere fact of contiguity Jiva and

त्रवगादगादनिचित पुद्गलकायै सर्वतो लोक । सुरमैर्यादरीरचानन्तानन्तीर्विचिधैः॥ ७०॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

Namic matter are brought together. The settling of Namic molecules in Jiva is evidently explained by the author as a necessary lesult of contiguous co existence. He does not want to assume that Jiva has positive attraction towards Namic matter. If any such active influence on the part of Jiva is presupposed the author will be forced to acknowledge the inevitable causal interaction between matter and Jiva. The author does not want to encourage that view. Hence he explains the contact between Jiva and matter as due to local conditions. The commentator explains the principle of contiguity as

श्रजनचूर्ण पूर्ण समुद्गकनय

The principle that the casket filled with collyrium powder becomes black by mere contact. Thus by analogy the author hopes to explain how two distinct things Jiva and matter become related together.

71

How is it refevent to say that the world is filled with Karinic matter? How is it an answer to the above objection? The author in this gatha shows the relation between the answer and the objection

अत्ता कुणदि सहावं तत्थ गदा पोग्गला सभावेहिं। गच्छंति कम्मभाव अण्णोण्णागाहमवगाढा॥ ७१॥

71 Jiva as determined by its own nature creates its own changes But existing in the same place there is Karmic matter This Karmic matter gets inseparably bound with Jiva and manifests as modes of Karma such as Inanavaraniya

COMMENTARY

Here the author emphasizes the fact that Karmicmatter is entirely self determined in its modifications. So also is Jiva. Jiva according to Jaina belief has Samsåric changes from time immemorial: e, the series of Samsåric changes is without beginning. In this state it is destined to lose its pure thought and has the gross emotional qualities such as desire anger, etc. While this Jiva is undergoing such

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

emotional states determined by its own intrinsic Psychic nature there is in the same place. Karmic matter which as conditioned by those mental states undergoes modifications. These modifications are really determined by matter itself though externally conditioned by the mental states. The mental states of an impure character create a sort of adhesive quality in Jiva. The Karmic particles merely by prox mity cling to Jiva which has the adhesive quality. Jiva gets adulterated with Karmic matters as milk and water. But this adulteration is not due to direct causal action upon Jiva.

72

As a concomitent of the Psychic state Karmic matter undergoes modification in its own way

जह पुग्गलद्वाणं पहुष्पयारेहिं खंधणिव्वत्ति । अकदा परेहिं दिद्वा तह कम्माण वियाणाहि ॥ ७२ ॥*

72 Just as several molecular arrangements in matter are seen in diverse forms though uncaused by alien agency so also the manifestations in Karmic matter occur undetermined by alien cause So do thou understand

COMMENTARY

The nathor makes I miself clear by the illustration. The mere presence of light from the sun or the moon is enough to create the fiery sunset or sunrise or the rainbow or the halo. These changes are all due to molecular arrangement in matter. The sunlight is not directly interfering with matter in producing changes. The changes are the necessary concomitants of the presence of light. Similarly the presence of emotional states of desire or aversion in. Jiva has as its concomitant the changes in Karmic matter. The rainbow of several indescent colours is merely the concomitant of light and the different manifestations of harmic matter are also the concomitants of mental states as desire and aversion. From all these examples it is clear that the author wants to reject direct causal relation between the two series and yet he wants to make out that one series is the concomitant of other.

यथा पुद्गलद्रव्याया बहुमकारै स्कन्धनियृत्ति । सन्ता परेर्द्र हा तथा कर्मसा विज्ञानीहि॥ ७२॥

Sanskrit renderi ie

73 .

The author explains why Jia should enjoy the fruits of Karma of which he is not the cause essential. In answering this point the author employs the principles of Naya

जीवा पुग्गलकाया अण्णोण्णागाढगहणपडिवद्वा । काले विजुज्जमाणा सुहदुक्सं दिति भुंजंति ॥ ७३ ॥*

73 Ju as and Karmic materials are bound together strongly. But when the time for their separation comes they fall apart. Karmas offer their results of pleasure and pain and the Jivas erjoy them.

COMMENTARY

It a and matter in reality have only one causal function of generating their or n respective modes and yet because of the concomitants they may be said to be a ter letermining from the Vyaviliara view liva because of its emotional states of desire and hatred develops an inclination towards matter. This inclination is only the Nimita or an external condition Karmic matter so deter mined gets bout d to Jiva It is to be imagined that the material particles sombow cling to Jiva and cloud its intrinsic radiance Changes may occur in molecules as determined by temporal conditions There may be aggregation or disintegration in them. When such changes take place in Dravya Karma Jiva experiences pleasure or plain. These resaid to be offered by Karma from a relative point of view though as a matter of fact they are the modifications of liva One of the commentators says that just as we experience the activity and the clange in our body so also we exterience the changes in our Karmie body for both of them are physical. When we don t nuestion ordinarily our experiencing bodils changes, we need not question the po s bility of experiencing the chai ges of Karmic body Thus ends the quality of Bhokta-the enjoyer Ifia is shown to be theenjoyer

7

Then the author has a resume of the nature of the relation between causality and affective experience

^{*}Sanskrii renderi ह जीवाः पुद्गलकायाः श्रन्योन्यावगाढप्रहण्यतियदा । काले वियुज्यमाना सुखदु खंददति भूखन्ति ॥ ७३॥

तम्हा कम्मं कत्ता भावेण हि संजुदोध जीवस्स । भोत्ता दु हवदि जीवो चेदगभावेण कम्मफलं ॥ % ॥*

74. According to Nischya Naya or real aspect Karmic matter is the cause of its own changes. But from Vyavahāra Naya or relative aspect it is, in association with Psychic states of dudayha, etc, also the cause of mental changes in Jiva. Lo! from this point Jiva becomes the enjoyer because he is by nature consciousness.

COMMENTARY.

The author makes a distinction between absolute point and relative point of view. Really Kârmic matter is the cause of its own molecular changes. From the relative point it may also be said to be the cause of mental changes. Similarly with Jfva. It is its own cause and from the other point it is also the cause of material changes. It is by nature conscious. Experience presupposes mental changes. Jiva may be said to experience directly its own mental states and yet Vyavahārically it experiences or is affected by things desirable and undesirable. If the aspects of view are remembered then both the propositions are consistent. You may say Jfva enjoys its own state and also that Jfva enjoys physical objects of sense presentation. Therefore it may very well be conceded that the proposition that Jfva is the essential cause of its own mental state is compatible with the proposition that Jiva is the enjoyer of Kârmic effects. He is the Kartá as well as the Bhoktá the doer and the enjoyer.

75.

The author again refers to the Lordship of the soul.

एवं कत्ता भोत्ता हीज्भं अप्पा सगेहिं कम्मेहिं। हिंडति पारमपारं संसारं मोहसंछण्णो ॥ ०५ ॥†

ससात्कर्म कर्ता भावेन हि संयुनमय जीवस्य । भोका सु मवति जीवस्चेतकमायेन कर्मफलं ॥ ५५ ॥

Sanskril rendering :

प्यं कर्चा मोका अवक्रास्मा स्पर्कः कर्मभिः । दिवस्ते पारमपारं संसारं मेहसंद्रमः ॥ अ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit renderine :

75. The soul which is thus the agent of its own Karma, and the enjoyer of the fruits thereof, as conditioned by its own Karma gets blinded by the veil of ignorance and roams about in the world of Samsára which is limited for the faithful and unlimited for the unfaithful.

COMMENTRY.

Ilva is the Lord of his own career, because he effects his own Karm, he enjoys the fruits thereof. He may roam about in the world of Samsâra as conditioned by his own actions, he may finally liberate himself from the bondage by walking the path of three jewels. Then he becomes free from his upādhi. This is the career of the Bhabhya Jiva. Throughout the career of the Bh bhya Jiva, Jiva has the characteristic of Lordship. Again the Abhavya devoid of the benefits of the Jewels is denied the above career. He is destined to roam about for ever in Samsâra. The Abhavya Jiva also in his own way is the Lord of his own career. Thus the career of Jiva is entirely self determined.

76.

Then it is shown how the soul which obtains the benefit of the three jewels is able to realise its true nature through its Lordship over its own career.

उवसंत्रवीणमोही मग्गं जिणमासिदेण समुवगदी । णाणाणमगगचारी णिव्वाणपुरं वजदि धीरी ॥ ७६ ॥*

76. Suppressing or annihilating the veil of ignorance which clouds the faculties of perception and will, well equipped with the three jewels which constitute the path revealed by Jina, the soul, the undaunted pilgrim that conquered the suffering and pain due to the environment, beckoned by the ideal of self-knowledge wades through the path and reaches the divine city of perfection.

COMMENTARY.

Jiva is co-eval with Samsara. Ordinarily Jiva is associated with Upidhis. The most important of these is Mohaniya Karma. This is

Sanskrit rendering :

उपग्राम्तकीलमेखो मार्गे जिनभाषितेन सभुपगतः। बानानमार्गेचारी निर्वाणपुरं मजित धीरः॥ ४६॥ 26

a sort of veil of ignorance whose effect is twofold. It may interfere with the faculty of perception or with the faculty of Will On account of this interference there may be false knowledge or wrong conduct In the former case it is called Darsana Mohanija, in the latter Charitra Mohaniya. All the other Karmic Upadhis, may be ultimately traced to the operation of this fund imental Karma This Mohaniya or the veil of ignorance may sometimes get thin, and transparent or may altogether be annihilated On account of this happy occurrence Jiva may start on a very desirable career. On account of suppression or annihilation of Darsana Mohaniya Jiva is able to apprehend the nature of true reality. And thus has faith in the ultimate tattias The faith in and comprehension of tattvis may lead to clear know ledge of reality By this suppression and annihilation of Charitra Mohaniya, Will may be right in its decision, for right volitional choice is the consequence of true knowledge. Right perception and faith, Right knowledge and Right conduct form the true path the three jewels. Soul equipped with these three jewels must further conquer the environment The suffering and pain due to environmental conditions should not touch Jiva in any way After conquering the environment Jiva has to pursue the path of righteousness having as the goal complete self knowledge. This pilgrim in the path of life finally reaches the city of Nirvana which is the Summum Bonum of life according to Jamism

77 and 78

Then the author summarises the characteristics of Jiv Istikaya एको चेव महप्पा सा दुवियप्पा त्तित्वखणो होदि । चदु चंकमणो भणिदी पंचग्गगुणप्पधाणो य ॥ ७० ॥* छक्कापक्कमजुत्ती उवउत्तो सत्तभद्गसदभावो । अद्वासओ णवत्थो जीवो दसदाणगो भणिदो ॥ ७८ ॥ १

Sanskrit rendering

एक एव गद्दारमा स द्विधिक्त्विसत्त्वेषा मयति । चतुरचक्रमणा भणितः पञ्चात्रगुणुत्रधानस्य ॥ ७० ॥

Sanskrit rendering

[&]quot; षट्काषवमयुक्तः उपयुक्तः सप्तमङ्गसङ्गावः । श्रष्टाभ्रयो नवार्यो जीवा दशसानको भश्चितः॥ ७=॥

77-78 The great soul characterised by upayôga may be caid to be essentially identical and one, is again of two kinds, has three fundamental qualities, roams about in four gatis, is marked by five primary emotional states; moves about in the world along the six directions, is capable of admitting seven fold predication, has eight qualities, generates the nine Padarthas, manifests in ten states of existence, thus is he is said to be.

COMMENTARY

The author in the form of a Chulika or summary ingeneously describes Jiva He employs numerical description from one to ten-When all Ifvas are looked at from their essential characteristics they are substantially one From the point of Upadhis they may be said to be of two classes the perfect and the imperfect, has three primary qualities. The three characteristics may be the three aspects of consciousness, knowledge, will and emotion, or may be the three Tewels Darsana, Juana and Charitra, or may be the three characteristies of Drayya in general, permanence through birth and death , or may refer to three forms of existences, substance, qualities, and modes liva is again said to be subject to four gatis The gatis are already He is marked again by the five primary emotional states which are brought about by the five different changes of Karmic matter These also have been dealt with above 'Six' denotes the six directions of the world along which there may be possible move ments for Ilva, "Seven' denotes these seven fold predication applicable to liva These are the seven propositions forming the Sabia-Bhang: "Fight denotes the eight characteristics of Ifra Samsari liva has the eight Karmic characteristics, such as Ifianavarana-Darsanavarana, Mohaniya, etc. The perfect liva has the eight infinite gunas such as Ananta Jaana, Ananta Darsana, Ananta Virya, Ananta Sukha, etc "Nine" denotes the nine padarthas generated by Ifva in conjunction with matter These are Jiva, Ajiva, Papa, Punya Asrava, Samvara, Nirjara, Bandha, and Moksha

'Ten" denotes the 10 states of existence The ten states are the (1) liberated and the unliberated nine, which are five Ekendriya Jivas (Prithvikāyika Apakāyika, Tejakāyika, Vajukāyika, and Vanaspatikāyika), and Jivas with two, three, four and five sense organs respectively.

78

79.

The perfect and the liberated soul has nothing to gain by going from one place to another. Therefore he may stay in the very same place where he attains Nirvana. But according to Jaina view he goes to the summit of the world The author explains why he should do so.

पयडिद्विदिअणुभागप्पदेसवंधेहिं सन्वदो मुक्को । उडं गच्छदि सेसा विदिसावज्जं गदिं जंति ॥ ७६ ॥*

79. When Jiva gets liberated from the bonds of Karma which are of different aspects of substance, duration, fertility, and extensity, he reaches the summit of the upper world, Others who are in Samsara move about in all the directions except the four diagonal corners

COMMENTARY.

This gatha refers to a religious dogma. The liberated Jiva or Siddha]fra has the intrinsic movement upwards. It tends to movo vertically upwards till it comes to a stay at the summit of the Loka For it cannot move beyond, because of the absence of the moving principle of Dharmastikava

But Samsari fivas after death are said to have movements in six directions. They are denied the four diagonal courses. Along the cardinal points and up and down they can move. These are the anusrents the ladder paths of disembodied Jivas.

The disembodied liva has still the Karmana Sarira-body constituted by Karmic matter. This body is sukshma-subtle body there any electro-magnetic condition which constrains the Karmic body to move only in the six directions? We don't want to speculate.

Sanskrit renderine

मछतिसित्यनुमागमदेशयन्धे सर्वता मुकः। कद्भै गच्छति श्रेपा विदिग्वज्जीं गतिं गाँति ॥ ७६ ॥

CHAPTER II

PUDGÁLASTIKAYA.

The author mentions the four different kinds of material objects

खंधा य संधदेसा खंधपदेसा य होंति परमाणू । इति ते चदुव्वियप्पा पुग्गलकाया मुणेयव्वा ॥ ६० ॥

These are the four basic modifications out of which the multifarious modes of matter are formed

 Be it understood that matter exists in four main modes Skandhas, Skandhadeşas, Skandhaþradeşas and primary atoms.

COMMENTARY

Skandhas are the aggregates of atoms This classe refers to complete molecular constitution Skandhadess is said to be incomplete But still it is an aggregate Similarly Skandhafradesa. These three are the differences in molecular constitution. The last class refers to the primary atom which is the unit constituting the other three classes. This is explained in the next Gatha.

81

The respective characteristics of these four classes are given below.

खंधं सयलसमत्यं तस्स दु अहं भणंति देसोत्ति । अहुहं च पदेशो परमाण् चेव∙अविभागी ॥ ८९ ॥

*Sanskrit rendering

हकन्धार्च हकन्धदेशाः स्कन्धप्रदेशाश्च भवन्ति परमाण्यः ॥ इति ते चतुर्विकस्पाः पुदुगलकाया ज्ञातस्याः॥ ६०॥

*S inskrit rendering

स्कन्यः सकलसमस्तत्तस्य त्यर्थं मण्डित देश इति ॥ स्रद्धार्त्वं च प्रदेश परमाणुरचैयाविभागी ॥ ४१ ॥ 81 The complete molecule of matter is Skandha, a half of it is 'kan lhadesa, a half of that half is Skandhapradesa, and what cannot be divided is the primary atom—thus say (the learned)

COMMENTARY .--

The complete Skandha refers to the molecule which has all the physical qualities without any exception. Any physical body perceived by us may be taken as an example of complete Slandh: If the process of bisestion is continued to infinity the limit of the series is the Paramani—that which cannot be divided further Conversely starting from the atom an aggregate of two, three etc., more atoms will generate Skandhan. An aggregate of infinite i umber of atoms is the complete Skandhan.

(Note the atomic basis of Physics)

82

Pudgala ultimately refers to the class of primary atoms But the name is also applied to the derivat ve classes of Skandhas

वादरसुहुमगदाणं खंधाणं पुग्गलोत्ति ववहारी ॥ ते होति छप्पयारा तेलोक्कं जेहि णिप्पण्णं ॥ ८२ ॥

82 Standhas are of two kinds. Those that can be perceived by the senses and the minute ones beyond sense perception. These also are called matter conventionally. These manifest themselves in six different modes by which the three worlds are completely filled.

COMMENTARY

The term Pudgala is specially applicable to the primary atoms. These are the indestructible material basis of the world. The Skandhas or molecules though derivative and secondary are also called by the same name Pudgala. These molecules or Skandhas hive the claracteristics of touch, taste, smell sound and colour. They can increase or decrease being aggregates. They can grow of

^{*}Sanshret rendering पादरसीदम्यगतानां स्व-धानां पुद्गल १ति व्ययहार ॥ से मयन्ति पटप्रकारास्त्रेलीयय वे निष्पन्न ॥ =२॥

decay. They are minute or large. These Skandhas or molecular aggregates are of six different kinds which are mentioned in the next gatha. These aggregates or Skandhas in their six different forms completely fill the three Loras.

The six different kinds of Skandhas are enumerated.

्पुढवि जलं च छाया चउरि दियविसयकम्मपाओगा। कम्मातीदा येवं छन्भेया पोग्गला होति॥ ५३॥

83: The Skandhas or molecular aggregates exist in six different forms:—Earth, water, shadow, the objects of the four senses except sight, and kârmic matter, and molecules which are unfit to become karmic matter.

COMMENTARY.

The six kinds of Skandhas are otherwise called thus :-

- Bâdara bâdara—Solid.
- 2. Bådara-Liquid.
- 3. . Sukshmabådara-Apparently solid like a shadow.
- 4. Badarasukshma-Minute particles evident to the senses.
 - 5. Sukshma-Minute, not perceptible.
 - 6. Sukshma Sukshma-Very minute.
 - Is that which cannot become combined or one, when broken; like wood or stone.
 - Is the one whose parts become one again when broken; as water, etc.
 - The larger cannot be broken, or divided or caught as shadow, lightning darkness.
 - 4. Though minute yet evident to the senses as taste, smell, colour, etc.
 - 5. Minute and imperceptible as Karmic matter.
 - 6. Those below Karmic matters upto Standhas made up of

84

Thus after describing the various Skandhas the author explains the nature of Paramânus or primary atoms which are the constituent elements of Skandhas.

सन्वेसिं खंधाणं जो अंतो त वियाण परमाणू । स्रो सस्सदो असद्दो एक्को अविभागि मुत्तिभवो ॥ ८२ ॥

84. Do thou understand that whatever is the limit of all Skandhas is the primary atom. That same is eternal unsounding (silent) occupying one space point and of corporeal form.

COMMENTARY

The limiting unit of the process of division of molecules is the Paramanu or the primitry itom Since it cannot be further sub-divided it is called atom. Since it occupies a single point of space it is one. Since it is the eternal and inalienable substratum of criporeal things it is eternal. Since it is the constitutive basis of physical objects it is the generator of physical things. Since it cannot by itself bring about sound vibrations, it is non-sounding or silent. These are the characteristics of the primary atom.

It may be noted here that according to Juna thought matter is indestructible, so also is Jiva. It is not due to the creative fist of a Will. It cannot be destroyed. Atoms are the constitutive elements of physical bodies. Thus according to Juna view, matter and space are objectively real. In this respect, the view is quite similar to that of modern science. Again it is worth noting that the atom is described as non sounding. Several Indian systems of thought associate sound with Akâsa, a term which is quite ambiguous. It means either space or ether. But the Jaina thinkers distinctly explain sound as due to molecular impingement. Unless one molecule of matter strikes against another there is no possibility of sound. An atom by itself is incapable of producing sound. Hence it is sitent. Jaina physics of sound is also quite scientific.

...

This primary atom is not of four kinds as some people would have such as earth, air, fire and water. But it is only one in nature though it is the constitutive basis of the four Dhatis or modes of

^{*}Sanskrit renderine .

physical existence. The atom has its own essential nature which is distinct from that of the four element."

आदेशमत्तमुत्ती घादुचदुक्कस्स कारणं जो दु । सो णेओ परमाणू परिणामगुणी सयमसद्दो ॥ व्य ॥

85. Whatever thing by its very name implies, perceptual form; is the essential cause of these four elements, is characterised by unthinking murta nature and is unsounding; that is the atom; so be it understood.

COMMENTARY,

The author denies the qualitative difference of paramanus the very name an atom implies the division of physical objects having the sense qualities of touch, taste, smell and colour. the point of spaciality it is beginning middle and end in one. From the general principle that substance and quality are inseparable, it follows that the spacial unit of atom is also the spacial unit of contact. or of smell, or of colour, se, the unit of space occupied by the atom is also the unit of space accommodating the sense colours the primary atom through its manifestation were to part with either smell or with the smell and taste or with smell taste and colour then the very atom would be annihilated Therefore the separability of the quality cannot be as ociated with the atom. Again fire, air, earth, and water, have as their constitutive cause the atom. Wonderful are the qualities of primary atoms when their potential characteristics are taken into consideration. The non manifested sense qualities become actual in the aggregates or Skandhas But in the case of sound it can't be said that it is present in the atom even in Sakti or notentiality for sound implies several molecules of several spacial Therefore such a characteristic carnot consistently belong to an atom which has only one spacial unit Paramanu is called Murta for another reason also, because it can be a perceptual object for Paramavadh: It is called so not because it is perceived by our senses It is distinctly transcending the capacity of our senses though it can he perceived by the Kevals

^{*}Sanshrit rendering श्रादेशमात्रमूर्त्तः धातुचतुर्फस्य कारण यस्तु ॥ स क्षेयः परमाणः परिणामगुणः स्त्रयमशन्द ॥ =५॥

86

Then he describes how sound is actually produced by atoms which are really without sound quality

सद्दो खंधप्पभवो खंधो परमाणुसगसंघादो ॥ पुर्हेसु तेसु जायदि सद्दी उप्पादगी णियदी ॥ ८६ ॥

86 Sound is generated by skandhas Skandhas themselves are the aggregates of atoms When these aggregates strike one another sound is produced which may be natural or artificial (hence sound may be indirectly associated with atoms also)

COMMENTARY

If the skandbas constituted by Primary atoms strike one an other there is sound. If they strike of their own accord then there is natural or svabhavika sound. If the striking is due to other agencies then it is Prayogika or purposeful sound The illustrations of Svabhavika or natural sound are thunder of the clouds and the roar of the sea Prayogika or purposcful sound is again of two kinds Bhash itmaka or Language and Abhashatmaka, non language The language sound again may be Aksharatmaka or Anaksharatmaka articulate and marticulate. The articulate sound is made up of alphabetical sounds, the marticulate is the language of animals

Non language sounds are of four lands -

- Tata sound produced by stringed instruments
- Vitata the sound produced by drum
- Gana sound produced by cymbals, etc
- 3. Sushira sound produced by pipes and other wind instru ment

87

Further description of the primary Atom That it is Ekabradesa or occupies one spacial point is specially referred to

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

87. The primary atom is eternal, is spacial, and yet non-spacial; is the differentiating factor of skandhas and is also the cause of their changes. It is also the determinent of time and number.

COMMENTARY.

Paramanu is associated with one space point. Since it is not capable of disintegration it is indestructible and eternal. Though it occupies only one space point since it is the sub-tratum of colour and other sense qualites, it has spaciality accommodating qualities. But as it has only one space point and as it is without beginning, middle, or end, and as it cannot accommodate another space point it may also be called non-spacial. Being the constituent element of skandhas it may be said to be the determinent of the difference of skandhas. For the same reason it is the substantial cause of skandhas. By its own change of position it becomes the measure of time. A single instant of time corresponds to a single shift of an atom from one position to the immediately next. It is also the measure of number or quantity. Being the constitutive element of chandhas it brings about quantitative difference of things (Dravya Sankhya). Since its associated space point is the constitutive element of space it is indirectly the cause of quantitative difference of space (Kshetra Sanlhya.)

Since its motion from point to point corresponds to duration of time it is also the basis of quantitative difference of time.

(Kála Sankhya)

Again because it is the basis of modification of things through aggregation or disintegration it is also the condition of the quantitative difference of modes or (Bhava Saukya).

Thus according to Jaina view the primary atom is the direct unit of things and the indirect unit of space, time, and change. The

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

नित्या नानवकायो न सावकाराः प्रदेशते। भेचा । स्कृत्यानामपि च कर्चा प्रविभक्ता कालसंख्यायाः ॥ २०॥

q inititative difference in these things and also the qualitative difference in physical objects may ultimately be traced to the constitutive of Paramanu.

88

Furtherdescription of the qualities of Paramanu and its modes.

एयरसवण्णगंधं दी फासं सद्कारणमसद्दं । खंधंतरिदं दव्वं परमाणुं तं वियाणेहि ॥ ८८ ॥

88. That substance which has a single taste, colour, and smell and two contacts; which is the cause of sound, itself unsounding, which is different from skandhas though constituting them is the Paramanu. So do thou learn.

COMMENTARY.

The Primary atom is examined with reference to different sense qualities of physical objects. Five colours are recognised generally in external things of which only one colour can be associated with the Paramanu. According to Jaina view a Paramanu is an object of perfect Avadhi perception. Though an atom is meternperical to a finite individual it is distinctly a Rupa or Vismal object for the super-normal faculty of Avadhi. If the description in the Gatha is based upon such super-normal perception, unfortunately it cannot be verified by our experience. But still there is a way of imagining the truth. An atom may be associated with a single light wave. If it is not able to obstruct more than a single fight wave of a particular wave length then it must manifest itself as having only one colour to a super-normal faculty. Whether it is actually so constituted as to behave in that fashion it is for the physicist to determine. We have here only to notice the uncompromising realistic attitude of Jaina thought,

Of the five different states ordinarily recognised, an atom can have only one. Of the two different smells it may have either. Of the eight contact qualities smooth and rough; heavy and light; are the

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

qualities of skandhas These cannot be in the atom. The remaining four qualities may be present in an atom in pairs. The elements constituting the pair must be mutually compatible. Snigdia and Sita, Ruksha and Ushna, may constitute the consistent pairs, i.e., viscosity or adhesiveness may co exist with cold and hardness and repulsiveness may co exist with heat

This description would naturally introduce qualitative difference among atoms and yet according to the author there can be no qualitative difference among atoms as they are identical material units

It is extremely interesting to notice the elaborate analysis of sense qualities which is even as minute as that of modern Psychological analysis of smell is as halting as the modern one Sm-II could be analysed only into disagreeable and agreeable S-veral attempts made in recent years to go beyond this crude difference merely ended in failure where the modern scientists succeeded there the ancient thinkers also achieved success, i.e., in the analysis of the other senses. Ano her point worth noticing is the analysis of cutaneous sensations into eight elements. This is almost modern in its achievement. This indirect Psychological value is more important than the description based upon super normal perception which unfortunately cannot be verified by Science in its present state.

89

By way of summary, the author enumerates the different forms of corporeal existence The description may also be faken as a sort of extensive definition of matter

उवभोज्जिमिदिएहिं य इंदिय काया मणी य कम्माणि। जं हवदि मुत्तमण्णं तं सन्वं पुग्गलं जाणे॥ ४६॥

89 Whatever is perceived by the senses, the sense organs, the various kirds of Sårrås, or bodies of Jivas, the physical manas or brain, the karmas, &c are Mŵrta objects Understand that all these are Pudgala or matter

^{*}Sanskrit rendering उपसोग्वमिदियेश्वेन्द्रिय काया मनश्च कर्माखि । यद्भवति सूर्चमन्यत् तत्सवँ पुदुगल जानीवात् ॥ म्ह ॥

COMMENTARY.

The author enumerates the different states of matter. All objects of sense perception are corpored. An object may be apprehended through any one of the senses. Therefore, anything that has the sense quality of colour, contact smell, taste, or sound comes under this definition. Not only the objects of sense perception but also the sense organs are physical. Thirdly the different Sariras or the bodies of Jivas are also physical. These are five in number. Autarika, Vaikriyaka, Aharaka, Taijasa, and Karmana Sariras. The author includes Dravja Mana or mind under the same class. Evidently it means brain which is no doubt physical. Dravva Karmas are also material since they are constituted by physical atoms. And again he implies no-karmapudgalas, organised matter forming part of organism. This no-karma matter relers 'to matter assimilated by the organism through the process of Metabolism. And by the word " others" he refers to the several atoms and Skandhas not already enumerated. All these are material,

The five sariras referred to in the Gatha require elucidation.

- 1. Audarika Sarwa. Udāmina means Sthula or gross. The Sarira is called Audarika because it is constituted by Sthula or gross matter. It is also detived from udara womb that which is born from the womb is Audarika. Any way Audarika Sarira refers to organic bodies spinal and human
- 2. Vaikriyaka Sarira Term vikriya implies the wonderful bodily transformations that are associated with a Deva or divine being A Deva can take any kind of body he pleases from minute to 'huge forms. That kind of sarira is called Vaikriyaka Sarira.
- 3. Aháraka Sarira when a yogi during Tapas has certain difficulties about intricate facts of reality, there shoots forth a kind of subtle body from him. This stretches out so far as to be in communion with another well-informed person, from whom, the infomation sought for is secured by a sort of telepathic response. This shootting body from the yogi is Aháraka Sarira.
- 4. Taijas Sarira. This refers to the shining body or the light-
- Karmana Sarira. This refers to the karmic body of each Jira.
 Every Jiva carries this karmic body with itself when it roams through the cycle of Samsara.

The first alone is the object of sense perception, and the others are subtle bodies. The succeeding one is subtler than the pecceding one in order of the Sutra ARTH ARTH ARTH THE for further description of these sariras, we may refer the reader to Tattvartha Suira where the author describes the characteristics by several Sutras (Sutra 36 to the end of 2nd chapter)

Thus ends the chapter on matter or Pudgalastika; a.

90

CHAPTER ON DHARMA AND ADHARMA.

90

The author first describes Dharma or the principle of motion.

धम्मत्थिकायमरसं अवण्णगंधं असद्दमप्पासं । लोगोगाढं पुटुं पिहुलमसंखादियपदेसं ॥ ९० ॥

90. Dharmāstikāya is devoid of qualities of taste, colour, smell sound and contact. It pervades the whole world, it is continuous because of inseparability; has extension because of its co-existensiveness with space. Though in reality of Ekapradesa yet in Vyavahāra is of many pradesas.

COMMENTARY

The author introduces important principles without which the world would be incomplete. Dharmástkája and Adharmástkája are distinctly peculiar to Jaina system of thought. The former is the principle of motion and the latter of rest. These terms are used in a technical sense by Jaina writers. Non Jaina writers both European and Indian have many of them misunderstood these technical names. We shall reserve our discussion to the end of this chapter.

In the above Gatha the author clearly describes the nature of Dharma or the principle of motion. Since it is non-corporal or Amûrta it has no sense qualities which are generally associated with matter. The qualities of contact, colour, taste, smell and sound are not to be associated with Dharma Therefore it is not physical. Again it is not an aggregate of simple element as matter is. Therefore Dharma is continuous and non-composite. Its influence is co-extensive with the whole world. Therefore it may be said to be co extensive with Lokákása without any gaps or intervals. It cannot be said to have manifested at any particular time of the World's History much less is it created. It is coeval with the world and co-extensive with space, and because of the latter character it is an Astikáya.

Sanskrit rendering : धार्मास्तिकायाऽरसे।ऽवर्णगन्धोऽशन्दोऽस्वर्णः । स्रोकावगाढः स्पृष्टः पृथुलोऽसंस्थातप्रदेशः ॥ २० ॥ 91

Next Gatha describes the remaining qualities of Dharmastikaya.

अगुरुगलघुगेहिं सया तेहिं अणंतेहिं.परिणदं णिच्चं । गदिकिरियाजुत्ताणं कारणभूदं सयमकज्जं ॥ ११ ॥

91. Because it has the infinite manifestations of the incorporeal nature Agunulaghu, and because of its dialectic nature of persistence through appearance and disappearance it is a real existence. Itself being unaffected by movement it conditions the motion of those that can move, matter and life.

COMMENTARY.

The author next establishes the substantial reality of Dharmastikaya. It has the characteristic change and modification of all the primary entities though it is incorporeal. Therefore it is permanent and real. It is the indispensable condition of movement in physical objects as well as in living beings. But itself cannot be moved by any other thing because it is incapable of movement.

92

The author explains by a well-known analogy how it is the condition of motion.

उद्यं जह मच्छाणं गमणाणुग्गहयरं हवदि लोए ॥ तह जीवपुग्गलाणं धम्मं दव्वं विथाणेहि ॥ ९२॥

92, Just as water itself being indifferent or neutral, is the condition of movement of fishes so *Dharma* itself non-motive, is the sine qua non of motion of Jivas and Pudgalas.

COMMENTARY.

The author explains the function of Dharmastikaya by a very striking example. Water is the indispensable condition for the life

*Sanskrit rendering.

अगुरुत्तपुकीः सदा तैः श्रनन्तः परिषतः नित्यः। गतिकियायुक्तानां कारणभूनः स्वयमकार्यः॥ ६१॥

*Sanakrit rendering :

उदकं यथा मस्यानां गमनानुमहकरं भवतिलोके । तथा जीवपुरुगतानां धर्म दृष्यं विज्ञानीहि ॥ ६२ ॥ of the fish The fish lives and moves only in water. But water neither moves with the moving fish nor does at stimulate the fish to move-It is practically indifferent towards the moving fish. If the fish moves, it is due to its own intrinsic and spontaneous activity, and not to the causal agency of water. Such is the relation of Dharmastikaya to objects of the world. If objects move from one place to another, the movement is due to the intrinsic condition of the object. still Dharmastikaya is the sine qua non of motion of the objects of the world, ie., in short it is merely the condition, and not the generative cause, of motion.

93

Next the author describes the Adharmastikaya or the principle of rest.

जह हबदि धम्मदृब्वं तह तं जाणेह दब्बमधमक्खं। ठिदिकिरियाजुत्ताणं कारणभदं तु पुढवीव ॥ ५३ ॥

93. The nature of Adharma is essentially similar to that of Dharma. But it is like the earth (which is the resting place of things) the sine qua nou of rest for things in motion. (both animate and inanimate).

COMMENTARY.

Adharma or the principle of rest has all the characteristics associated with Dharma or the principle of motion. This is also devoid of sense qualities. This is also non-corporeal. This is in itself non-spacial and yet it is co-extensive with Lokákása. These characteristics it has in common with the principle of motion-But it has its own differentiating quality. In this respect it is compared with earth which is the resting place of things. Moving things whether animate or inaninate are not arrested and brought to rest by the earth. But if there is no earth to support, there will be no possibility of rest- for the moving things. Similarly the Adharmastikaya without interfering with motion itself is the condition of rest for the moving things.

*Sanskrit rendering:

यथा भवति धर्मद्रव्यं तथा तज्जानीहि द्रव्यमधर्मास्यं। सितिकियायकानां कारणभूतं तु पृथिवीव ॥ ६३ ॥

94

Then the author gives the reason why Dharma and Adharma are considered Astkayas or existences.

जादो अलोगलोगो जेसिं सब्भावदो य गमणिठदी। दो वि य मया विभक्ता अविभक्ता लोयमेक्ता य॥ ९२॥

The two things which by their existence bring about the difference between the world and beyond, which are respectively the condition of motion and rest, which are different in function, but same in nature and Pradesa, are Dharma and Adharma. These are uncreated and of the same magnitude as Lokākāsa.

COMMENTARY.

The existence of these two principles must be postulated as the necessary condition of the world for without this there will be neither motion nor rest among things. There will be neither the world nor beyond. If the material particles and jivas are not kept together as a system then they will get scattered through the whole place resulting in sheer chaos. There will be no definite world. There will be neither the beyond or Aloka. The difference between Loka and Aloka is entirely due to the coherent system of molecules and Jivas, conditioned by these principles. Dharma and Adharma are said to be distinct because of the difference in function. The former is the condition of motion, the latter of rest. But they are quite similar in nature and are indistinguishable because of their non-exclusive co-existence in space. They are in themselves Nishkriya Dravyas. Non-active and non functional and yet condition the things living and non-living in their motion and rest. For this reason they are limited entirely to the world. Their function will not be felt beyond the world for the simple reason that there are no things beyond.

[·]Sanskrit rendering:

जातमलोकलोकं वयाः सद्भावतश्च गमनस्यितिः । द्वापपि च मतौ विमकायविभक्तौ लोकमात्री च ॥ ६५ ॥

91

Next the author points out that Dharma and Adharma are in themselves neutral, and indifferent condition respectively of motion and rest in other things

ण य गच्छदि धम्मत्थी गमणं ण करेदि अण्णदवियस्स॥ हवदि गती स प्पसरी जीवाणं पुग्गलाणं च ॥ ८५ ॥

95. Dhai mástiká) a does not move itself nor effect motion in other things. But it forms the condition of motion in living and non-living things. COMMENTARY.

Then it is determined that Dharma and Adharma being in themselves entirely neutral from the external condition of motion and rest respectively. Dharmastikaja itself is incapable of movement nor can it be an efficient cause of motion in other things such as, phyical objects and living beings. In what way can it be said to condition motion? certainly not like the horses that, while themselves running, indirectly cause the motion of prersons on their back. Dharmastikaya does not carry things; locomotion of things and persons is not brought about by Dharma for it is a Nishkriya Dravya. But it behaves like water which by its more presence is the condition of motion in fishes, i. e , Dharmastikaya by its mere existence conditions motion without being the efficient cause of . motion. Jivas and Padgalas have motion because of their own efficient causes and yet motion in them will be impossible, but for the external condition of Dharmastikaya.

Similarly Adharma being neutral in itself is the external condition of rest. It is merely a Bahirangahetu. Just as the earth is the standing place for horses and the shadow of a tree, the place of rest for the pilgrims so Adharma is the non-efficient external condition of things at rest.

96

Then the reason why Dharma and Adharma are merely neutral conditions (Udúsinahetu).

[·] Sanskrit rendering :

न च गच्छति धर्मास्तिका गमनं न करोत्यन्यद्रध्यस्य । मयति गतेः सः प्रसरो जीवानां पुदुगलानां च ॥ ४५

विज्जिद् जेसिं गमणं ठाणं पुण तेसिमेव संभवदि । ते सगपरणामेहिं दु गमणं ठाणं च कुव्वति ॥ ९६ ॥

96. To whatever things there is motion to the very same there can be rest, or cessation of motion, such things of their own spontaneous efficiency effect either motion or rest.

COMMENTARY.

The author explains why these are called udasinahetu. He wants to emphasize that Dharma is not the intrinsic condition of motion nor Adharma of rest, i.e., he wants to deny that they are Blukhyahetu. What if they are the Mukhyahetu for motion and rest. then the things that are in motion must continue to be so for ever and the things that are at rest must remain in the same state for ever. But things don't behave in that fashion. Therefore it is inferred that these are only Udasinahetus or neutral or external . conditions. This only means that they are not efficient causes. · Ordinarily we perceive that things moving come to rest and things at rest begin to move. That shows that both motion and rest are as sociated with single things. Since this fact prevails in nature we have to infer that motion and rest are not produced by Dharma and Adharma as Mukhyahetu. Motion and rest must be traced to the intrinsic and efficient nature of things themselves. That clearly shows that Dharma and Adharma are merely Bahirangahetus.

NOTE

After taking to task the several writers who misunderstood the technical terms Dharma and Adharma, Mr. J.L. Jami writes (in pp. 25 of his Outlines of Janism).

"Matter goes to struggle with the unwary or infatoated soul; time times the conduct, space makes possible the arena; dharma keeps the combatants to struggle on; and adharma assists them when they are inclined to rest." Again in the same page: "dharma and adharma are the necessary conditions of its continuance in its endless vicissitudes, merit and demerit, high and low, happiness and misery, as

[&]quot;Sanskrit rendering :

far as disturbance and tranquillity . Of course, dharma and adharma are in their nature and modus operand; the same It is the same sword in the hand of a devoted soldier or fanatic rebel '

I am afraid that Mr Jaini is still thinking of dharma and adharma as quasi-moral instruments in the hands of a liva

The terms shoud not in the least be associated with any kind of moral struggle and tranquillity Motion and rest contemplated in this connection on distinctly physico-mathematical. They should not therefore be interpreted even metaphorically to mean anything more than that connotation We have to remember the following points -

- 1. Dharma and Adharma-are amurta dravvas They have no .. sense qualities of colour, etc
- 2 They are anvas-non living 3 They have spatial relation though in themselves eka bradess
- 4 They are Nishkriyas-non active
- 5 They are Bahiranga hetu or udasina hetu and not Mukhya" hetu
 - 6. They are non discrete and continuous

There are some of the important common qualities emphasised by the Jama Thinkers Of course that they are astikayas need not be emphasised

If we ponder over these qualities, then they can never be con nected with moral struggle er evolution

The Jama philosopher recognised in the world matter, Life and Space. But are they enough? No There would be no world The Atoms and Jivas may be scattered throughout the infinite space Therefore there must be something else besides these three. That something must be able to maintain a coherent system of livas and atoms, must have the function of preventing the flying atoms, must limit the boundary of the world of things and persons For the author dis tinctly says that without Adharma there will be only chaos there will be no world Therefore the Jama Thinkers pointed the existence of a fourth entity which binds together things and persons So the hypothesis of adharma

This is something like Newton's gravitation, but adharma is slightly different. Its main function is to arrest things. But then there is this difficulty. If there were adharma alone how could their be motion at all in things? There would be an eternal paralysis of Reality. To remove this difficulty Dharma had to be posted. The function of Duarma is to guarantee motion within the limits imposed by adharma. This is the reason for the second hip of thesis.

But the trouble is not set over If the two exist within a spitial limit one guitanteeing motion and the other rest, then the things in motion must be in motion for ever, and things after t must be there for ever. But our experience is not of that kind. One and the same has motion or rest, it may move or it may come to stay. Therefore Dharma and Adharma must be deprived of their causality. They can be only Bihiranga helu or Udavina helu, (i.e.) they must be indifferent and neutral in themselves and yet must be indispensible to the completion of the norld.

This seems to be the logical development of the system. The most approximate modern conception answering to the description will be Ether of the physicist. But the Jima systems require two such entities functionally different, one acting like Newton's gravitation (adharma) and the other guaranteeing motion within the limits. It would not be quite accurate to think of centrificial forces, because tharma and adharma are Nikhriyas. Does it mean the duality of electro magnetic influence of Ether? The constitution of an atom is supposed to be a system of electrons (positive and negative). Had the Jima thinkers any such idea about the whole world? We can only contemplate. But of this much we are certain that dharma and adharma are parts of the physical system. They are two different entities without which the system of reality would be impossible and incomplete.

Again Dr. Seal suggests that dharma is "answering some what to Leibneitz's Pte established harmony . . it is the cause (or condition) of the system of movements the fact of an order in the movements of Jisa and Pudgala," (Note E at the end of Draya Samgeaha, S. B. J. pp. LVIII)

As the whole letter is not quoted I am not in a position to know what Dr. Seal has to say about adharma. With due deference to the great Philosopher I beg to state that he misses the point Dharma need not necessarily be associated with simultaneous

reference ?

movements as I pointed out Adharma seems to be logically prior to Dharma) in the construction of the system Hence I am not able to appreciate this reference to Pre established Harmony which has a special function in the system of a 'unidowless moniads There certainly is the idea of correspending movements. Hence Dharma is not the 'system of movements'. Its meaning is

distinctly subsequent to that of adharma How could adharma be connected with Leibneitz's System? Then what is the force of that

CHAPTER ON AKASA OR SPACE.

97

In this Chapter the author describes the nature and characteristics of space. The term Akasa here means space and not Ether as it is very often interpreted in other systems of Indian philosophy.

सक्वेसिं जीवाणं सेसाणं तह य पुग्गलाणं च ॥ जं देदि विवरमखिलं तं लोए हवदि आयासं॥ ९०॥*

 What contains or accommodates completely all Jivas and Pudgalas and the remaining Dravyas is the world space or Lokâkâsa.

COMMENTARY.

Space is considered to be objectively real in Jaina system. Objectively real space is ordinarily considered to be self-contradictory and impossible. On the so-called impo-sibility of space idealism bases its arguments. But in recent years Mathematicians have clearly shown that space and time are not really self-contradictory. Euclidian space is quite possible and may be real.

जीवा पुग्गेलकाया धम्माधम्मा य लोमदोणण्णा । तत्तो अणण्णमण्णं आयासं अतर्वादरित्त ॥ ९८ ॥*

98 Life, matter, the principle of motion and that of rest and also Time, these are not distinct from the world. But that which is the stine as the world, and also distinct from it, is Akusa or space which is infinite.

COMMENTARY

The constituent elements of the world are the infinite number of Jivas and the infinite physical objects, the principles of motion and rest and space and time. Of these space contains the other five The space which is co extensive with these objects is called Lokikasa But that is only a part of the real space. Beyond the Loka there is Alokal asa or Anantakasa. This Anantakāsa is pure space. There are no objects animate or inanimate in this Infinite. Beyond. Not a tiny molecule of matter nor a stray Jiva, would step beyond the limits of Loka. The system of objects is held together by the principles of Dharma and Adharma. And these principles are confined to Lok kasa.

Thus we have to note that Mathematically pure space 15 recognised to be possible and real by Jaina thinkers. Arguments against pure space have already been said to be generally fallacious.

99

Âk is a thus accommodates the other Dravyas Why should it not be taken all o as the condition of motion and rest The author shows why it cannot be such a condition

आगासं अवगास गमणहिदिकारणेहिं देदि जदि । उद्दर्डगदिप्पधाणा सिद्धा चिद्वति किथ तत्य॥ ९९ ॥ ।

Sanskrit renderin:

जीवा पुग्दलकाया धर्माधर्मी च लोकतोऽनम्ये । ततोऽनम्यद्रम्यदाकाशमन्तम्यतिरिक्त ॥ हम ॥

'Sanskrit rendering आकाशमयकाश गमनस्थितिकारणाभ्यां द्दाति पदि। उद्भैयगतिमाधाना सिद्धाः तिप्टन्ति क्य तत्र श हह ॥ 99 If space in addition to accommodating other things, conditions their motion and rest, then why do these Siddhas whose tendency is to go upwards come to stay at the summit of the world?

COMMENTARY

The author evidently explains why i is necessary to pos ulate the existence of Dharma and Adharma Cannot Akasa be credited with the functions of motion and rest in addition to its own function of accommodating things? According to the author such a Hypothesis would be impossible. It would be conflicting with other facts for if it is also the condition of motion and rest, then, wherever, there is Akasa there should be free chance for motion, and rest. But neither a single liva or a single atom of matter could step beyond the limit of Lokikasa though there is Akasa beyond. Therefore the author concludes that space is not the condition of either motion or rest These require independent principles as their condition Akasa cannot be a substitute for Dharma and Adharma This argument should be considered conjointly with the arguments in the previous Chapter for the necessity of Dharma and Adharma We have to notice especially that one which points out the difference between Cosmos and Chaos to be based upon principles of state and motion The Jama system evidently considers the world incomplete and unreal without the statical and dynomical principles

10

The author strengthens the argument by showing that according to Juna faith the perfected ones come to a stay in the summit of the Loka

जल्ला उवरिट्टाणं सिट्टाणं जिणवरेहिं पण्णत्तं । तल्ला गमणद्वाण जायासे जाण णित्यत्ति ॥ १०० ॥*

100 Because the Siddhas or the perfected ones stay in the summit of the world—So it is revealed by the great Jina,

Sanskrit rendering

यस्मादुगरिस्थानं सिद्धान जिन्दरैः प्रज्ञप्त । सस्मारक्षानभाकाशे जानंदि सालांति ॥ १०० ॥

Therefore there cannot be in space either the condition of motion or of rest.

COUMENTARY.

That the Siddhas reside in the summit of the world is one of the religious doctrines of Jainas. If space be credited to be the condition of motion and rest it would contradict the scriptures. To avoid this internal contradiction space should not be suppo sed to be the condition of motion and rest. The argument prima facie is based upon a religious Dogma. But really it is another form of the same philosophical argument which maintained that without the principles of Dharma and Adhrama there would be no distinction between Loka and Aloka. The argument in this Gâtha is merely the converse of the above. Since there is a definite Loka or Cosmos, and since there is space beyond, there must be something besides space which maintains, the integrity of the system of things and persons. For space itself cannot have that function of maintaining the unity of the world.

101.

The author shows that the supposition about space is impossible by bringing in a really strong argument

जिद हविद गमणहेटू आगासं ठाणकारणं तेसिं। पसजिद अलोगहाणी लोगस्स य अंतपरिवृद्ठी ॥१०१॥*

101. If space be the condition of motion and rest, of life and matter, then there would happen the disappearance of Aloka or the beyond and the destruction and dissipation of Loka or the world.

COMMENTARY.

As a matter of fact the world is an integral system of things, living and non-living, existing in space. That there is some force or power which holds the constituent elements of the world together is a necessary pre-supposition even of modern science. It is really wonderful that Jaina thinkers several centuries ago felt the same intellec-

[·]Sanskrit rendering .

यदि भवति गमनद्देतुराकाशं स्थानकारणं तेवां। प्रसज्जन्यलेकहानिर्लोकस्य चान्त्वरिष्ट्यद्विः॥ १०१॥

tual necessity as to suppose a physical force which maintains the cosmic unity. The reason given for that Hypothesis is still more interesting. If there is no such power and if things Ining and non-living were left in space by themselves without a further principle to hold them together there would only be chaos, no systematic world This argument must be considered very important for it gives us an insight into the scientific ideas of the ancients. The positive science of ancient Indians must have been fairly advanced in order to promulgate such physical theories as are contemplated by the author.

102.

If then space cannot be the determining condition of motion and of rest, the latter facts must be due to some other conditions. Therefore Dharma and Adharma must be admitted to be the necessary constituents of the system of reality.

त्रह्मा धम्माधम्मा गमणिट्टिद्कारणाणि णागासं। इदि जिणवरेहिं मणिदं लोगसहावं सुणंताणं॥ १०२॥*

102. Dharma and Adherma alone are the condition of motion and rest respectively, and not Åkäsa or space. So was the nature of the Cosmos revealed by the great Jina to his audience (in Samayasarana).

COMMENTARY.

The author summarises his position as to the nature of Loka, He distinctly asserts that the coherence of the world is due to principles of Dharma and Adharma and not to space. The author further states evidently to strengthen his own case in the eyes of the faithful that such was the message delivered by the Jina to his audience, consisting of Ganadharas, and Chakradharas, and several others in Samavassrana. The description of the world is as revealed by the Omniscient to his disciples.

103.

The author states that Lokakasa or world, Space, Dharma and Adharma, are all co-extensive and coincident. Hence they may

तस्याङ्ग्यांप्यमी समनस्यितिशारमे नावासं । इति जनवरैः मण्डितं लोकस्यमायं सुदर्गताम् ॥ १०२ ॥

Sanskrit rendering :

be considered as one conventionally as they are all incorporeal entities in the same locality. But they are in reality different from one another on account of their functional difference.

धम्माधम्मागासा अपुष्धभूदा समाणपरिमाणा। पुधगुवलद्विविसेसा करंति एगत्तमण्यातं ॥ १०३ ॥*

103 Dharma Adharma, and spice, are mutually interopenetrating und coincident. Hence they are one from the point of locality, they are of the same size and form and constitute an inseparable unity. But from the difference of function they also exhibit their diversity.

COMMENTARY

This Gatha is interesting for this reason that Dharma and Adhar mi being constitutive elements of the world are said to be confined to Lokakasa. Their influence is not felt beyond the boundary of the world for their influence is fundamentally related to things material and spiritual. These two mysterious physical principles are all pervading and co extensive with the world space. Their existence crinic be inferred from their difference of locality. There is no such difference. But yet they have fundamentally different functions and on account of these differences they are really diverse. In short they are one in Prilesa but different as Vastus i.e., they have a unity of Locality with diversity of function and nature.

This functional difference is emphasized by the author only because these three Dravyas are Am to ones. There is no other way of differentiating them unlike physical objects which can be distinguished by sense qualities and unlike Jivas which can be differentiated by conscious qualities. Dharma and Adharma have to be determined only by their function in the economy of the physical realm.

Thus Ends the Chapter on Space.

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

CHULIKA

104.

Then the resume of the five Astikayas and Dravyas They are described as Murta and Amurta (Physical and non physical) and Chetana and Achetana (conscious and non conscious)

जागासकालजीवा धम्माधम्मा य मुत्तिपरिहीणा। मुत्तं पुग्गलद्दवं जीवो खलु चेदणो तेसु॥ १०४॥†

104 Space, Time, Life, Dharma and Adharma, these are Amûrta Dravyas and are therefore without sense qualities Matter alone is Mûrta and has these qualities Again of these life alone is conscious

COMMENTARY

In this Gâtha the author classifies the Dravyas according to two principles According to the first classification, they are grouped into Mûrtas and Amûrtas Mûrta Dravya is one which has the sense devoid of these qualities is Amûrta Here, space, time, Jiva in itself, Dharma and Adharma, are all Amûrtas Matter alone is Mûrta That which has the nature of consciousness is Chetana and that which is otherwise is Achetana. Space, time, and matter, Dharma and Adharma are all Achetanas Jiva alone is Chetana Dravya.

105

Again the Dravyas are classified into Sakrijas and Nishkriyas, active and non active

जीवा पुग्गलकाया सह सिक्किरिया हवंति ण य सेसा। पुग्गलकरणा जीवा खंधा सलु कालकरणा दु॥ १०५॥*

105 Jiwas and physical objects become Sakryas or causal agents when determined by certain condition. The rest are not

*Sanzkrit rendering साहायकालजीया परमांघरमीं च मृतिपरिद्दीता..। मृत्ते पुरत्वद्रव्य बीच सन्तु चेननलेतु ॥ १०४॥ 'Sanzkrit rendering बीचा पुरत्वकाया सद् सन्तिया मयन्ति न च रोपा.। मारतकरासा जीवा स्कन्मा सत् कालकरणास्तु ॥ १०५॥ causally active Jiva is active because of Kirmic matter Skindhas or physical bodies are active because of time

COMMENTARY

According to this classification Dravyis are either Salry as or Vishkrivas Any causal activity is described to be Sakrya If there is no such causal activity then it is Vishl rig : The activity contem plated here may be merely any change brought about by external Jivas and matter are Silry i Dravyas for they become Starty t under certain conditions space time Dharma and Adharma are all Nishkriy is or non active entities. The external condition of activity of liva 5 merely the group of Karmas These are material The external condition of the activity of matter is time Temporal succession brings about changes either of aggregation or disintegra tion in physical objects. There can be no change in matter without time as there can be no change in Jiva without Karmic matter but Jiva in perf ct states can be free from Karmic matter. In this state the Sildha Jiva is practically Nishkriyi i e it is not subjected to causal category. But it cannot be so with matter for there is no chance for matter to exist independent of time. It must always be subject to change due to time Therefore it is always Sikrya

One of the commentators relying on the rel gious dogma that Siddha liva gets to the summit of the world speaks of Siddha also as Sakriya If at all there is any causal change it is this initial clange of locality But in the perfect state it will be more accurately described as Nish kriya for already Jiva is described to be by yord causal series in 115 state of perfection. To be consistent with that attitude it is better to consider Siddha as Nishkriya.

106

The difference between Mûrta and Amûrta is again described in other words

जे खलु इन्दियगेज्भा विषया जीवेहिं हुंति ते मुत्ता । सेसं हवदि अमुत्तं चित्तं उभयं समादियदि ॥ १०६ ॥*

*Sanskrit re derii g

य खलु र नेद्रयप्राह्मा विषया जीनैर्भवित हो मूर्ता । शेष भारयमुर्त चित्तसुभय समाददति ॥ १०६ ॥ 106 All those objects that are apprehended or perceived by the senses are Mûrta objects. The rest are Amûrtas, Mind apprehends both Mûrta and Amurta objects.

COMMENTARY.

The author again tries to explain the difference between perceptual and non-perceptual objects. All those objects that are objects of the senses are Mûrta Dravyas The term Mûrta therefore implies the inherence in the object of the sense qualities of colour, taste. smell, etc. Those objects that have not these characteristics are Amurta Dravvas. According to this description Physical objects are distinctly Mûrta Dravyas. But Physical objects may exist in different forms. There may be minute molecular constitution and the primary atoms. These are certainly not perceived by the senses and yet they also come under Murta category for they form the potential basis of the gross or physical objects which are evident to the senses. In short all those objects which are Pratyaksha to the senses are Murta objects and those objects which are known through Paroksha are Amurtas. Under the Amurta category come such facts as Dharma and Adharma and all Psychic states. (We have to note here that the term Pratyalsha is used in Vyayahåra sense.) Mind, which is in itself an Amurta Drayva is able to know both Murta and Amurta Drayvas. Murta Drayvas are apprehended Pratyaksha and Amurta Paroksha or mediately.

Thus ends the Chulike or Summary.

107.

In the treatment of Panchastilaya, time has only a secondary place. Therefore the author gives a subordinate place to time and mentions about it in the summary. The section dealing about time therefore is merely a part of the Chulik and it is not considered as an independent chapter by the author as well as the commentators.

कालो परिणामभवी परिणामो दृष्वकालसंभूदी । दोण्हं एस सहावी कालो खणमंगुरी णियदी ॥ १०० ॥*

कालः परिणामभनः परिणामा द्रव्यकालसंभूतः। इयोरेष सभावः कालः सणुमद्वरो निवतः॥ १००॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering:

107 Re'ative time is determined by changes or motions in things absolute The former time is ephemeral (having beginning and end). The latter is eternal, such are the characteristics of the two

COMMENTARY.

The author differentiates between relative time and absolute time. The different conventional periods from Samaja or moment onwards are the relative time. The different periods of relative time are measured by changes in other things. Movement of Physical objects is mainly the unit of measuring the different periods of relative time. The changes which measure the periods of relative time are them selves the effect of real or absolute time. The periods of relative time have both beginning and end. But Dravyakala or real time is eternal, is without beginning or end.

The author takes up an attitude which is very familiar to common sense and science The distinction is quite identical with Newtonian distinction between relative and absolute time. According to the author time is a real Dravya, it is not merely a form of mental activity. The idealistic thinkers both in the east as well as in the west have treated time as merely an appearance. But the Jaina thinkers evidently form an exception for them, time is not an appearance but a reality The main argument against the reality of time is the so called self contradiction in the motions of continuity and infinity Time has these characteristics of continuity and infinity and yet it is also constituted by instants or Draviakalanus as the Jama writers call them. How can there be continuity constituted by primary elements of instants? This difficulty is not peculiar to time. It is common to space as well as matter In all these cases Philosophers emphasize the impossibility of obtaining continuity and infinity from simple elements. On account of this impossibility space, time and matter were condemned to be appearances

But the problem has been taken up by the Mathematicians The wonderful mathematical discoveries of the con mental mathematicans such as Cantor Permo and Frege have shown clearly the intrinsic fallacy in all the arguments against the reality of time and space. The problem is developed by mathematicians as a special case of

"transitive and continuous series" To go into further details would be too mathematical. The di-cussion may very well be reserved to the general introduction. It is enough to note here the wonderful correspondence between the ideas of the author, and those of philosophical Mathematicians of our present day.

Another point worth notice is that time is the cause of changes or modifications' in things. The author not only admits the reality of time but also recognises its potency. In this respect one is reminded of the great French Philosopher Bergson. Bergson has revealed to the world that time is a potent factor in the evolution of Cosmos. Changes and modifications in things are absolutely impossible without time, and that is just the view of the author.

108.

In order to clear the doubt of the disciple of a different Sangha, who disbelieves the reality of time, the author emphasizes the existential nature of time.

कालो त्ति य ववदेसी सञ्भावपहृवगो हवदि णिच्चो । उप्पण्णप्पट्वंसी अवरी दोहंतरट्टाई ॥ १०८ ॥*

108. The name time or Kala denotes an existential fact. The thing so denoted—Time is real but the other relative time has from the point of present moment, origin and end almost simultaneously. But it may also be of long duration.

COMMENTARY.

The author differentiates the real time from relative time. Real or absolute time is eternal existence. But relative time is merely of finite duration. But from the aspect of moment it has no duration at all. Origin and end are together in a single moment. It has Kshanikatva as its nature as against Nitiatva of the other. But the Kshanikatva may appearently disappear and relative time may have long duration. Even then it has both beginning and end and as such it is different from Dravya Kéla or absolute time.

^{*}Sinskrit rendering:

काल इति च व्यवदेशः सन्द्रायमस्यको भवति नित्यः । उत्तवन्नवध्यंस्यवरो दीर्घान्तरसायी ॥ १००॥

109

Then the quantitative appreciation of time and the absence of Kai atoa or Corpus for the same

ुदे कालागासा धम्माधम्मा य पुग्गला जीवा । लञ्मति द्व्यसण्णं कालस्स दु णित्य कायत्तं ॥ १०६ ॥*

109 Time, space Dharma, and Adharma, matter, and Jiva these things are called Dravyas. Of these to time alone there is no Kayatva.

COMMENTARY

In this Gatha the author emphasizes the fact that time has Astirea or existence but not Kijatii speciality. It is one of the six Dravjas. The name Dravya is applicable to all those existences which have continuity through origin and decay. Time has such a nature. There fore it shares the name Dravja with the other things herein enumer ated.

But the other Dravyas are also called Astikayas because they have extension or Bahupradesa But time has no such Bahupradesa Therefore it is not an Astikaya

This nature of time is described in mathematical terms by the author elsewhere (Pravachana Sara) Time has Urdhva Prachaja whereas the other Dravyas have Trry & Prachaja In the language of Modern Mathematics the former term corresponds to mono dimensional asymetrical series the latter multi-dimensional series. According to Mathematical Philosophy extension is multi-dimensional series Kâyatva therefore is generated by Tiryak Prachaja but Urthra Prachaja cannot be associated with extension since it is unilateral. Therefore time is not an Astikâya More of this in the general introduction.

110

The fruit of contemplating upon these facts-Panchastikaya

पते कालाकारो धरमाधर्मी च पुग्दला जीवा । सभाना प्रथमका कालस्य तु नास्ति कायत्य ॥ १०६ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

एवं पवयणसारं पंचित्ययसंगहं वियाणिता । जो मुयदि रागदोसे सो गाहदि दुक्खपरिसोवलं ॥११०॥*

110. Thus one who with the knowledge of, and faith in this short summing of Panchastikaya—the essence of the Divine word gives up desire and aversion (towards wordly things) realises freedom from sorrow.

COMMENTARY.

Here the author indicates the path to eternal bliss. The path consists of the three jewels or Ratina Traja Right faith, Right knowledge and Right conduct. सम्बद्ध रूपेंग शान चारियाच्य पांचमार्ग । and Samjak Darianam is defined to be Tathvaria Sraddhanam. The Tathvas are the existence described in short in Panchastikaja or the reality itself may be considered as a system of Panchastikaja, one who believes in the nature of reality, one who tries to understand its constituent elements must next try to realise his own true nature. When faith and knowledge get the co operation of effort or charitra then there is the sunty of heavenly bliss.

111

Then the manner of obtaining such a freedom

मुणिऊण एतदर्वं तदणुगमणुज्फदो णिहदमोहो । पर्समियरागद्दोसो हवदि हदपरावरी जीवो ॥ १९१ ॥ १

111. Whoever knowing this truth (that self is the greatest reality) endeavours to reach or realise the same gets free from spiritual stupidity or Darsia Mohanlya, and as the result of that, roots out desire and aversion (christia Mohanlya), and finally becomes the conqueror of Samsára

एवं प्रजनसारं पञ्चास्तिकायसङ्ग्रहं विष्ठाय । यो सुद्धति रागद्वेषौ स गाहते हुन्द्रविरमोद्ध ॥ ११० ॥

†Sanskrit rendering

धारवितद्यै तद्नुगमगोचनो निष्ट्नमोह । प्रश्नित्रागक्षेपो भवति हुनपरापरी जीव ॥ १११॥

^{*}Sauskrit rendering

COMMENTARY

He describes the order of events that ends in Moksha. The greatest thing mentioned in this SCRIPTURE is the SELF. Whoever understands the glorious nature of self-consciousness cannot but attempt to realise the same. On account of that effort the wall round the faculty of faith or Darsans Mohaniya gets removed. By the removal of this ignorance dawns the knowledge of SELF. Through self-knowledge the rooting out of desire and aversion the destruction of Charitra Mohaniya or the palsy of the good will. Hence the shittering of karmie shackles; then with freedom radiates the eternal glory of the Divine Person.

Thus ends the Book I.

BOOK II NINE PADĀRTIIAS

112

The six Drawas and the five Astikuyas are of two kinds life and non life. These two things life and non life form the two primary elements among seven Tatty and nine Padarthas. Besides these two the other Tattvas and Padarthas are derivative. They are due to the combination or separation of these two primary Tattvas. Life and non life. On account of combination occur, the following Padarthas, Punya (writue). Papa (wice), Asrava (incoming of harma) and Bandha (bondage). The four which lead to Samsâra, originating by their separation the following Padarthas occur. Sumwara (prevention of incoming karma), Nirjara withering away of existing harma), Nossha (emancipation from all karma or Freedom). Of these Woksha Tattva is the most important being the summum Bonum of life. The path to this goal is described by the author who begins by praying to the last of the Jinas, Lord Mahāyira who revealed the path

अभिवंदिजण सिरसा अपुणव्भवकारणं महावीरं । तेसिं पयत्यभंगं मग्गं मोवहास्स वीच्छामि ॥ ११२ ॥*

112 Bowing my head in reverence to Mahivira, the saviour of the world I describe those two primary Pudirthas lafe and non life, and the various derivative Pid ribas and also the path to heaven

COMMENTARY

This Namarkina sleka 15 Undhy thama igala (Salutition for the middle of the books). The author worships Vardhamana Mahavir, the last of the Tribaniaris he is considered to be the revealer of the true path. All Sastras are traced to Mahavira as the originator.

In the second book the author is concerned with the career of life In the first book he described in detail the nature of the constitutive elements of the world In the second book he is going to follow the spiritual evolution Evolution or development implies a struggle and

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

the primary conditions of struggles are Jiva and Ajiva, life and nonlife Jiva is situated in an environment of Ajiva or non living things.

Of the Ajiva's matter is of primary importance. The struggle is
mainly between life and matter. According to the Jaina doctrine the
struggle is without beginning. The spiritual evolution consists in progressive emancipation of liva from physical shackles.

The reality therefore is looked at from a different angle of vision. The different aspects of the struggle and evolution form the Tattvas and the Padarthas. The author is no more concerned with Astikâjas and Drayas. The very same group appear in another form. The Mula Tattvas or the primary elements, are Jiva and its non living environment. By the combination and separation of Jiva and Ajiva are generated, the other Tattvas and Padårthas which are derivative and secondary. The central actor in the Drama is Jiva. And the culmination of the development is Moksha. The second book therefore is concerned with the career of his from Samsara to Moksha.

113

First the author takes up Wokshamarga or the path to heaven as he wants to describe it in short

सम्मत्तणाणजुत्तं चारित्तं रागदोसपरिहीणं। मोक्खरस हवदि मग्गो भन्वाणं लहुबुद्वीणं॥ १९३॥*

113 Right conduct uninfluenced by the desire or aversion, together with right faith and right knowledge, forms the path to heaven to those faithful Jivas who realise self knowledge through the five attainments or Labdhis

COMMENTARY.

Here the author indicates the path to Woksha or the three jewels. The Gatha is merely the paraphrase of the very first Sutra of Tattvarthadhigama

Three elements constitute the path Darsana, or belief, Jāāna knowledge and Charitra or conduct. But all the three must be of the

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

the right sort Belief in the true nature of reality is Darfana, which is right. This Samyak Darsana, is considered to be the result of suppression or eradication of that particular Karma called Darsana Mohaniya whose function is to blind that faculty of belief or Darsana. The understanding of the nature of these Tattvas, the constitutive categories of reality is Samyak Jhána. The term Samyak implies the absence of doubt and error Conduct as conditioned by right belief and right knowledge is Samyak Charitra or right conduct.

These form the indispensable elements of the Moksha maraga Unless all the three are present there would be no path.

In this Gatha the author indicates eight main conditions of the path.

- The co-operation of right belief and right knowledge, without these there would be no path.
- Conduct is the main constituent element; not any conduct but only right conduct.
- This implies that there should be no Râga or Dresha, Desire or aversion, the presence of these would nullify the Mârga.
- 4. Conduct of that kind forms the path to Moksha or liberation, but does not lead to Bandha or bondage
- The path so constituted is the right and the reliable one; and as such it is distinct from several Muthin Margas or false paths.
- 6. The path is available only to Bhavya Jivas or the good matured
- ones and not to Abhavyā's of intrinsic evil disposition.
- Even among the Bhavyas only to those who secured five attainments or the Pancha Labdhis but not to those who had not those attainments.
- 8 Only those who have eradicated completely all Kashâyas or the gross emotyons which have the tendency to stain the purity of self; but one in whom such emotions are still present cannot walk the path of righteous-ress.

The three elements constituting the path are the three jewels, or Ratnatraya. This Ratnatraya is spoken to be of two kinds Nischaya Ratnatraya and Vyawahara Ratnatraya. The former is from the absolute point of view and the latter is of relative point of view. When the Ratnatraya is distinctly based upon self then it is Nischaya

Ratnatray i Dursini, Jiñina and Châritra, all have reference to self and self alone. This Nischaja Ratinatraja first implies the belief in the ultimate nature and importance of self, i.e., the belief that Paramatina is nothing but self. Again consequent upon this firm belief there must be knowledge of self. Complete knowledge of self is the next element of the Nischaja Ratinatraja. The knowledge of all other Tattisa centres round the Personality. Knowledge of the Person therefore is knowledge par excellence. And lastly realisation of the Paramatina or the great Person would be Charitra for excellence.

Vystahdra Rotnitraya is constituted by Darsani Jfiana and Chârtira which are based upon Paraiattesis or objective ideals. Belief in an objective div nity independent of our Self for example, would be Vyavahara Darsana Knowledge of such alien entities would be Vyavahára knowledge and attempting to realise our ideals and aspirations through the help of such alien personlities either through sacrifices or propiciations is Vyavahára Charitra. These three constitute Vyavahára Ratnatraja

The five Labdius referred to in the Gatha are, (1) Kshājopasamā Lībdhī, (2) Desana Labdhī, (3) Pruyogya Labthī, (4) Visudhalabdhī, and (5) Karanalabdhī,

स्वयउवसमियविसोहि देसणपाउग्गकरणलही य । चत्तारिवि सामण्णा करणं पुणहोदि सम्मत्ते ॥*

गोस्मदसारः Jival inda, gatha 650.

To Samsari Jiva there is continuous fruition of old and existing Jaarmas and combination with novel and incoming Karmas. On account of this karmic encircling their should be no chance for such a Jiva to realise its pure and perfect form independent of Karmic effects. Then how can we talk of Molsha or Emancipation for Samsari Jivas or the worldly souls?

By way of reply to this objection from the descriple the Master says "You have seen this in your experience—that a hero watches

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

हायोपशमिकविशुद्धिः देशनामायोग्यकरण्तविध्ययः । चतसायि सामान्यः करण् पूनः भवति सम्यकत्वे ॥

for an opportunity for vanquishing his enemy Whenever the enemy is in his minimum strength on account of several reasons the hero without losing the chance carefully prepares for the struggle making use of his mature deliberation and select instruments. Thus is the enemy vanquished."

Similary Samsari Jiva embarrassed by ancient as well as fresh Karmic enemies patiently waits for an opportune moment. As a natural consequence of Kârmic matter duration my come to an end, or its intensity and fertility may be declining to minimums. At that moment the Bhavya Jiva which is patiently aspiring for si iritual greatiess strikes with vehemence and vanquishes the enemy. This is ppression of Karmas at their weakest moment is Kshajopa ana Labdhi No 1.

After this initial assertion of spiritual independence their may appear a change of disposition in the nature of Jiva v bich may there after be hankering for good. This hankering for good may manifest in good and useful conduct (bunya), and as such it may be the condition for Punya Rarma Åsrava. Nevertheless the decler sion of the disposition towards good is Visualiz Labahi No. 2

Then with this changed disposition Jiva may have the good fortune to obtain a master who would instruct him in the path. This instruction may lead to the removal of ignorance and error and to the acquisition of Jinana. This may guarantee progress for the Jiva along the rungs of spiritual ladder. This good fortune of obtaining instruction is Upadesa Labdhi. (Desan i) No. 3

The duration and intensity of some Karmas may go on mutually aggravating each other to their maximum. After reaching the maximum they have to decline of their own accord. This declining stage of Karmas after reaching maximum is another opportunity known as Prayogy ata Labdhi (co relative of Karmic glow) No. 4

Again after reaching a certain stage in the spiritual development—Gunavitai as, their may appear certain psychic in truments, e.g., Sukladhjana This attainment of spiritual instruments and other supernormal powers is known as Karana Labdin No 5

These are the Labdhis or attainments which a Jiva by good fortune may secure

These Labdhis are not to be confounded with what are known as Nivi Kevala Laodhis Nine attainments about the time of Kevala Juana

At the Kevali stage of development the following facts happen to the person

- 1 The all penetrating Kevala Jn 1 1a which is the result of annihil ation of the veil of ki owledge
- 2 The all illuminating pe ception or Revila Dirsana of Rishayaka Darsa ia, which is also the concomitant of the destruction of the veil of perception
- 3 The all merciful attitude of the great spiritual Harmony known as Krhayika Abhaya Danam
- 4 Even after relinquishing all kinds of good and nourishment, in order to maintain the Surrix for a time there is the incoming or assimilation of subtle physical principles This is Kshayika Labha gain or income after Kshayika tate.
- 5 Then this happy events introduced by Ind as such as showing flowers over head is Kshiyika Bhogi. This is the consequence of complete annihilation of Bhogantarayi Karma
- 6 Similary the introduction of Simhasana (Lion thrones)
 Chattra Chanari, etc (umbrella etc.) is Kshajikanpabhoga which
 is the consequence of destruction of Ufbhogantaraja Karma
- 7 Then the realisations of Omnipotence Ananta virija which is the result of complete eradication of Virjantaray Karma
- 8 Then by this complete destruction of the seven mula prakritis (fundamental Karmas) happens Kshayika Simyaktvam Absolute Belief in the true nature of Reality
- 9 And finally Ksl ayika Charitra This implies the absolutely self determined thought activity of the pure and perfect person Siddha, or Arhaita This thought activity is again the consequence of absolute emancipation from all Upadhis

The former class of Labdhis is always referred to as Pancha Labdhis and the latter as Vavahevula Libdhis in Sastras in order to avoid confusion Therefore it is not necessary to emphasise the difference further

It is enough to remember that Pancha Labdhis have reference to (Samsari Jira,) and Navakevala Labdhi to Multi Jira.

114

Tì en Vyavahira Samyak Darsana is described

एव जिल्पपण्पत्ते सद्दहमाणस्स भावदो भावे। पुरिसस्साभिणियोहे दंसणसद्धो हवदि जुते ॥ १९४ ॥

114. If a person who thus with great interest believes in the Padirthas revealed by Jina obtains Mati Jiana Then in his case the term Darsanika "he is a believer" has relevency.

COMMENTARY

To believe in the real nature of Âtma and other Padarthas is Vjarahāra Samyaktva Conventional or relative behief. This is the popular means of attaining salvation. The real immediate condition of salvation is the suppression or Annihilation of the Sapta Piakrilis or seven fundamental kinds of Karmic matter.

These are -

- 1. Anantanubandhi Krodha
- 2. Anantanubandhi Mana
- Anantanubandhi Maya.
- 4 Anantanubandhi Lobha
- 5. Samyaktva
- 6 Mithyatva
- 7 Samyak Mithyatva

These seven constitute the Sapta Prakrits The Prakrits 1 to 4 are sub divisions of Charitra Mohaniya and the other three of Darsana Mohaniya

If these get abated then there is the chance for Samjak Darsana or right belief.

115

Then the description of the nature of the three Jewels or Ratnatraya

सम्मत्तं सद्द्हणं भावाणं तेसिमधिगमो णाण । चारित्तं समभावो विसयेसु विक्रुटमगाणं ॥ १९५ ॥*

115 Belief in the real existences or Tattvas is the right faith Knowledge of their real nature without doubt or error is light knowledge. An attitude of neutrality without desire or aversion towards the objects of the external world is right conduct. These three are found in those who know the path.

COMMENTAR &

The Gatha may be interpreted either as referring to Vyavahara Pat atraya or to Visel aya Rat atraya The description of the three je vels may be consistent with the relative path or with the absolute path

In describing Samyak Darsana and Samyak Juána right bel ef and kno vledge the author indicates the nine Padarthas which are the objects of the said belief and kno vledge. The Second Book mainly treats of the nine Padarthas. And the description of the Ratinatraya may be considered as an indirect introduction to the Padarthis which are.

- 1 Jiva = life
- 2 Ajiva = non life
- 3 Papa=sin
- 4 Punya=virtue
- 5 Asrava = flow in of Karmas
- 6 Samvara = the prevention of the inco ning Karmas
- 7 Nirjara = eradication of Karmas
- 8 Bandha = bondage
- 9 Moksha = liberation or emancipation

The first two are the primary Padarthas and the others are deriva-

सम्पद्भव श्रद्धान भावाना तेषामधिनमा झान । चारित्र समभावी विषयेष्यविरुद्धमार्गाणाम् ॥ ११५ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

by the principle of renunciation is the subjective inhibition or preven tion which is Bhava Samtard This refers to the closing up of the spring of evil thought as the concomitant of this Psychic inhibition of evil there appears the withering away of Karmic matter which is Dianja Nirjara Moha or spiritual stupor desire and aversion these bring about a characteristic proneness towards Karmic matter in the nature of liva This proneness towards the Karmic environment is of the nature of Psychic disposition which spins out a cocoon of evil thoughts enshrouging the self This is Bhava Baillia On account of this disposition there results the settling in of Larmic matter on the In This is objective bondage or Diavja Bandha Lastly realisation of the purest and perfect self after complete emancipation from evil is the subjective salvation or Bhava Moksha. The alsolute annihila tion of harmic matter and the liberation of Jiva from the physical shackles is the objective Moksha or Dravia Moksha In all these cases the Bhava aspects refer to Jiva and the Dravya aspects to matter

117

Then the duality of Jiva the first of the Padarthas

जीवा संसारत्था णिव्वादा चेदणप्पना दुविहा। उवओगलक्खणा वि य देहादेहप्पवीचारा॥ १९०॥*

117 Having the nature of consciousness characterised by Upnyoga—faculties of perception and understanding, Jivas are of two kinds. One incarnate of the world and the other discarnate of heaven.

COMMENTARY

Chetana or conscious nature and its manifestation through per ception and understanding are the intrinsic characteristics of all Jivas which are of two classes —Samsari Jivas and Mukta Jivas. The fomer has the limitation of UpAdhis Samsari Jivas are always associated with some kind of body gross or subtle. The Mukta Jivas

^{*}Sinskrit ie derne

जीवा ससारस्या निर्वृष्ता चेतनात्मका द्विविधा । उपयोगलज्ञला श्रवि च देहादहप्रवीचारा ॥ ११७ ॥

is free from such bodily limitations because of complete emancipation from material conditions K-trmic and non K-trmic. As a result of Upadhis Chetana and Upayoga of the former get limited and encircled and as a result of the destruction of Upathis the very same become perfect and pure in the case of Sid that

The five kinds of Sariras have already been mentioned

118

Samsari souls are again twofold—fixed ones and the moving ones. The fixed ones or the Sthurara Jivas are here described

पुढवी य उदगमगणी वाउवणप्फिटिजीवससिदा काया?। देति खलु मोहबहुलं फासं बहुगा वि ते तेसि ॥१९८॥*

118 Vitalised by Jivas are the following by dies —Earth, water fire, air, and also plants. These are many in number. They yield to their respective. Jivas only one kind of feeling contact, and that too associated with highly intensified stupor of ignorance.

COMMENTARY

This Gatha refers to Ekendrija Jivas Jivas having only one sense These as a result of their Karmic intensity may degenerate to such an extent as to be associated with the five kinds of physical objects enumerated above Earth water fire a r and plants. These kinds of physical objects are used as bodies by these Jivas

There is no difficulty in understand fig the organic nature of the plant world especially after the discoveries of Dr Bose it is not necessary to elaborately defend that plants are living organisms. But the organic nature of the other four kinds earth water fire and air is somewhat obscure. The commentators themselves do not help us in the difficulty so much so that some of the European Scholars who have studied Jainism have come to the conclusion, that Jainism is very primitive since it believes in the evistence of souls in material and inorganic things. This argument of the existence of primitive

^{*} Sa iskrit rendering

पृथिया चादकमन्निर्वायुवनस्पती जीवसश्चिता कार्या । द्दति खलु माहबहुल स्पन्नै बहुका ऋषि ते तेषा ॥ १६=॥

beliefs in Jaina system is brought forward in favour of the antiquity of Jainism Certainly it is very pleasing to be assured of a hoary past, but it is no compliment to Jainism if its beliefs are identified with the primitive notions of the Hottentot

It is almost incredible to believe that Jama thinkers with their uncompromising dualistic attitude accepted the doctrine that Achtel in physical objects had souls of their own. The definitions of Jiva and Pudgala are clearly uninistakable. There is no possibility of any confusion. Mutually they are as much contradictory to a Jama thinker as to a carteu in philosopher.

The clue to the difficulty is supplied by the doctrine that the four kinds of I k idrija Jivas associated with fire air, earth and water are S I vh in Exectling Jivas is microscopic organisms having only one sense. That the Jainas believed in the existence of microscopic organisms needs no elaborate evidence. The rules of conduct prescribed for Jaina Grahastas and Yatis are sufficient testimony. Again this interpretation is further strengthened by the following point. All the five kinds of Sthirman Jivas are considered to have four kinds of Pranas or life principles.

- 1 I eel ngs of Contact
- 2 Kavabala Prara or strength of body
- 3 Uchhrusa Vischvasa Prava or respiration
- 4 Ayuh Pra t or duration of life

Fo sippose that these four Pranas are associated with really inorganic bodies would be inconsistent with the other aspects of the sistem. Therefore it is incumbent upon us to emphasize that the Jivas associated with inorganic bodies are mainly Sukshma Ekendriya Jivas or microscopic organisms, for it is only with an organism that Pranas can be consistently associated.

This does not remove all our difficulties. Whether there can be organisms associated with fire is still an unintelligible problem. We don't want to dogmatise on the matter. Findently for the sake of symmetry fire is added on to the enumeration of the other kinds of physical forms. We can only confess that the doctrine for want of sufficient light from the commentators remains a very obscure part of Jaina thought leading to strange conjectures. Where there

is scope for speculation we beg to offer our own Hypothesis as an alternative interpretation

119

These have monosensic and non Psychic vitalism

एदे जीवणिकाया पंचांवहा पुढविकाइयादीया। मणपरिणामविरहिदाजीवा एगेंदिया भणिया॥ १९८॥*

119 These Jivas such as the earth bodied ones are of five different crites. All of them are devoid of mental states. They have a single sense. So are they described in the scripture.

COMMENTARY

The author points out that these Sthitari Jivas are utterly decord of Psychic states. They have only one kind of feeling of contact. This description indicates a difference between the botanical and the zoological realms and the Sukshita Ekendry is or the microscopic organisms of the four kinds also share the nature of the plant world.

120

Though devoid of mental states they are not non conscious in essence Really they are to be considered as unconscious but not non conscious. The author explains by illustration their unconscious nature

डेंसु पबहुंता गब्भत्था माणुसा य मुच्छगया । जारिसया तारिसया जीवा एगेदिया णेयाः ॥ १२० ॥†

120 Just as life or Chetana is associated with unconscious ness in the following cases eggs foetus growing in mother's womb and the man in a trance so also the monosensic

*Sanskrit rendering

एते जीवनिकाया पञ्चितिधाः पृथ्वीकाविकाद्याः । -मन परिणामविरद्विता जीवा एकेन्द्रिया मणितः ॥ ११६॥

Sanskrit rendering -

द्यएडेपु प्रवर्द्धमाना गर्भस्या मानुषाश्च मूच्छा गता । धादशास्तादृशा जीवा एकेन्द्रियो त्रेया ॥ १२०॥ organisms are unconscious, though having life or Chetana Thus he it understood

COMMENTARY

The author establishes that these monosensic beings have life and also potential Chetana or consciousness. It is ordinarily acknowledged that there is life and consciousness in the germ in the case of eggs, foetuses, and in men in trance. Here unconsciousness is no objection to the belief of their living nature. Similarly these monosensic organisms though devoid of consciousness have life and potensy for consciousness.

121

Then the author by way of illustration enumerates some of the organisms having two sense organs

संबुक्कमादुवाहा संखा सप्पी अपागदा य किमी । जाणंति रसं फासं जे ते वे इंदिया जीवा: ॥ १२१ ॥*

121 Sea snail, cowrie shell fish, conch shell fish, mother o'pearl and earth-worin are organisms which have two senses, touch and taste. Hence are they bisensic

122

Then author enumerates some of the organisms having three senses

जूगागुंभीमक्कणिपपीलया विच्छियादिया कीडा । जाणित रसं फासं गंधं ते इंदिया जीवा ॥ १२२ ॥ गं

122 The louce, the bug the red and ordinary scorpion, the ant and other insects have three senses are triacsthetic

संबुधमातृवाहाः सुद्धाः सुक्तयाऽपादवा रूमयः। जानन्ति रस स्पर्धे ये ते ब्रोन्डियाः जीवा ॥ १२१॥

S enskrit rendering -

युकाषुम्मीमस्रुणिवयीत्तिका वृक्षित्रकाद्व वीटा । ज्ञानन्ति रसं स्पर्धे गम्ध वीदिया जीया॥ १२२॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering -

10

Then the author enumerates some of the organisms having four senses

उद्दंत्तमसयमविखयमधुकरममरा पतंगमादीया । रूपं रसं च गन्धं फासं पुण ते वि जाणंति ॥ १२३ ॥*

123. Again, the Gadfly, the mosquito, the fly, the bee, the beetle and the dragon fly and the butterfly—These organisms experience, touch, taste, smell and sight hence they are qui lraesthetic—have four senses.

124.

Then the beings with five senses, are enumerated

सुरणरणारयतिरिया वण्णरसप्फासगंधसद्दण्ह् । जलचरथलजरखचरा वलिया पंचेदिया जीवा ॥ १२२ ॥†

124. Devas, human beings, hell beings, and higher animals all these have five senses, colour, taste, smell, touch and sound Some of the animals are water animals, some lind animals and some birds of the air. There are very strong ones also among these

COMMENTARY

The classification of Jilus according to sense organs is based more upon the behaviour of insects and animals, than on the structure. In the case of bisense insects given in Gatha 121, the classification seems to be correct. Taking the sea small for example it has touch all over the body and very sensitive in the tentacles on the head. It has no tongue but the base of the respiratory organs determines the kind of water that should enter the respiratory chamber. The mobile lips

उद्शमशकमित्रका मधुकरी भूमरा पतङ्गाद्या । इ.पं रसंच गम्ध स्पर्शे पुनस्नेऽपि जानन्ति ॥ १२३ ॥

Sanskrit rendering -

सुरनरनारकिर्वञ्चो वर्णस्यस्पर्शगन्धशस्त्रा । जलवरस्यलवरस्वचग् बनिन पञ्चेन्द्रिया जीवाः॥ १५४॥

[·]Sanskrit rendering -

of the snail also act as gustatory orgins. There is no special uditory organ but there is an organ in the foot, called the otocyst which enables the creature to (seep its balance in the water. This evidently corresponds to the semicircular canals of the human ear whose functions is to determine the positions of our body in space. Generally they have some sensitive parts to light also but this is not considered as an eye by the author. Courie conch and mother of pearl do hive similar structures and ordinary earth worms, also have the sense of contact and some kind of gustatory sense. In their case also the skin is sensitive to light but it is treated as insignificant.

Next in the crose of organisms with three senses the enumeration contains some dubious specimens. The louce and the big have the sense of touch, taste and smell. Ants also have trees three senses But some of them have sight lso. But ordinarily their sense of smell is the most predominent. Lord Verbury Sir John Lubbook after several years of patient observation comes to the conclusion that anis become aware of objects in the environment only through smell. But in the case of caterpillars and scorpions there are eyes. But anyhow they are included under this class.

In the next class are included the mosquitoes flies, bees etc These insects lave clearly four senses Contact taste, s nell and sight. But in the case of some for example beetle and the bee a peculiar humming sound is produced. Naturalists suppose that these insects must respond to sound and they have some organ in the abdomen which is supposed to be responsive to sound vibrations Whether what they experience is sound or some other vague sensation of contact nobody can be certain. Therefore we may take the author's description as almost accurate . Lastly many of the higher animals and human beings are included under the next class five sensed organisms. They have also sense of hearing to boot the distinction between other higher animal and luman beings is that the latter have a well developed consciousness Simanaski Punchendriya Jie is where as the other Panchendriya Jiras are devoid of mind. Evidently the distinction implies the presence of self consciousness in the one class and the absence of the same in the other

fundamental kinds of Jivas already_differentiated according to the four gatis

देवा चडण्णिकाया मणुया पुण कम्मभोगभूमीया । तिरिया बहुप्पयारा णेरङ्या पुढविभेयगदा ॥ १२५ ॥*

125 Devas are of four classes Human beings are of two classes Inhabitants of Karma Bhooms and those of Bhega Bhooms Animals are of numerous kinds Hell beings of seven according to their respective regions

COMMENTAR

This Gatha presupposes the peculiar Jaina cosmogony. According to the Jainas Loka is arranged in three orders, the lower world the middle world and the upper world. In the upper world there are different regions one above the other, so also in the lower world. The different regions of the upper world are inhabited by Deras, the different regions of the lower world one below the other are inhabited b beings thrown into hell. The conception is something and logus to that of Dante.

This Gatha classifies jiras according to the principle of gati ie the principle of gatinargana. But the previous gathas had the classification according to the principle of sense organs or Indrija.

Devus are said to be of four kinds -

Vide—Talitariha Suira Chipter IV, Bhavanavasis Viantaras, Jjotishha's kalibarusis or Vaimanikas Each class is further subdivided into several specie. Each sub-class has its own special characteristics physical and Psychical and has the characteristic periods of life. It is not possible to enter into the details

The hell beings are seven According to the hells inhabited by them. The seven hells are, Ratina Prabha Sarkara Prabha, Valuka, Panka Dhuma, Tama and Uaha Tama. The hell beings again have their respective characteristics of suffering, age, and other

^{*}Sanskrit rendering -

Psycho physical characteristics with graduated intensity These two classes of beings are only of doctrinal importance to us

Coming to human beings and animals the principle of classification is much simpler The animals are recognised to be of numerous species, and human beings again are divided into two classes those born in Karma Bhooms and those born in Bhoga Bhooms. This classification is again only the result of the peculiar geography recognise four main departments of their Scripture (1) Pratha manusoga dealing with the life of the Tirthankaras and the other

of the Jaina system Geography and cosmogany form an important branch of Jaina Literature It is one of the four Anuyogas Jainas great personalities (2) harananum oga dealing with the structure and constitution of Lola, the cosmos (3) Charananuroga, dealing with principles of conduct prescribed for the householder as well as Stunyasi (4) Dravyanuyoga dealing with the metaphysical aspects of reality Jamas whenever they speak of Jitas and other Dravyas, always assume the special constitution of the world according to their religious dogma If more detailed knowledge of these things is desired reference must be made to treatises on Lobaswaruba or the form of the world

126

Jivas described according to the different Gati Marganas, are not to be supposed to maintain that state permanently Jivas undergo several modifications assuming different states of existence with the different durations of life Thus do they roam about in Samsara

खोणे पुन्त्रणिवद्धे गदिणामे आउसे च ते वि खलु। पापुण्णंति य अण्णं गदिमाउस्सं सहेसवसा ॥ १२६ ॥*

126. When the existing Karmas determining the gate and the age of a Jua, decay, then that Juri get into another gate with a different duration of life as determined by its last conative state or aspiration known as Less à

^{*}Sanskrit rendering -चींणे पूर्वनियद्धे गतिनाम्नि झायुपि च तेऽपि रालु । मामुषित चान्यां गतिमायुष्क खलेष्यायशात ॥ १२६॥

COMMENTARY

The Gats or state of existence of a particular Js a is determined by a particular Karma known as Gatinamakarma So is the dura tion of a particular Jiva determined by Ayuh Karma When these two Karmas exhaust themselves to the very last then age of the Jiva will come to an end and the Jiv t has to change its state of existence e it will have to enter into another Giff with a different duration of life This entrance into the next state is generally determined by Karmas acquired during the lifetime. But the fundamental factor which immediately determines the passage into the other stage mu t be determined by Gitiii nakiriia and Ajuh Karmi of the succeeding stage Jivi before quitting a particular Gati acquires these two fresh Karmas determined by the last conative state or Lesia The term Lessa is used not in its usual sense. In this place it implies merely the particular activity of Yoga or Mana vachana laya manifesting in the 1 st desire or aspiration of life less a may be pure or impure according to the ideal aimed at And according to its value it forms the two Kaimas in their pure form or impure form According to the determining antecedent Larmas the Jiva incarnates in a particular place with a particular body and with particular Psycho physical development. Thus he may have a pleasant life or drug on a miserable existence

127

But the Samsaric cycle is not eternal for Jiea On account of Labdhs or lucky spritual attainments a Jiea may realise the three jewels Then it may free itself from Karmic matter as gold is purified from drops. Then it is the pure self

्दे जीवणिकाया देहप्पविचारमस्सिदा भणिदा। देहविहूणा सिद्धा भव्वा संसारिणो अभव्वा य ॥१२०॥∗

127 These different classes of Jivas are again said to be of two kinds incarnate ones and discarnate ones are Siddhas, whereas (the former) are the samsari soils who are again of two species Bhavyas and Abhaviyas

*Sanskrit rendering

पते जीवनिकाया देहमविचारमाश्रिता भणिता । देहविहीना सिद्धाः भन्याः ससारिणोऽभन्याश्च ॥ १२७ ॥

COMMENTARY

Here the author summarises the nature of Jivax The first principle of division is the possession of a body. All the incurnate ones are said to be Samsari Jivas and all the discarnate once are Siddha Jivas or pure souls. But the former class is igain sub divided; the principle of division being the capacity to become perfect. Those Samsari Jivas which have this capacity under special opportunities are called Bh reyas whereis those Simsari Jivas which have no such capacity are called Abhavias.

This distinction of Simsiri Jivis into Bhivyis and Abhay as corresponds to Drummond's division of souls into organic and inorganic. The organic souls, given the proper opportunity, have the capacity to develop and attain spiritual perfection, whereis inorganic ones have no such capacity of spiritual growth. This is one of the Jaina religious dogmas whose metaphysical basis is not quite clear. Most probably the distinction is as arbitrary as that of Drummond's

128

The five senses and the six bodies examined above are not essential elements of Jiva

ण हि इंदियाणि जीवा काया पुंगें छप्पयार पण्णत्ता। जं हबदि तेस णाणं जीवो त्ति य तं परूवंति॥ १२८॥*

128 The five senses and the six kinds of bodies mentioned above these are not of the essence of soul. Whitever in the midst of these manifests as consciousness that they call by the name Jiva

COMMENTARY

The sense organs and the various bodies are associated with Jiva only from the conventional point of veiw. The very same characteris tices are really its accidental adjuncts. Senses such as touch taste, etc. and bodies such as earth body, etc., are not Jiva, because they have

महीन्द्रियाणि जीवाः कायाः पुन पट्मकाराः प्रवासः। यञ्चवित तेषु कान जीप इति च तत्प्रह्मप्रवित ॥ १२० ॥

Sanskrit rendering

nothing in common with the thought which is the true nature of Jiva. But in the midst of these sense organs and physical conditions there is the principle of consciousness which sheds light upon itself and other objects, i.e. which manifests as knowledge of the subject and the object. That thinking thing is said to be Jiva or soul

29.

Then the author mentions the special characteristics of Jiva, characteristics which distinguish Jiva from material and other Dravyas

जाणदि पस्सदि सन्वं इच्छदि सुवखं विभेदि दुवखादो। कुन्वदि हिदमहिदं वा भुंजदि जीवो फलं तेसिं ॥१२९॥*

129. What knows and perceives the various objects, desires pleasure and dreads pain, acts beneficially or harmfully and experiences the fruit thereof—that is *fivā*.

COMMENTARY.

In this Gaiha the characteristic behaviour of Jiva is described. What is mentioned to be conscionsness in the previous Gaiha is here indicated by several purposeful activities which have meaning only with reference to consciousness. Perception and understanding of objects are the function of Jiva or consciousness. This function cannot be associated with matter. so also is the tendency to desire pleasure and to avoid pain. It is distinctive the nature of life to continue beneficial activity and to discontinue harmful activity. Such conduct can have reference only to Jiva. Inorganic mechanical activity can never exhibit such purposive nature. These various instances of purposeful activity as against mechanical activity clearly mark out Jiva from the other Drawyas. The whole gaihā then may be taken as the definition of Jiva through its behaviour.

130.

The author summarises the characteristics of Jitá Padartha in the first half of the Gātha and introduces the other Padarthas in the second half.

जानाति पश्यिन सर्वेमिञ्जति सौख्यं विमेति दुःश्रात्। करोति द्विमद्दितं या भुङ्के जीवः फलं नयाः॥ १२६॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

एवमभिगम्म जीवं अण्णेहिं वि पज्जएहिं बहुगेहिं। अभिगच्छद् अज्जीवं णाणंतरिदेहिं लिंगेहिं॥ १६०॥*

130 Thus having seen the nature of Jiva through the numerous and distinct characteristics, well do thou grasp the nature of non-life that is absolutely of non-thinling nature

CONVENTARY

The author generalises the characteristics of Jivi Jiva and its modifications were studied under different principles of Gunathani and Virginashini. In all these multifarious changes there is the one constant character of Jivi consciousness or thought. Thought may exist in its impure form in Simisira and in its pure and perfect form is Voksha. Whether pure or impure chetaina is the fundamental nature of life. Before introducing the other Padarthas the author emphasizes the fact that consciousness should not be associated with Ajivi or non-life. The absence of consciousness is the mark of Ajiva. Its activity or change is purely mechanical and non-teleological. We are asked to remember this fundamental nature of Ajiva before the author describes the Ajivi Pidarthi or non-life. Thus ends the chapter on Jiva Palartha.

131 Chapter on April Padartha

Then the author enumerates the different Ajina Padarthas Taking absence of consciouness as the mark of Ajina

आगासकालपुग्गलघम्माघम्मेसु णत्थि जीवगुणा । तेसिं अचेदणत्तं भणिदं जीवस्स चेदणदा ॥ १३१ ॥†

131 Space, time, matter, the principle of motion and that of r st, these have no life principle in them. They are absolutely without consciousness. There is thought or consciousness only in Iria

^{*}Sanstrut rendering

प्यमभिगम्य जीवमम्पैरिंद पर्वापैर्वहुर्वे. । मभिगच्छत्यजीय शानान्तरितेर्विष्टेः ॥ १३०॥

¹⁵ inskrit renderlag धाराग्रशासपुद्गासपर्मापर्मेषु न सन्ति जीवगुणाः । तेपामचेतनन्यं मणित शीवश्य चेतनता ॥ १३१ ॥

CONVENTARY

Consciousness is not the only reality. There are several Achetana or nonconscious entities which are grouped under Ajwa Padartha. In this respect. Jaina, thought is fundamentally distinct from the idealism which admits the reality of only one thing, consciousness. One tendency in philosophic thought tries to reduce everything to consciousness. The other tendency tries to maintain that matter alone is real and everything is unreal and derivative. Both these extremes are avoided by Jaina thought. There are conscious entities as well as non conscious entities constituting the system of reality.

This Gatha is said to be the condemnation of the advatic view a that everything is Brahm i

132

In this Gatha the author explains what Achelanatia or non-consciousness means

सुहदुवस्त्रजाणणा वा हिटपरियम्मं च अहिदमीरुत्तं । जस्स ण विज्जदि शिच्चं तं समणा विति अज्जीवं ॥१३२॥*

132 Wherever such attributes of life are never found as the feeling of pleasure and pain, desiring only the beneficial activity and avoiding the harmful activity that the wise ones call 4tha or non life

COMMENTARY

What is achetanatus the characteristic of Aprox Padarthas? That which has not got the fundamental characteristics of life These characters are feeling pleasure, pain continuing beneficial activity and avoiding harmful activity. Whate veris devoid of these characteristics is Ajiva. This may be taken as negative definition

133

Though matter in the form of karma and no karma is intimately related to Jina yet it is quite distinct in nature

*Sanskrif rendering

सुखदु खड़ान वा हितपरिकर्म चाहितभीरूव । यस्य न विद्यते नित्य त श्रमणा विदृत्यजीवं॥ १३२ ॥

संठाणा संघादा वण्णरसप्फासगंधसद्दा य । पोग्गलदब्वप्पभवा होति गुणा पज्जया य बहू ॥१३३॥*

133 From, structure, colour, taste touch, smell and sound there are associated with matter. They are again of various kinds. They are either attributes or modes of matter.

COMMENTARY

The physical attributes are colour taste smell, sound and touch Each of these is again of many kinds Configuration or form is innumerable according to the different forms of physical objects Combi atton or structure is of infinite kinds. The structure of shandhas from two atoms on vards is referred to These are modes of matter. These modifications may be organic felated to life or inorganic, Just as the inorganic matter is distinct from life is allowed this organic matter distinct from it though associated with it.

134

If form structure and other characteristics are not to be associated with Jiva than what is the real nature of Jiva

अरसमह्रवमगंधमन्त्रत्तं चेदणागणमसद्दं ।

जाण अलिंगग्गहणं जीवमणिद्विद्वसंठाणं ॥१३२॥।

134 Understand that life has no sense qualities of taste, smell colour, touch and sound lt has no form either lt has only thought or consciousness

COMMENTARY

The seve al sense qualities associated with matter are not present in Jit i Jiva or life is not to be apprehended through sense perception. Its nature is not to be inferred through any of its sense qualities for

*Sanskrit rendering

सम्पानानि संघाता वर्णेरसस्पर्शंगन्धशन्दार्श्व । पुदुगलहरूपप्रमा भवन्ति गुणा पर्यापास्य बहुव ॥ १३३ ॥

Sanskrif rendering

श्चरसमस्यमगन्धमञ्चतः चेतनागुरूमशस्य । जानीहासिद्वप्रदृष्णं जीवमनिर्दिष्टसस्यान ॥ १२४॥ it has no such attributes. Again it has no form corresponding to that of physical objects. Jita is formless being spiritual. Such are the characteristics of life and consciousness.

Thus ends the Ajna Padarth 1

135, 136 & 137

After describing the primary categories of life and non life the author goes to seven other derivative Padarthas, originating from either the synthesis or analysis of the two primary ones Jiva and Karmic matter are the primary generating categories for the rest Hence these two are taken up here for examination

जो खलु संसारत्यो जीवो तत्तो दु होदि परिणामो । परिणामादो कम्मं कम्मादो होदि गदिसु गदी ॥१३५॥ गदिमियगदस्स देही देहादो इंदियाणि जायंते । तेहि दु विसयग्गहणं तत्तो रागो व दोसो वा ॥१३६॥ जायदि जीवस्सैवं भावो संसार्चक्कवालम्मि । इदि जिणवरेहिं मणिदो अणादिणिधणी सणिधणोवार्धः

135—7 To a first in Same ira desire and aversion will naturally occur. On account of these states Karmic matter clings to the first 1 he. Kirmie bondage leads the first 1 he. Kirmie bondage leads the first on the four Gatis or states of evistences. Lintering into the Gati, Jiva builds up its own appropriate body, being embodied he gets the senses. Through the sense objects of the environment are pursued. From perception appears desire or aversion towards those objects and from desire the cycle begins again.

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

[े] था खत्त ससारको जीवस्ततस्त्र भवति परिणामः। परिणामारकमें कर्मणा भवति मतितु गति ॥ १३५ ॥ गतिमधिगतस्य देहो देहादिन्द्रियाणि जायन्ते। तेस्तु विषयप्रहुण ततो रागो या द्वेषो या ॥ १३६ ॥ जायते जीवस्वय माय ससारचन्न्रयाले। इति जिल्लवर्यमणिनाऽनादिनिधन सनिधर्मा या ॥ १३० ॥

Thus desire brings Kaima Kirma leads to gati, gati, means body, body implies senses, senses lead to perception, and perception again to desire or aversion and so on admfinitum But the cycle ends in the case of Bhavya Jiva whereas it is unending to the Abhavyas But it has no beginning in either case. Thus is it taught by the Jiva the conqueror of Samsara.

COMMENTARY

The author describes the causal series that constitute the cycle of Samsara Jiva according to Jaini doctrine is enshrowded by Karmic conditions from eternity. On account of this association with Karmic matter Jiva experiences the emotional states of desire etc. These emotional states are conditioned by the Karnic upa lhis. But these states in their turn bring about the acquisition of fresh Karmic matter. The acquisition of Karmic ratter means that the Jivi should manifest in any one of the four gatis. Manifestation means embod ed existence. Body implies sense organs. Sense organs again are the windows for the soul to apprehend the environment. Awareness of the objects in the environment generates the affective states in the soul. These affective states once again begin the series of the above mentioned causal series.

Thus by the mutual interaction between mind and matter there appears the cycle of Sansana. In the case of the fortunates ones we are capable of s_1 in tual emancipation this whirliging comes to an end. But in the case of the unfortunate ones who are incapable of spirituals livation the cycle goes on for ever

138 THEN THE CHAPTER ON PULYA AND PAPA OR VIRTUE AND VICE

After describing the veil of sams ra which is the condition of the nine catagories or padarthas the author examines Punya and Papa and their respect ve Psychic antecedents

मोहो रागो दोसो चित्तपसादो य जस्स भावस्मि । विज्जदि तस्स सुहो वा असुहो वा होदि परिणामो॥१३६॥*

मोद्दी रागा द्वेपश्चित्रवस्त्रवसादश्च यस्य भाषे । विद्यने तस्य शुसो वा श्रश्मो या भवति परिणामः ॥ १३८॥

^{*}S hiskrit ren leri ig

138 Whatever Jita has in himself Woha, corrupting the faculty of belief, desire and aversion, or the purity of thought is subject to the hedonic state of happiness or misery

COMMENTARY

The Psychological conditions determining virtue and vice are described. Volta is the mental state brought about by the ripering of Darsana Mohaniya Karmi. The Kirma that clouds the frouts of perception and belief. Psy, and Dresha derire and aversion, are the result of Charitra Mohaniyi. The karma that misleads the will while the former misleads cognition. This when cognition and will are determined by the Karine conditions they may manifest in Volta nal states marked by virtue or vice. If the objects of cognition and will is desirable and good then the mental state is Sibha Parinama. If it is undesirable and bid then the mental state is Anibha Parinama. In the former case there results happiness to the individual whereas in the latter misser.

139

Next the author describes the subjects e states of good and exil Bhava Pinya Papa and their corresponding karmic conditions or Dravya Pinya Papa

सुहपरिणामो पुण्णं असुहो पावति हवदि जीवस्स । दोण्हं पोग्गलमत्तो भावी कम्मत्तणं पत्तो ५१३९॥*

139 Good will or pure thought is righteousness Bad will or evil thought is sin Conditioned by these two mental states of the Jiva the classes of kirnig molecules which are physical in nature undergo modifications and manifest 20 Draya karmas such as Ji alaxarming a

COMMENTARY

Pure thought is Bhara Punya. This conditions Draiya Punya, the Karmic matter facilitating the purity of the heart. Evil thought is Bhara Pafa sinning in the heart. This conditions the Draiya

शुमपरिणाम पुरुषमञ्जभ पापमिति मयति जीवस्य । द्वया पुरुगलमात्रो मानः कर्मत्य मात्र ॥ १३४॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

Papa which aggrevates the evil disposition of the soul. Thus from the Psychic conditions which are amurta their result the different Carmic modifications which are physical and murta. Thus the Bhāra karmas and the Dravya kārmas re mutual vinteracting.

He establishes that karmic matter is physical in pature and therefore is Murta

जहाा कम्मस्स फलं णिसय फासेहिं भुंजदे णियदं। जीवेण सहं दुवसं तहाा कम्माणि मुत्ताणि ॥१४०॥*

140 Because the fruits of karma the objects of happiness or misery are experienced by Jiva through the sensations of touch, etc, therefore the karmas are physical and Mūrta COMMENTARY

The fruit of karma is either a desirable or an undesirable object pleasant or unpleaseut to the jira. This object is experienced through sense perception. Senses are physical, objects apprehended through the sense organs are all ophysical. Therefore the karmic effects are physical and Murta. Cau e and effect are identical. Therefore the author concludes that the karmis themselves are physical and Murta. Since their effects are physical. Of course this refers to Dravya karmas.

41

Karmas past or present are physical and Vurts Samean Jiva, because of its Karmas is also physical and Vurts Hence there is the chance for fresh accretion of Karmas Hence the liability to continued bondage

मुत्ती फासदि मुत्तं मुत्तो मुत्तेण वंधमणुहवदि । जीवो मुत्तिविरहिदो गाहदि ते तेहि उग्गहदि ॥१२१॥†

141 Past Karma which is physical in nature comes into contact with the present Karma which is also physical in nature

*Sanskrit rendering

यक्ष्मास्कर्मेणः कल विषय स्पर्धेर्मुज्यने नियत । जायेन सुख हुःब तस्मास्कर्माणि मूर्चानि ॥ १४०॥ १८ anskrit rendering

मुच स्पृशित मूचे पूचों मूचेंन वन्धमनुमधित । जीवो मूर्चियरहिता गाहति तानि तैरवागाहाते ॥ १४१ ॥ Thus existing Kârmic matter enters into combination with incoming Kârmic matter. Jiva though in itself spiritual and Amûrta is certainly corporeal (in its Samsâric state) because of its coeval Karmas. Therefore it absorbs the fresh Kârmice matter and is absorbed by that (because of mutual attraction of molecules)

COMMENTARY.

In this Gatha the author explains how there could be a contact between Jiva and Karma which are Amarta and Marta respectively. That there is the possibility of combination among physical molecules is accepted by all and it is a fundamental presupposition of laina thought that liva is in Samsara to start with, i e, it is from time immemorial associated with Karmic matter. Because of this associated Karm t. livs itself has body and i. Murta. Because of this intimate association with Karmic matter there is the chance for fresh bondage and Samsara The Gatha must be taken as an explanat on of the perpetuity of the Samsaric cycle. Given the initial presupposition that Iva in its natural state exists in Karmic bondage then we can understand the reason for the samaric cycle from bondage to Gati and Gati to bondage and so on. The series of causality is certainly assumed to be infinite i.e. without beginning though it may come to an end with the emancipation An infinite past is not a mathematical impossibility. Therefore though it is admitted that the series may come to an end it need not necessarily have a beginning. Through the infinite causal interaction therefore, a Jiv r may acquire fresh Karmas though in itself it is spiritual and Amarta Thus ends the chapter on Punya Pápa Padarthas.

142

CHAPTER ON Asrava Padartha.

Asrava means the fountain source of righteousness or sin. First the Punyasrava or the spring of virtue is taken for description.

रागो जस्स पसत्यो अणुकंपासंसिदो य परिणामो । चित्ते णत्यि कछुस्सं पुण्णं जीवस्स आसवदि ॥१९२॥*

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

रानो यस्य प्रशस्तोऽनुकस्पासंक्षितरच परिणामः। चिन्ते नास्ति कासुर्य पुपर्य जीयस्यास्त्रवति॥ १४२ ॥

142. Whatever J_{tva} has desires high and noble, thoughts based on love and sympathy and in whose mind there are no evil impulses towards the same, the pure Karmic matter flows in as conditioned by the above mentioned springs of righteousness.

COMMENTARY.

Noble de-ires and thoughts of charity are the springs of right conduct—Bhāvī t prayasava, as conditioned by these springs there flows Punya Drivya Karma pure Kārmīc matter into the soul: noble desires and pure thoughts, those springs of subjective righteousness may be followed by objectively evil deeds if they have not the cooperation of Samyak Darsana or right belief. But if those springs are saturated with right belief then there is no confict between subjective states and objective conduct. The subjectively pure springs of conduct have the chance of being succeeded by series of right conduct till the attainment of heavenly bliss. In hort subjective parity unaccompanied by right belief will still keep the soul tied to the wheel of life whereas the same in association with the right belief will gradually lead the soul to Nurvâna.

143

Then the nob'e desires are i lustrated.

अरहंतसिद्धसाहुसु भत्ती धम्मिम्मि जा य खलु चेट्टा । अणुगमणं पि गुरूणं पसत्थरागो त्ति बुट्चति ॥१४३॥*

143. Love and devotion towards *trahanta, Siddha and Sadhus, living according to the rules of conduct household and ascetic, and faithfully following the Masters, these are said to be the noble ideals.

COMMENTARY.

Noble ideals are associated with noble objects deserving of worship and devotion. These are what are known as the Pancha Panameshits. Arahantás, Siddhás, Sidhus, Ach 117 ås and Upadya jäs The term Arahanta denotes a perfected being who is still in the world, i.e., who

ग्रहेरिसदासायुपु मक्तिदामें या च सतु चेष्टा । शतुगमनमपि युद्धणां मशस्त्रगण हमूति बन्ति (?) ॥ १४३ ॥

Sanskrit rendering .

has still his bodily state. It corresponds to the term Sayogakevali, the person who attained Revalajñana or perfect knowledge and who still has Yoga or Mana v-chana Kuyī. The term Suddha represents the perfect soul, without the Yogi or Uana vachana Kuya. Sadhu represents the great ones who are on the path of perfection. It represents mainly the Yatis who have attained great spiritual advancement through Tahas. Acharya represents the organiser of the Sangham, the chief of a group of ascetics as well as the householders. The term Upadyhāja represents the great religious teachers whose function it is to instruct the people, lay and ascetic, about the chief tenets of religion and also about the rules of conduct. Reverence and devotion to these great ones and a desire to follow the path marked out by them constitute the noble aspiration which leads to Punsa.

144

Then Anukmpa or charity is described

तिसिदं बुभुविखदं वा दुहिदं दट्ठूण जा दुदुहिदमणी । पडिवज्जिदि तं कित्रया तस्सेसा होदि अणुकंपा ॥१९१॥*

144 If anyone moved at the sight of the thirsty, the hungry, and the miserable, offers relief to them, out of pity, then such behaviour of that person is love or charity

COMMENTARY

This is the second condition generating Punja Bhava or the good will. The act of charity implies two things. The feeling of sympathy at the sight of the needy and the sufferers, and secondly active relief to them. Mere feeling of sympathy is ineffective. Active relief as the result of the feeling of sympathy is essential to charity. This kind of charity is common to the ordinary mortals and it manifests in only temporary relief. But in the case of the wise ones. Anukampa or charity manifests in a higher form at the sight of the struggling souls in the ocan of Sumsara they manifest a generous sympathy and help them toward emancipation. Thus Anukampa is of two kinds lover and higher according as its result is temporary and superficial or radical and permanent.

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

तृपित बुभु चेत वा दु खित हृष्ट्वा यस्तु दु।खितमना । प्रति पधते तं कृपया तस्येषा भवत्यनुषम्या ॥ १४४ ॥

144

145

After describing the ideals and aspirations forming the positive condition of good will, the author describes its negative condition ie the impure emotions that are to be avoided in every way to secure the purity of the heart or Subha Parinama

कांधो व जदा माणो माया लोभी व चित्तमासेज्ज । जीवस्स कुणदि खोहं कलुसो त्तिय तबुट्टा वैंति ॥११५॥*

145 Whenever anger, pride, deceit, and covetousness appear in the mind of a Jiva they create disturbing mo ion interfering with calmness of thought. This emotional agitation of thought is called impure thought by the wise

COMMENTARY

Impurity of thought is explai ed to be the interference of thought and will by grosser emotions enumerated in the Gátha Treedom from such emotional interference is also a necessary econdit on of righteousness. Thus noble desires (Prasista Ruga charity (Annkampa) and freedom from impurity of the heart (Chitta Akalush ya) these three constitute the spring of righteousness or Pumjasrata

146

Then the spring, of evil or Papasrava

चरिया पमादबहुला कालुस्हं लोलदा य विसयेसु । परपरितावपवादो पावस्स य आसवं कुण्दि ॥१९६॥†

146 Inordinate taste for wordly things, impure emotions, hankering for and indulging in sensual pleasures causing anguish to fellow beings, and slandering them openly or covertly, these constitute the springs of evil

Sanskrit re idering

क्रोघो वा यदा माना माया लोमो वा विज्ञमालाद्य । जीवस्य करोति होम कालुप्यमिति च त बुघा वदन्ति ॥ १४५ ॥

Sanskrit rendering

चर्च्या प्रमाद्बदुला कालुष्य लेालता च विषयेषु । परपरितापापवाद पापस्य चास्नव करोति ॥ १४६ ॥

COMMENTARY.

The five above mentioned ignoble thoughts constitute the subjective side of the springs of evil (Bháva Pápa Asran) conditioned by these mental states impute Karmic matter flows into the Jiva. And this inflow is the objective side of the evil or Dravya Pápa Asrava, i.e., there is the acquisition undesirable and dark physical conditions by Jiva on account of the above mentioned, impurities of thought.

147.

Again the subjective springs of evil are described in detail.

सण्णाओं य तिलेस्सा इंदियवसदा य अत्तरहाणि । णाणं च दुष्पउत्तं मोहो पावप्पदा होति ॥ १९० ॥*

147- The different animal instincts, the different soulsoiling emotions, the tempting senses, suffering and wrath, undesirable thoughts and corruption of the faculties of perception and will, these constitute the springs of evil.

COMMENTARY.

Samjud are the instructs; these are-

Ahara Samıñá-Preying instinct

Bhaya Samjad-The instinct of fear.

Maithuna Samina-or the sexual instinct.

Parigraha Samina-The acquisitive instinct.

. These instructs are liable to generate evil. Next.

Lesya or the soul-souling tints.

These are six in number-

Krishna or the black.

Neela or the blue

Kapota or the grey.

Peela or the yellon

Padma or the lotus-hued

Sukla or the white

Sanskrit rendering

संब्राह्य त्रिलेश्या इन्द्रिययशता चार्चगैद्रे । द्यानं च दुध्ययुक्तं मोदः पापप्रदा भगन्ति ॥ १४७ ॥

१. 'अहरुदाणि' इत्यपि पाठ' ।

These lessus or the tints of the soul, perhaps denote a peculiar kind of Aura of each soul corresponding to its grade of spiritual development and ethical purity. Very often these tints are associated with the different emotional states present in Jiva. Therefore this Psychic Aura is not a fixed and permanent adjective of a Jivi. They change and appear as concomitants of Psychic conditions. We have to assume that these colours are apprehended only by an occult and supernormal vision. It would be simply about to associate these colours as the intrinsic colours of the Jiva tiself for that would make the Jiva a Murta and Rafi i Dravya—a material thing. That would be quite in consistent with the Jama system.

Of the six less as or the tints the first three Krishna, Neela and Kapoti the blocable and grey are associated with the darker emotions which constitute the springs of evil

The next consistent of the spring of evil is yielding to the temptations of the sens sprittial development depend upon controlling the senses. The soul is very often compared to the charioteer and the sense to restrice steed. If the charioteer is led away by the horses that means danger and destruction because there would be no good in life much less the possibility of spiritial emancipation.

Arta or suffering refers to the painful feeling experience when desirable things and persons are lost and when undesirable and unpleasant ones are got. This experience is also the four an of exil. Raudra refers to wrathor misple ced enthusiasm in cruelty, deceit, theft and sensuality. Exil thoughts consist in shunning good objects and apprehending and atterding to exil ones. And lastly Uohaniya that spirit paralysing stupor in its dual form corrupts the faculties of perception or will. Darsana Mohaniya and Charitra Wohaniya. In either form it is a source of exil.

These are the subjective conditions of evil Bhava Papa-Asrava, the inner springs of sin whereas these bring about the inflow of the dark Karmic matter,

This inflow is the Physical or objective side of evil re, the Dravia Papa-Asravi Karmic material of an undesirable kind flows towards the soul as the result of the above mentioned Psychic conditions.

This eid, the chafter on Asrava

184

CHAPTER ON SAMVARA PADÂRTHA

The category that denotes the process of suppressing or blocking, the springs of good and evil treated in the previous chapter, is Samvara The author considers first Pôpa Sami ara or the prevention of evil

इंदियकत्तायसण्णा शिग्गहिदा जेहिं सुट्ठमग्गम्मि । जावत्तावत्तेहिं पिहियं पावासवं छिद्वं ॥१९८॥*

148 To whatever extent the five senses, the four taints of emotions, the four instinctive appetires, are suppressed by a person, well established in the path or righteousness, to such extent the door way for the entrance of evil is closed for that person

COMMENTARY

The volitional suppression of the above mentioned Psychic tendencies is Bhan a Samuara or the subjective inhibition of the end. This condition is the ante edent of the physical arrest of the inflow of the Karmue matter which is Drivija Sim ina. This Simiara or the inhibition of the springs of evil is possible only to that person who has the three jewels or Ratnatraja, right hell of right knowledge, and right conduct. One who has not adopted the path cannot succeed in the attempt to block the spring of evil

14

Evil thoughts and sin are the cause of misery as they lend to suffering in the world as well as in hell, hence they ought to be avoided. Noble thoghts and the consequent happine is of Dex state in starga or Detaloka is also ir significant when compared with heavenly bliss. To one whose ideal is self—realisation therefore the happiness of De alok is also worthless. He should shun that course also. Pleasure consequent upon Penja and pain of Papa are both imperfect and undestrable by the side of the ultimate ideal. Voksha Both the doorways of Penja and Papa have to be blocked. Shun ung

रन्द्रियकपायसमा निगृहीता यैः सुष्टुमार्गे । यावचायचेपां विहित पावाश्रय सित्र ॥ १५८ ॥

^{*}Snnskrit rendering

action that leads to mi ery is natural But action which lends to pleasure and which stimulites desire is also a hindrance towards self attainment and therefore must be avoided. It is this sentiment that is expressed in the next Gátha

जस्स ण विज्जिदि रागी दोसी मोही व सव्वद्व्वेसु । णासवदि सुह असुई समसुहदुवखस्स भिक्खुस्स ॥१४८ ॥*

'149, If a Bhikshu lobks upon happiness and misery as same, if he is free from desire, aversion, and stupor of perception and will, then Kārmās both beneficial and harmful do not approach that being

COMMENTARY

There are three fundamental states of conscionsners Sibha Parinama, Asubha Parinama, and Suddha Pirinama, pleasant and beneficial, unpleasant and harmful and p re and perfect respectively. The last alone is the ultimate ideal. The other two have to be transcended.

150

Next the author describes the mental states conducive to Samiara or the prevention of Karmas

जस्स जदा खलु पु॰णं जोगे पावं च णित्थ विरदस्स । संवरणं तस्स तदा सुहामुहकदस्स कम्मस्स ॥ १५० ॥

150 As long as a person pure in life, is really free from, action conducive to pleasure or pain through either thought, speech or body, so long is he protected from karmas, beneficial and harmful, that is, they are prevented from approaching him.

COMMENTARY

Effective states of desire and aversion and activity of thought, speech or body are the conditions that attract Karmas good and

Sanskrst rendering

यस्य न विद्यते रागो द्वेपो मोहो वा सर्वद्रव्येषु । नास्त्राति शुभमशुभ समसुचङुश्रस्य भिन्नो ॥ १४६॥

Sanskrit rendering

यस्य यदा सलु पुरुष योगे पापं च नास्ति विग्तस्य । संबर्ख तस्य तदा द्यभाद्यभद्दतस्य कर्मण ॥ १५० ॥ bad towards the soul. When those conditions are remov d there will be Karmás approaching the Jiva; that is com dete Samvara—a—protective wall round the self shutting out all karmas is established Thus Samvara again is twofold Bháva Sumvara and Dravya Samvara. The subjective exclusion of the ughts and désires that may lead to bondage, and the objective exclusion of Kármic matter from self. Thus ends the Samvara Padartha.

151

THE CHAPTER ON NIRJARA PADARTHA.

... The author describes the nature of Nirjara or the withering away of Karmás.

संवरजोगेहिं जुदो तवेहिं जो चिठ्ठदे वहुविहेहिं। कम्माणं णिज्जरणं वहुगाणं कुर्णाद सो णियदं ॥१५१॥*

151. That mighty personality which after closing the springs of Karmás, good and evil, and equipped with the faculty of pure thought, controls its life according to manifold forms of Tafas, will undoubtedly be able to nd itself of Karmás manifold.

COMMENTARY.

The faculty of pure thought, protected from all Karmás, associated with twelve kinds of Tapas, and leading to immortality, to annihilation of all Karmás, is the subjective side of Nirjara or Bháca Nirjara, As a concomitant of this there appears the annihilation of Kármíc dust, that clouds the divine beam of pure self.

The means for obtaining Nirjara is Tapas which is of two main forms, outer and inner. Each of these is again of six different kinds.

These are:—

- (a) Outer Tapas consisting of→
 - (1) Anasana.
 - (2) Avamodarya.
 - (3) Vritti Pari Sankhyana,

Sanskrit rendering :

संवरवानाभ्यां युक्तस्तवोभिर्वश्चेष्टने बहुविधैः ! कर्मणां निर्जरणं बहुकानां करोति स नियतं ॥ १५१ ॥

- (4) Rasa Parityaga.
- (5) Vivikta Sajjasana
- (6) Kasaklesa
- (b) Inner Tapas consisting of-
 - (1) Práyaschitta
 - (2) Vinaya
 - (3) Vaiya Vrittia
- (4) Swâdhi 1ja
- (5) Vyutsarga
 - (6) Dhjana
- (1) Anasa ia is abstaining from food. To obtain control over the senses and will, to root out desires and up retires to destory Karmas, to facilitate meditation and to study peacefully the scriptures, fasting is the means and fasting in order to secure such results is Anasana Viata
 - (2) Avamodaria

To sustain control of the will and the senses, to regulate disorders of, Vata, Pitta and Sleshma, the humours of the body, to secure self knowledge, and meditation, eating with a limit or a little less than the normal is Avamodaria

- (3) Vritti Pani Sankhjuna —In order to control the will which would by nature hanker riter all the objects of trate hinting the number of items of Dharma, or in the case if Bhil has, resolving in one self to accept food only from a particular house is Vritti Pani Sinkhjūna or numbering or limiting the items of food
- (4) Rava Parityaga In order to control the flesh to get rid of drous ness and sleep, to promote clearness of thought, abstaining from faity and sweet substances is Rasa Parityaga or relinquishing sweet essences
- (5) Vivikit Sayjasana To have ones bed, in separate and vacant rooms or caves or on rocks free from insect pests is Vivikta Sajjasa na or lon-ly bed. The place must be free from insect pests in order that the p rsen may have peace of mind, preparatory to meditation and separate beds of course to avoid temptations of the flesh
- (6) K43 aklesa getting the body ennured, to pain and suffering by standing in the sun, living under a tree and sleeping in the open in

the winter cold, etc., is K.ijahlesa it mortification of the body. This will harden the body and make the person fit for the ardinous t sk of religio is life. How is this Kaijahl sa different from what is known as Parishaha Jaja or the victory over troubles. The latter means over coming the suffering due to the natural environment, whereas the former is voluntary training of the body. If it is voluntary why is it called outer Tabas. Because it pertains mainly to physical objects. Next Inner Tabas. Because the object is mainly to control the mind. These are called inner Tapas.

- (1) Provaschitta is removing the evil ideas that are born of intoxi cation of worldliness
 - (2) Vinaya is humility towards gurus and masters
- (3) Varyavertya Personal attendance and other kinds of service to Munis who are weary sick or infirm
- (4) Swa thy ay a is quicken ng of thoughts by concentation of attention
- (5) Vyutsarga renouncing the belief that this body is mine, is Vyutsarga or is lation of self
- (6) Dhjana calm meditation on the self-after controlling the vagaries of thought is Dhyana

Meditation on self is shown to be the main condition of Virjara

जो संवरेण जुत्तो अप्पट्टप्रसाधगो हि अप्पाण । मुणिजस भादि णियदं णाण सो संधुणोदि कम्मरयं १४२*

152 Clinging to the ideal of self realisation, being fortified against Karmās through Samiara, the yogi, who truly understands the word of the master, and meditate upon Self—Pure thought with controlled senses, will completely wash off the Karmie dust.

COMMENTARY

The main condition for annihilating harmas is stated here. The person is to be equipped with Samrara or fortification round the

Sauskrit rendering

व सवरेण युक्त श्रारमाधीमसाधको हारमान्। ब्रारमा ध्वायति नियत ब्रानं स संघुनेति वर्मरज्ञ ॥ १५२ ॥

self against Karmås, desirable and undesirable. The springs of Parni ima, Subh i and Asubh i, are to be completely blocked. Instead of diverting ones attention to environmental objects, thought is to be fixed on Self. This reflection upon the Self is to be made secure enough by controlling the senses. If a person under such circum stances meditate upon the Self, according to the instructions from the Masters, he is sure to attain purity of self by completely washing off the Karmic dust by the flood of Dhyana.

Then the divine glory of the Self will shine without interruption

153

The origin nature and effect of meditation

जस्स ण विज्जिदि रागो दोसो मोहो व जोगपरिकम्मी। तस्स सुहासुद्रहर्गो जभाणमञ्जो जायए अगणी ।१४३॥*-

153 In the person that has neither desire nor aversion, and that is free from ignorant attachment to sense pleasures and from the activity of thought speech and body, there flames forth the fire of meditation that burns out all Karmas beneficial as well as baneful

COMMENTARY

Dhyana or meditation is thought directed towards the pure self. This is the means of self realisation. Ignorance that stupifies the faculties of perception and will must be got rid of. There should be neither attachment nor hatred towards the objects of the environment. Then there should be unruffled perce, in thought, speech and body Meditation attended by such circumstances manifests as the fire that desiroys the rubbish heap of Karmas. It is this fire of meditation that

reveals the stirling beauty of pure Self This is Nirjara

Thus ends the Chapter on Nyara
CHAPTER ON BINDHA PIDARTHIS

154

The nature of Bandha or Karmic bondage is described

*Sanskrit_rendering यस्य न विधने रागे। द्वेषो मोहो वा ये।गपरिकर्म। तस्य ञुभागुभदृह्ने। ध्यानमये। जायते ऋग्निः॥ १५३॥

जं सुहमसुहमुदिण्णं भावं रत्तो करेदि जदि अप्पा । सो तेण हवदि वंधो पोग्गलकम्मेण विविहेण ॥१५१॥*

154 When Âtma out of desire aversion, and corruption of knowledge and will, experiences affective states pleasant and unpleasant, then because of the very same states the self gets bound by Kârmic matter of various kinds

COMMENTARY.

Bandha is of two kinds Bháva Bandha and Dravya Bandha The former refers to the appropriate psychological conditions that bring about the actual bondage with Karmic molecules. The latter is Dravya Bandha on account of desire the Âtma experiences happiness or misery. Such emotional states create in the Âtma a disposition peculiarly favourable for the Karmic molecules to settle in This Psychological disposition is the intrinsic condition of bondage.

155

The two aspects of bondage internal and external are again des

जोगणिमित्तं गहणं जोगो मणवयणकायसंभूदो । भावणिमित्तो वंधो भावो रिदरागदीसमोहजुदी गुर्ध

155 Combination of Karmic matter with Jiva is due to Yoga Yoga is the action of mind, speech and body. The opportunity for combination is created by Bhavis or the affective states and such affective states are due to desire, aversion and perverse cognition.

COMMENTARY

Here is described bondage both internal and external, Psychical and physical. The Karmic matter that flows towards the soul is the

*Sanskrit rendering

य द्युमाद्यमतुरीर्णं भाव रकः करोति यद्यातमा । स तेन मषति यदः पुद्गलकर्मणा विविधेन ॥ १५४॥

Sanskrit rendering

योगितिमित्त प्रदर्श योगी मनेश्यवनकायसंभूतः । मावतिमित्तो बग्वो भाषो इतिरागद्वेषमीहृगुतः ॥ १५५॥ manifold Karmie Skandha around the Jira This attraction of Skandhas is due to yoga, ie the Karma Skandhas get aggregated and intermixed with Jira because of the activity of yoga, or of mana yachana kaya, mind, speech and body

Bandha then is the state of being so bound by Karmic matter. This Karmic formation around the Jiva is occasioned by the Psychological dispositions known as Bhava Bhava is the emotional state of desire or aversion, or perverse cognition and will All these states are brought about by Uohanija, that fundamental Karma responsible for spiritual delusion Yoga is the external condition of bondage since it brings about directly the combination of Kārmic matter with Jiva But the internal condition is the Bhava or the disposition of the self

156

Again the conditions of bondage from another point of view are described

हेटू चदुव्वियप्पो अहुवियप्पस्स कारणं भणिदं । तेसिं पि य रागादी तेसिमभावे ण वज्भति ॥१५६॥*

156 The eight kind, or Kermas are said to be caused by the four external conditions or Dravia Pratingas But these conditions themselves are the result of desire, etc., or Bhitva Pratingas Without these intrinsic conditions there will be no bondage

COMMENTARY

In some Philosophical works of Januas the eight Karmas are traced to the four causal conditions Mithyatia, Airrata, Kashaja and Yoga Our author here shows that these four conditions themselves are the result of the Psychological disposition effected by the emotional states of device, etc, Hence he insists on recognising these Psychological states as the real and intrinsic condition of bondage. Whereas the above four are only subsidiary and external conditions. Without the ultimate condition of ruga there can certainly be no Karmie bondage.

^{*}Sanskrit verderine

The external conditions are called Dravya Pratyayas because they are directly associated with Karmic matter and the ultimate Psychological conditions are called Bhava Pratiquas because they refer to the disposition of Atma

The conditions of bondage are enumerated thus in Tattvartha Sutra Chapter VIII, Sutra 1

मिथ्यादर्शनाविरनिष्रमादक्षपाययोगावन्धहेतव ॥

It is to be noted that five conditions of bondage are enumerated here whereas the Gutha mentions only four For the fifth and additional condition Pramila is also included in the above mentioned four

Mithyatea is the opposite of right f th or Sainal Dursana This Mathyatia may manife tin the form of bel er in talse doctrines and disbelief in the true one Avirata is the opposite of right conduct or Samyakcharitra This may manifest in an enthusiasm for the veil conduct and a hesitation for righteousness Kashaya refers to the grosser emotions such as anger, Pride, deceit, etc., and 30ga refers to the uncontrolled and useless activity of Hana tachana Kaya These are the four Dravya Pratyayas or external conditions generating the eight Karmas which are-

- (1) Jūanavarann 1
- Darsanavaranna (2)
- (3) Mohanna '
- (4) Vedanssa
- (5) Antarasa
- (6) Azuh
- (7) Nama
- 181 And Gatra
- (1) In mavaranty a is the veil round the pure consciousness
- Darsanavaranna is that which corrupts the faculty (2) of perception and also of belief
- (3) Mohaniya is a sort of spiritual intoxication interfering with cognition and will
- (4) Vedanija is the feeling of pleasure and pain

157—58 If the causal condition of Karm'ts disappears in the case of wiseman through the control of senses and thought, then the springs of Karmas get blocked. When the springs of Karmas thus get blocked the Dravya Karmas get repulsed. When the Dravya Karmas completely disappear then the person becomes all-knowing and all perceiving and attains the state of infinite bles which transcends the sense feeling and which is untouched by the sorrows of life.

COMMENTARY

Through the instrumentality of the five Labdhis a Bhavatma obtains the three newels. On account of this acquisition he is able to get rid of the four fold condition of harma, llithvatra Avrata has have and voca, both in heir subjective and objective aspects. When he gets rid of Dravya and Bhava Pratyayas or harmic adjuncts then he attains the great Samvar 1 or repulmeness to harmas This Sam ara leads to the annihilation of Karmic shackles. Thus ascends the ladder of higher life which leads to destruction of ignorance. This brings on the inner purity Finally through second Suhla Dhyana or the great meditation the Ghatis a Karmas get burnt away Then the self rises to eternal wisdom, eternal perception, infinite bliss and infinite power This is Anantachatus itaia, the four infinite qualities This is Bhava Moksha the spiritual freedom When this Bhana Moksha is attained the inevitable destruction of Jravi v Rarmas follows. With the attain ment of Bhav a Woksha the person becomes a Ketali worshipped by men and Deras He becomes really Paramatma

159

Then we have the description of Dhyana or meditation which is the direct cause of repulsing and eradicating all Karmas

दंसणणाणसमग्गं ज्भाणं णो अण्णद्व्वसजुत्तं । जायदि णिज्जरहेद्र सभावसहिदस्स साधुस्स ॥१५९॥*

159 The meditation that is completely determined by right belief, right knowledge and right conduct and that is not

*Sanskrit rendering

दर्शनज्ञानसमग्रं ध्वानं ने। भन्यद्रव्यसयुक्त । जायते निर्जराहेन्ः स्वभावसहितस्य साघो ॥ १५६ ॥

- (5) Antaraya is the frustration of the useful efforts of righteously minded persons
- (6) A juh determines the duration of life that a Jiva has in
 a particular state of existence.
 (7) Nama determines the generic and the specific characteris-
 - (7) Náma determines the generic and the specific characteristics of a Jiva, i.e. on account of this Náma Karma a Jiva is born as a particular organism in a particular Gati.
 - (8) Gotra determines the value of life for example in a human being. This Karma determines the birth of a Jira in a higher status or in a lower status.

These eight Karmás are of various sub-divisions which we need not enumerate in detail. These eight effects, though they are supposed to be due to the above four conditions are ultimately due to Bháva Pratjayas or the disposition of the heart. The author wants to emphasize the fact that if the Bhávas or the Psychic dispositions are absent then even if the Dravya Pratjayas or the external conditions are present still, there will be no bondage of the eightfold kind; hence the intrinsic condition of bondage is Bhávas or Psychic.

Thus ends the chapter on Bandha Palartha

CHAPTER ON MOKSHA PADARTHA

157

Moksha or emancipation is begun with the description of Bhara Moksha or the inner perfection of the soil. This Bhara Moksha leads to the annihilation of the four Ghatia Karmas. The very same Bhava Moksha again is the great Samvara or the absolute obstruction to Karmas.

हेदुमभावे गि्यमा जायदि णाणिस्स आसवणिरीघो । आसवभावेण विणा जायदि कम्मस्स दुणिरोघो ॥१५०० कम्मस्साभावेण य सञ्चण्हू सर्वलोगदरसी य । पावदि इंदियरहिदं अञ्बावाहं सुहमणंतं ॥१५८॥॥

Sanskrit rendering: देश्यमाथे नियमास्त्रापते झानिनः श्रास्त्रशनिरोपः। सास्त्रयमायेन विना जायते कर्मयस्त्र निरोपः॥ १५७॥ कर्मेणाममायेन च सर्वेझः सर्वेहोत्स्रशीं च। मामोतीन्द्रियपदितमस्याबाधं सुस्त्रमन्ते॥ १५६॥

157—58 If the causal condition of Karmás disappears in the case of wiseman through the control of senses and thought, then the springs of Karmás get blocked When the springs of Karmás thus get blocked the Dravja Karmás get repulsed When the Dravja Karmás completely disappear then the person becomes all-knowing and all-perceiving and attains the strite of infinite blies which transcends the sense feeling and which is untouched by the sorrows of life

COMMENTARY

Through the instrumentality of the five Labdhis a Bhavatma obtains the three jewels On account of this acquisition he is able to get rid of the four fold condition of harma, Vithyalia, Airrata, Kas haya and yoga, both in their subjective and objective aspects. When he gets rid of Dravya and Bhava Pratyayas or harmic adjuncts, then he attains the great Samvara or repulsiveness to Karm is This Samuara leads to the annihilation of Karmic shackles. Thus ascends the ladder of higher life v high leads to destruction of ignorance. This brings on the inner purity. Finally through second Sukla Dhyana or the great meditation, the Ghatija Karmas get burnt away Then the self rises to eternal wisdom, eternal perception, infinite bliss and infinite power This is Anantachatus'itaya, the four infinite qualities This is Bhava Moksha, the spiritual freedom. When this Bhara Moksha is attained the inevitable destruction of Jravy v Karmas follows With the attain ment of Bhat a Maksha the person becomes a Kevali worshipped by men and Deras He becomes really Paramatma

159

Then we have the description of Dhyana or meditation which is the direct cause of repulsing and eradicating all Karmás

दंसणणाणसमर्गा ज्याणं णो अण्णद्ववसंजुत्तं । जायदि णिजजरहेदू समावसहिदस्स साधुस्स ॥१५९॥*

159 The meditation that is completely determined by right belief, right knowledge and right conduct and that is not

*Sanskrit rendering

दर्शनद्वानसमग्रं ध्वानं ने। भ्रान्यद्रव्यसंयुक्तं । जायते निर्जरादेतुः स्वभावसहितस्य साघो ॥ १५६ ॥ related to objects then to the self becomes the cause of *Nirjara* or annihilation of *Karmas* to the *Yogi* that is in the path of self realisation

COMMENTARY

The great meditation on the self is shown to be the condition of complete \(\text{Vijara}\) or the absolute ann hilation of the existing \(Ramma\) In the previous \(Gatha\) Bhava Woksha was described. On account of this \(Bhava\) Woksha the person becomes \(AReval\) with the four infinite qualities equipped with the infinite perception and infinite knowledge. The self in o more becomes attached to any other alien ideal. The only object of attraction and adoration is the perfect self consciousness. When meditation thus manifests in self rapture because of self purity and self perception then it leads to the eradication of the remaining \(Ramma\), i.e. it is the causal condition of complete \(Niriara\)

160

Dravya Moksha or the absolute emancipation is next described

जो संवरेण जुत्तो णिज्जरमाणोघ सन्वकम्माणि । ववगदवेदाउस्सो मुयदि भव तेण सो मोक्सा ॥१६०।*

160 When a Jiva being rid of the four Ghatiya and being equipped with absolute repulsiveness to Karmas succeeds in eradicating the remaining Karmas, then he becomes freed from Vedaniya and 13u and finally from Nama and Gotra This eradication of the latter four Karmas means absolute and complete Woksha or Dravia Moksha

COMMERTARI

This Gatha speaks of Dra ya Nohsha or the final and complete emancipation. After attaining Bhati Noksha mentioned in the previous Gathas Jiia has to obtain absolute emancipation from Karmic or physical conditions for the attainment of Keilalphana is still associated with the bodily condition. The person is merely

Sa iskrit rendering

य सवरेण युनो निर्रारक्षय सर्वकर्माणि। व्यपगतवेद्यायुष्को मुआति भव तन स मोल् ॥१६०॥

Sajogi Kevali No doubt he got rid of the four Ghâtiya Karmas which lead to the corruption and degeneration of Âtm; Because they injure the soul they are called Ghâtiya Karmas These are Janna Varamiya, Dars inavaramiya Mohamiya and Antaraya But still there are the other four Karmas known as Aghatiya Karmas Vedaniya Ayuh, Vama and Gotra These four also must be annihila ted When a Bhara Moksha Jira has the perfect Dhyana then Ved miya and Ayuh first wither away and finally Nama and Gotra also Then the Mukta Jiva becomes Ayogi Kerali or a Siddha Atma has attained its intrinsic spiritual purity and is absolutely free from any altind of association with the matter. This state is Drava Moksha

Thus ends Molsha Padartha

This is the end of the second Book of the great work dealing with Sapta, Tatte and Nava Padarthas

Next the Resume or the Chulıka dealing with Jina the great Victor and the perfect Brahma who is the ideal of Moksha Padartha This Chuliha supplements the ideas already mentioned about heaven and the path The author indicates here the importance of conduct leading to self realisation Self and self alone is the goal Anything other than self as an ideal would lead away the self from the path All these facts are emphasized in the Chulil a

161

The intrinsic nature of Jita is described thus indicating the path to Moksha

जावसहावं णाणं अप्पडिहददंसण अणण्णमयं । चरियं च तेसु णियदं अत्थित्तमणिदियं भणियं ॥१५१॥*

161 Unlimited perception and unlimited knowledge are inseparable from the nature of Jiva Permanently associated with that nature, spotless conduct is the path to Moksha because it is the immediate antecedent

^{*}Sanskrit re idering

जीवस्वभाव भानमप्रतिहतदर्शनमनन्यमय । चारित्र च तयोर्नियतमस्तित्यमनिन्दित भणित ॥ १५८ ॥

The characteristic of Moksha is described here. Right conduct which is always associated with the intrinsic nature of self is the path to Moksha. The intrinsic nature of Jiva is existence which is inalienable from Jhana and Darsa ia. Inalienability implies that the nature of Jiva manifests generically and specifically through know ledge and perception. The existence which is associated with such characteristics and which I as the dialectic nature of activity is the influenced by either desire or aversion. That same conduct is the path to emancipation.

Conduct in Samsuri Jiva is of two kinds intrinsic conduct and extrinsic self determined or other determined. Self determined conduct is that which is based upon the intrinsic nature of self. The other determined conduct is that which is due to the influence of non self. The path then is related to self and is un influenced by non-self hence it is the means to the realisation of self.

162

That Jewel or Rainatraya is internally distinguished as lower and higher the lower aspect is associated with the ideal only indirectly whereas the higher is directly associated with it. One who has the lower is vell is known as Perrasariary or the self that is determined by the other. But the self which has the higher jewel is absolutely self-conditioned and is called Sugasmay.

जीवो सहाविणयदो अणियदगुणपज्जओध परसमओ। जिंद कुणदि सर्ग समय पदमस्सदि कम्मवंधादो ॥१६२॥*

The Jiva that is associated with the essential qualities is called Svasamay: or the self determined whereas that which is associated with accidental and unessential qualities and modes is called Parasamay: or the other determined. The Jiva that has the former, i.e. the essential nature as its ideal is able to get itself freed from Karmir | ondage |

^{*}Sanskrit rendering जीव स्थमावनियत सनियतगुष्य विदे करते स्थक समय प्रश्नस्वि

The Ratnatraya which is self determined has corresponding stages of development to the spiritual evolution of Jiva Abhedadra natraya is certainly Svasamayi, but the early stages of this Ratnatraya when compared to the last stage of perfection would be considered as Parasamayi. It is not even necessary to mention the fact that faith in alien deity, etc., is distinctly Parasamayi, ie when Samyaktva itself in its earlier stage requires to be transcended much more therefore Mithyatra. But a person while still with Karmic bondage may have the thought 'I am Siddha' 'I am Shuddha' ie I am the realised, I am the pure. This contemplation is also called Abhedaratrataya, the pure jewel by convention. Such subtle distinctions in the nature of the path of Self realisation can only be understood by the great One-

163

Then the duality of the outer path or Parasamaya and also the duality of the other determined or Parasamays

जो परदृष्विम्म सुहं असुहं रागेण कुणदि जदि भावं। सी सगचरित्तमद्वी परचरियचरी हवदि जीवा ॥१६३॥*

163 That Jiia which through desire for outer things experiences pleasurable or painful states loses his hold upon Self and gets bewildered and led by outer things. He bec mes the other determined

COMMENTARY

The author describes here the characteristics of the self that is still determined by the other According to this view even the traditional worship of Jaina orthodoxy would not escape this sweeping condemnation by the author All that would be merely Paracharila conduct determined by the other This other determined conduct must be transcended by one who perceives the ideal of Self

164

Next the author points out that the other determined conduct is only the means to bondage and not to Nirvana

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

य परदृष्ये शुभमगुम रागेण करोति यदि भाव। स स्वकचरित्रसृष्टः परचरितचरा भवति जीव ॥ १६३॥

आसवदि जेण पुण्णं पावं वा अप्पणीघ भावेण । से। तेण परचरिसो हवदित्ति जिणा परूवंति ॥१६१॥*

164 On account of the pleasurable or the painful states of the Âima there may flow in Punya Karma or Paḥa Karma Such an Âima on account of such states becomes the other determined. So say the Jinas

COMMENTARY

Conduct that is determined by outer things leads to bondage and blocks the way to liberation, for, the pleasurable mental states is the spring of Punya Karma and the painful mental state of Pâpa Karma, i.e. both merit and demerit in their subjective and objective aspects lead the soul to Kârmic bondage Therefore if Jita experiences those mental states which form the springs of those Karma then for that very reason his conduct becomes other determined. He therefore hereomes the other determined one.

165.

Then the author describes the characteristics of the self-determined Jiva or the Purshottama.

जो सञ्वसंगमुक्को णण्णमणा अप्पणं सहावेण। जाणदि पस्तदि णियदं सा सगचरियं चरदि जीवो ॥१६५॥†

165 That Jiva which being free from relations to others, and from alien thoughts through its own intrinsic nature of perception and understanding perceives and knows its own eternal nature to be such, is said to have conduct that is absolutely self-determined.

COMMENTARY.

Here is the description of conduct that is self determined First there should be no kind of relation to outward things through

Sanskrit rendering

बास्रवित येन पुरुषं पापं वात्मनेऽच्य भावेन । स तेन परचरित्र भवतीति जिना प्ररूपयन्ति ॥ १६४ ॥ |Sanskut renderno

यः सर्वसङ्गमुक्तः श्रनम्यमना श्राहमानं स्वभावेन । जानाति पश्यति नियतं सः स्वक्तचरितं चरति जीवः॥ १६५ affective states On account of this freedom, thought is reflected upon Self. The fundamental faculties of Jiva are perception and understanding. If the self is perceived or intuited and understood to be constituted by perception and knowledge that thought is purely self determined. Tiva that has that thought alone is said to have absolute self realisation.

166

Next the very same Stasamaya is described in a different way

चरियं चरिद सगं सा जो परदव्यप्पभावरहिदप्पा । दंसणणाणवियप्पं अवियप्पं चरिद अप्पादा ॥ १६६ ॥*

166 Whatever Yogundra being entirely free from affective states related to other things and firmly established in its own intrinsic nature, perceives himself to be identical with that which perceives and knows is said to have self-determined activity

COMMENTARY

Thus in order to instruct the disciple both according to Dravjar thika and Paryayarthika Nayas the two paths are described Nischaja Ratnatraja or the real jewel and Vyavahara Ratnatraja or the relative Jewel. The real and the relative are related to one another as the ideal or end and the means to realise the same. Thus the ultimate goal is the real jewel.

167.

Next is the description of V3 avahara Mokshamarga or the relative path which is the means for the realisation of the ultimate end

धम्मादीसदृहणं सम्मत्तं णाणमंगपुव्वर्गर्दे । चिद्वा तर्वोहं चरिया ववहारो मोक्समग्गोत्ति ॥१६७॥†

*Sanskrit rendering

चरित चरति स्वक स यः परद्रव्यात्मभायरहितात्मा । दुर्गनञ्जानिकल्पमिकल्प चरत्यात्मनः॥ १६६॥

Sanskrit rendering

प्रमादिश्रद्धान सम्यक्त्वं ब्रानमङ्गपूर्यगतं । चेष्टा तपसि चर्चा व्यवहारो मोद्यमार्ग इति ॥ १६७ ॥ १ 'जीवादी सद्दर्ख' पेसा पाठ मी हे ।

णिच्चयणयेण भागिदो,तिहि तेहिं समाहिदो हु जो अप्पा। गकुणदि किंचिवि अण्णं णमुयदि से।मोक्समग्गोत्ति१८८%

168 Whatever Atma through the three-fold jewel well-established in itself neither perceives alien things as its own, nor gives up its own intrinsic nature, that Atma is indeed called the absolute path to Salvation

COMMENTARY

Belief, knowledge, and conduct so long as they are based upon other things besides self would only constitute the relative jewel But if the same three are based upon intrinsic nature of self then they would form the real jewel. The former is the means of obtaining the latter.

169

According to the principle that the thing and its qualities are not distinct the Atma is described to be identical with the constitutive elements of perception, knowledge and conduct

जो चरदि णादि पिच्छदि अप्पाणं अप्पणा अणण्णमयं । सेा चारित्तं गाणं दंसणमिदि णिच्चिदो होदि ॥१६६॥†

169 Whatever perceives its own self-identical nature, knows its own subjective and objective nature, and experiences its own immediate existence, is identical with the very activity of perception, knowledge and conduct

COMMENTARY

167 Belief in the Padarthas such as Dharma and in their respective value is right belief. Understanding the Scriptures which are divided into Angas and Purvas is Right I nowledge Conduct according to the twelve kinds of Tapas is right conduct. These three from the Vsavahara point of view form the path to Moksha.

COMMENTARY

On account of the suppress on or the partial eradication of the seven Karmas called MulaPrakritis there may appear to a man perception of and belief in the several Padarthas and their values Such a belief resulting from the apprehension of the nature of reality is called Vyavaharically the right belief. It is right belief only Vyavaharically because it is the means to emancipation and thus to the absolute and the real belief. But this Vyavahara Samyaktra should not be confounded with false belief which is neither the means to Moksha nor is the instrument of the right belief.

Argas and Purvas are the parts of Srutas or the Scriptures Understanding these is considered to be right knowledge Vyarahari cally. It is only the means for obtaining the real knowledge of reality through direct apprehension. This Vyavahara knowledge is also distinct from fulse knowledge possessed by persons of alien faith.

Again right conduct from the Vyavahara point of view is life according to the twelve kinds of Tahas. This Tahasic self control again cannot be associated with persons who are not enthusiastic about the ideal of self realisation. Thus Vyavahara Ratinatraya is shown to be the means of Nischaya Ratinatraya.

[The seven Prakritis the twelve kinds of Tahas have been explained elsewhere and for Argas and Purras the reader is referred to Webers History of Jaina Sacred Literature and to the Outlines of Jaintsm by J. L. Jaini]

168

Next the description of Aischaja Moksha Marga or the real path to realise which the previous one the Vyavahara Moksha Marga is the means

णिच्चयणयेण भणिदो,तिहि तेहिं समाहिदो हु जो अप्पा। गुकुणुदि किंचिवि अण्णं णमुयदि से।मोक्समग्गोत्ति१९८%

168 Whatever Âtm t through the three-fold jewel wellestablished in itself neither perceives alien things as its own, nor gives up its own intrinsic nature, that Âtma is indeed called the absolute path to Salvation

COMMENTARY

Belief, knowledge, and conduct so long as they are based upon other things besides self would only constitute the relative jewel. But if the same three are based upon intrinsic nature of self then they would form the real jewel. The former is the means of obtaining the latter.

169

According to the principle that the thing and its qualities are not distinct the Atma is de cribed to be identical with the constitutive elements of perception knowledge and conduct

जो चरिंद णादि पिच्छिद अप्पाणं अप्पणा अणण्णमयं । से चारित्तं गाणं दंसणिमिदि णिच्चिदो होदि ॥१६९॥†

169 Whatever perceives its own self-identical nature, knows its own subjective and objective nature, and experiences its own immediate existence, is identical with the very activity of perception, knowledge and conduct

COMMENTARY

Chantra, Jiana and Darsans (conduct, knowledge, and perception) constitute the Alma These are also the path to Airrant Hence the intrussic nature and function of the Self are the real path, so the real path is nothing but a real Self

निर्चयनयेनमिर्विक्षिमिस्नै समाहितः छत्तु य द्यारमा । न करोति कि दिप्यन्यन् न मुखित स मोतमार्ग हति ॥ १६०॥

Sanskrit rendering

यहचरति जानाति पर्यति भारमानमाग्मनानम्यमय । स्र चारित्रं क्षान दर्शनमिनि निश्चितो मयति ॥ १६६॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

167 Belief in the Padarthas such as Dharma and in their respective value is right belief. Understanding the Scriptures which are divided into Angas and Phirvas is Right knowledge. Conduct according to the twelve kinds of Papas is right conduct. These three from the Viarahara point of view form the path to Moksha.

COMMENTARY

On account of the suppression or the partial eradication of the seven Karmas called MulaPrakritis there may appear to a man perception of and belief in the several Padarthas and their values Such a belief resulting from the apprehension of the nature of reality is called Vyavaharically the right belief. It is right belief only Vyavaharically because it is the means to emancipation and thus to the absolute and the real belief. But this Vyavahara Saniyaktra should not be confounded with false belief which is neither the means to Moksha nor is the instrument of the right belief.

Argas and Purvas are the parts of Srutas or the Scriptures Understanding these is considered to be right knowledge Vyavahari cally. It is only the means for obtaining the real knowledge of reality through direct apprehension. This Vyavahara knowledge is also distinct from false knowledge possessed by persons of alien faith.

Again right conduct from the Vyarahara point of view is life according to the twelve kinds of Tapas. This Tapasic self control again cannot be associated with persons who are not enthusiatic about the ideal 10f self realisation. Thus, Vyarahara Rainatraya is shown to be the means of Nischaya Rainatraya.

[The seven Prakritis the twelve kinds of Tahas have been explained elsewhere and for Argas and Purras the reader is referred to Webers History of Jaina Sacred Literature and to the Outlines of Jainism by J. L. Jaini]

168

Next the description of Nischaya Moksha Marga or the real path to realise which the previous one the Vyarahara Moksha Marga is the means

167. Belief in the Padårthas such as Dharma and in their respective value is right belief Understanding the Scriptures which are divided into Angas and Pārvis is Right knowledge Conduct according to the twelve kinds of Iapas is right conduct. These three from the Viavahara point of view form the path to Moksha.

COMMENTARY

On account of the suppression or the partial eradication of the seven Karmas called MulaPrakritis there may appear to a man, perception of and belief in the several Padarthas and their values Such a belief resulting from the apprehension of the nature of reality is called Vyavaharically the right belief. It is right belief only Vyavaharically because it is the means to emancipation and thus to the absolute and the real belief. But this Vyavahara Samyaktra should not be confounded with false belief which is neither the means to Moksha nor is the instrument of the right belief.

Angas and Purcas are the parts of Sculas or the Scriptures Understanding these is considered to be right knowledge. Vyavahari cally It is only the means for obtaining the real knowledge of reality through direct apprehension. This Vyavahara knowledge is also distinct from false knowledge possessed by persons of alientuch

Again right conduct from the V3avahara point of view is life according to the twelve kinds of Tapas. This Tapasic self control again cannot be associated with persons who are not enthusiastic about the ideal sof self realisation. Thus V3avahara Rainairaya is shown to be the means of Nischaya Rainairaya

[The seven Prakritis, the twelve kinds of Tapas, have been explained elsewhere and for Argas and Purras the reader is referred to Weber's History of Jaina Sacred Literature and to the Outlines of Jainism by J. L. Jaini.]

168

Next the description of Nischaja Moksha Marga or the real path to realise which the previous one the Vjavahara Moksha Marga, is the means.

दंसगुणाणचरित्ताणि मोक्खमग्गोऽति सेविद्वाणि। सायूहि इदं भणिदं तेहिं दुवंधो व मोक्खे। वा ॥१७१॥*

171 Since Darsana India, and Charitra constitute the Wokina Marga they are adored by the wise. If they are determined be non-self they may lead directly to bondage or indirectly to Moksha. But if they are determined by Self then they may lead to Moksha.

COMMENTARY

Intuition, knowledge and conduct produce effects according as they are determined by the associated things. If they are associated with external things they may get associated with desire and aversion and thus may bring about karmic bondage. But if they are associated with intrinsic and pure nature of the self, then they may directly and immediately bring about liberation. A king for example to cause of his defective instruments political and military may lead to the strengthening of the enemy instead of annihilating him, or for example, ghee which is by nature of soothing effects may when associated with fire aggravate the heat. Similar is the case with the three revels.

172

Next the characteristic of Sukshma Parasamaya or the subtle non-self-or other determinedness

अण्णाणादी णाणी जदि मण्णदि सुद्वसंपओगादी। हवदित्ति दुवसमोवसं परसमयरदी हवदि जीवी ॥१०२॥†

127 If an Atma through ignorance believes that through awe and reverence to Arahanta and others he may attain emancipation from misery then he is only the lover of non-self or Parasamay.

170.

The Samsári Jívas do not as a matter of course all attain Moksha only some of them are said to have that privilege.

जेण विजाणदि सन्वं पेच्छिदि सेंा तेण सोवखमणुहविद । इदि तं जाणदि भविओ अभन्वसत्तो ण सदृहदि ॥१९०॥*

170. Because of the fact that the Self perceives and understands all things without limit it also enjoys infinite bliss. This fact that infinite knowledge and infinite bliss are inseparable is understood only by the Bhavya. The Abhavya cannot appreciate that, much less believe in it.

COMMENTARY.

All Samsári Jivas are not fit to obtain the state of self-realisation. Here happiness is the absence of any kind of hindrance to the intrinsic activity of the self. Perception and understanding are the intrinsic nature of the self. Hindrance to the nature of the self therefore would be a kind of obstruction to either perception or knowledge. The perfected self is all knowing and all perceiving i.e., has no limit to its nature of perception and understanding. The absence of hindrance naturally manifests in its positive aspect as infinite bliss. The logical consequence of Kevala Jūdina is Ananta Sukha. This truth is appreciated and accepted only by the faithful. The unfaithful ones are incapable of appreciating this truth. Therefore the path to salvation is available only to the former. Therefore only some of the Samsari Jivas but not all are fit to walk the path to Mokha.

171.

Darsana, Jāána, and Chârstra when conditioned by other things than Self may in a way lead to bondage. But if they are determined absolutely by the self then they form the direct antecedent of emancipation.

थेन विज्ञानाति सर्वे पर्यति स तेन सौख्यमनुम्यति । इति तन्ज्ञानाति भन्योऽमन्यसस्यो न श्रद्धते ॥ १७० ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

दंसराणाणचरित्ताणि मोक्खमम्गोऽति सेविद्व्वाणि । साधूहि इदं मणिद् तेहिं दु वंधो व मोक्खेा वा ॥१७१॥*

171 Since Darsana Juâna and Charitra constitute the Mossha Marga they are adored by the wise. If they are determined be non self they may lead directly to bondage or indirectly to Mossha. But if they are determined by Self then they may lead to Mossha.

COMMENTARY

Intuition knowledge and conduct produce effects according as they are determined by the associated things. If they are associated with external things they may get associated with desire and aversion and thus may bring about karmic bondage. But if they are associated with initinistic and pure nature of the self then they may directly and immediately bring about liberation. A king for example be cause of his defective instruments political and military may lead to the strengthening of the enemy instead of annihilating him or for example give which is by nature of soothing effects rray when associated with fire aggravate the heat. Similar is the case with the three jewels.

172

Next the characteristic of Sukshma Parasamaya or the subtle non self or off er determinedness

अण्णाणादो णाणी जिंद मण्णिद सुद्धसंपञ्जोगादो । हवदित्ति दुक्खमोक्स्तं परसमयरदो हवदि जीवो ॥१७२॥†

127 If an Atma through ignorance believes that through awe and reverence to Arahanla and others he may attain emancipation from misery then he is only the lover of non-self or Parasamayi

*S mobert rendering

द्रश्रेनश्चानचारित्राखि मोत्तमार्गं इति सेवितन्यानि। साभूभिरिद् भणित तैस्तु यन्धा वा मोत्तो वा ॥ १७१ ॥

Sauskrit rendering

श्रक्षानात् झानी यदि मन्यते शुक्कसप्रयोगात् । भयतीति द खमेातः परसमयरतो भवति जीव ॥ १७२॥

170

The Samsari Jivas do not as a matter of course all attain Moksha only some of them are said to have that privilege

जेण विजाणदि सन्वं पेच्छिदि सी तेण सीवखमणुहविद । इदि तं जाणदि भविञो अभन्वसत्तो ण सदृहदि ॥१७०॥*

170 Because of the fact that the Self perceives and understands all things without limit it also enjoys infinite bliss. This fact that infinite knowledge and infinite bliss are inseparable is understood only by the Bhav; a. The Abhav; a cannot appreciate that, much less believe in it.

COMMENTARY.

All Samsárs Jivas are not fit to obtain the state of self-realisation. Here happiness is the absence of any kind of hindrance to the intrinsic nature of the self. Perception and understanding are the intrinsic nature of the self. Hindrance to the nature of the self therefore would be a kind of obstruction to either perception or knowledge. The perfected self is all knowing and all perceiving i.e., has no limit to its nature of perception and understanding. The absence of hindrance naturally manifests in its positive aspect as infinite bliss. The logical consequence of Ketala Jiana is Annita Sukha. This truth is appreciated and accepted only by the faithful The unfaithful ones are incapable of appreciating this truth. Therefore the path to salvation is available only to the former. Therefore only some of the Samsari Jivas but not all are fit to walk the path

171.

Darsana, Jāāna and Chāritra when conditioned by other things than Self may in a way lead to bondage. But if they are determined absolutely by the self then they form the direct antecedent of emancipation.

येन विज्ञान।ति सर्वे पश्यति स तेन सीय्यमनुभयति । इति तन्ज्ञान।ति भन्ये।ऽभन्यसस्ये। न श्रद्धते ॥ १७० ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering :

Arahanta and Siddha are free from every kind of perfection and are full of all good qualities. Love and devotion towards these may certainly be desirable but still by themselves they can never bring about kārmic liberation. The hope to obtain salvation only through devotion is Parasamaya. The person who has that hope is Parasamaya; the other determined one. The other determined means to be away from the ideal of self. When love and devotion to the perfect beings cannot take us nearer the goal, we need not even mention the utter uselessness of ignorant worship of alien deities with all the samsáric parapharnalia. Thus the author emphasizes the fact that even the love and levotion towards the perfect beings form a subtle kind of obstacle in the way of self realisation.

173

The above mentioned reverence and devotion as they some times lead to bondage do not constitute the path to Moksha

अरहन्तसिद्धचेदियपवयणगणणाणभत्तिसंपण्णो । वंधिः पुण्णं बहुसा ण दुसा कम्मवस्वयं कुणदि॥१०३॥#

173 The person who has reverence and devotion towards *1**chanta*, *Siddha*, their images, *Sangha*, and congregations will invariably get bondage with *Punya* karma*, hence he can never achieve absolute annibilation of *karma*.

COMMENTARY

The author minimises the importance of popular form of religious devotion and worship. The Traditional Jaina religion always emphasizes the value of faith and devotion towards the objects worthy of reverence. But our author views the popular faith from a higher point. From the true philosophical point of view such Bhakit will only lead to Punya karma and its consequent divine happiness. But Punya karma is still thraldom thoughthe shackles are made of gold and Deva happiness is still Sansari. Therefore

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

Larmic annihilation cannot be achieved by the person who has such faith and devotion alone.

174

The main obstacle in the path of self realisation or Staszmaya is said to be Raga or affection.

ज़स्स हिदयेणुमत्तं वा परदेव्वं हि विज्जदे रागो। सो ए विजासिद समयं समस्स सन्वागमधरो वि ॥१७४॥#

174 If in the heart of a segs there is an iota of affection towards alien objects (even in an Arahanta) then, though he be well versed in all the Sustras, he would be incapable of appreciating his true Self or Param and through immediate evperience

COMMENTARY

Devotion to external ideals may be useful up to a certain stage of development. The ideal of Vitaraga is distinctly related to self Absolute freedom from attachment is the meaning of Vitaraga Complete absence of ruga is certainly inconsistent with attachment and devotion to Arahanta or Siddha So far as self is concerned these ideal personalities are indeed external. The right ideal must be to attain perfect Siddha state oneself. This realisation of Siddha state cannot be reached by having attachment to external objects. hence the author emphasizes the importance of effort to transcend even this subtle kind of attraction however worths the object of the ideal be. self and self alone must be the final and the ultimate ideal of spititual evolution. To have Bhakts which is a subtle attraction and then to believe that somehow the final good will be Moksha is to forget the importance of self-effort towards selfrealisation. According to the author then the traditional religious reverence, is only a stepping stone for the higher spiritual goal He who shrinks from transcending the traditional orthodoxy is unfit to live in the higher plane. He is infected with the delusion that what is only a means is an end in itself. That what is merely relative is the absolute That what is of temporary value has eternal value

Sanskrit rendering

175

The author enumerates the train of several evils that may be generated by that little iota of affection, above referred to

धरिदुं जस्स ग् सक्कं चित्तुव्भामं विणादु अप्पाणं । रोधो तस्स ण विज्भादि सुहासुहकदस्स कम्मस्सा१९५॥*

175 To whomsoever there is no ability to bear the turmoil of the mind apart from and independent of the pure contemplation of self to him there is no possibility of preventing the Karmas born of pleasure and puin

COMMENTARY

There can be no devotion to Arahanta and others apart from an affective state, when there is affection the disturbance of the mind cannot be avoided. With the agitation of the heart the calm of the self is lost and if the calm of the self is disturbed, it is not possible to prevent the appearance of pleasure and pain and there is no e-cape from hyppiness and misery there can be no emancipa tion from Samsara. Affection however little is therefore the cause of this series of undesirable events.

176

One who has the ideal of Moksha must therefore completely eradicate every kind of desire from his heart

तहा णिव्वुदिकामी णिस्संगी गिम्ममीय हविय पुण्णी। सिद्धेसु कुणदि भत्तिं णिव्वाणं तेण पप्पीदि ॥१०६॥*

176 Therefore he who aims at Nirvana must not say "I love this, this is mine. Then he can very well be devoted to his own true self which is Siddha or the perfect one. Through that same self-realisation he will attain Nirvana

धतु वस्य न शक्यश्चित्तोद्ग्रामं विनात्वातमानं । रोघस्तस्य न विद्यते शुभाशुभकृतस्य कर्मस्य ॥ १७५ ॥

tSanskrit rendering तस्माशिवुचिकामा निसङ्गो निर्ममत्वश्च भूत्वा पुन । सिद्धेषु क्रोति भक्ति निर्वाणं तेन प्राप्नोति ॥ १७६ ॥

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

The great soul that understands the truth of the previous causal sequence from desire to turmoil of the mind, and from thence to karma, and finally to Samsara, struggles to escape from the attraction of the environment and from the idea of ownership of alien things. When the relation of self to alien things either through attriction or owning is severed then there is no possibility of desire springing up in the heart. No desire means no sprintual discord spiritual hirmony repels karrias, prevention of karmas means disappearance of Samsara. Absence of Samsara certainly implies its opposite Valeshia hence the per on that longs for spiritual perfection and karmic emancipation must never say. This I love This is mine.

177

The attitude of worship towards Arahanta and others though it interferes with the immediate realisation of perfection is not altogether valueless, for it may ultimately lead to Nircana

सपयत्यं तित्थयरं अभिगद्युद्धिस्स सुत्तरोइस्स। दूरतरं णिव्याणं संजमतवसंपओत्तस्स॥१००॥*

177 One may understand the true nature of Tirthankara, who is the basis of nine Padarthas One may have interest in and devotion to the Scriptur. One may have self control and penance With all these if one is not capable of realising his own true self, to him Nirrana is bejond reach

COMMENTARY

Even though a person is well versed in Agamas and well devotion and worship to objective ideas, he will only secure the happiness of Svarga as the immediate fruit thereof Nirvana or Moksha is very remote and be can obtain that only by a tedious process of spiritual development. The immediate and direct antecedent of Moksha is the realisation of the true sell, worship

^{*}Sanskrit rendering

सपदार्थं तीर्थंकरमभिगतयुद्धे स्वरोचिनः। दुरतर निर्वार्थं सयमतप सम्प्रयुक्तस्य॥ १७०॥

172

of and devotion to any other ideal however worthy it may be is only a circuitous path to reach the goal

Again the author emphasizes the fact that norship of Arabata and others cannot be the immediate antecedent of Mukti

अरहंतसिद्वचेदियपवयणभत्तो परेण णियमेण । जो कुणदि तवो कम्मं से। सुरलोगं समादियदि ॥१०८१॥*

178 The person who has love and reverence towards Arahanti and Siddha, their representations and the divine Word or Agama, however well he is engaged in the best form of Tatas so long as he is incapable of apprehending the true greatness of self on account of attachment to external ideals, will meyitably through his efforts enter only the world of the Device.

COMMENTARY

Whether now or hereafter the direct and immediate condition of Moksha is the apprehension of trueself and not attachment to external ideal. The per on who has not grasped the self through all his efforts as ociated with worship and reverence will only secure the happiness of Decas. This is merely a higher state of Samsara and not Moksha

179

Then the author mentions the direct and immediate path to Woksha, thereby indicating that that is the meaning of the present Work

तहा णिव्वदिकामी रागं सवत्य कुणदि मा किंचि। से। तेण वीदरागा भविञा भवसावरं तरिः ॥१०९॥*

*Sanskrit renderir g

श्चर्रसिसद्भवित्यम्बनमनः परेल नियमेन। य करोति तप वर्म स सरलेक समाइचे ॥ १७= ॥

Sanskrit renderine

तस्मान्निरुचिकामा राग सर्वत्र वरोत् मा किञ्चित । स तेन पोतरागो भन्या भवनागर तरति ॥ १७३ ॥

179 Because of this truth that even the subtle attachment to external ideals hinders Nirvâna, let not the person who desires Moksha have any kind of attachment to things, living or non living, desirable or undesirable, then he becomes the Vitarâga, the non attached Thus will he be able to sail across the ocean of Sanisara

COMMENTARY

The essential ingredient of the direct and immediate path to Mossia is the state of Vitaraga or non attachment. Attachment to alien persons and things deserving worship is something like burning sandal word. It may smell sweet nevertheles it will burn. The subtle attachment to external ideals may lead to happiness but the very lappiness will scorch the soul hence the great person, who longs for freedom from Samsara must rid himself of any kind of attachment, must become a Vitaraga. Then will be be able to conquer the misery of Life and inherit the kinedom of Nirana.

This is the meaning of the above Sutra and the same is the pur port of the whole book

Panchastikaya, te it is not only the Sutra Taifarya but also Sastra Taifarya. This rums to apprehend the nature of Jineseara the great victor, the all knowing of infinite qualities and the Vita raga or the non attached.

It is called Prabhusta "well filled with the meaning because it trents about the nine Padarthas and thus describes the nature of the systems of reality Since it deals with the Drawas or the existences it is also called Dravianiyoga It clearly explains the five Astikayas the six Dravjas seven Tattras, and the nine Padarthas examines the nature of bondage and of the bound of liberation and the liberated Lastly in the Chulika or the appendix there is a clear distinction between the absolute path and relative path, and also the indication of the fact that non attachment is the direct and immediate condition of Nirvana Non attachment and perfect peace of the heart is the central doctrine emphasized by an embodied in this Parchastikaya Prabhrita This Vitaragatea or the state of non attachment is available only to that Bhavya or the right believer who appreciates the comparative merits of the two paths, relative and absolute Vyacahara, and Nischaya, but not to one who is incapable of appreciating the various aspects of truth and who chings to only a

particular and fractional aspect as the whole truth, ie one who believes in Ekanta aspect of reality is incapable of appreciating the ideal of Vitaraga and the difference between the absolute path and the relative path. He is far away from the goal. The true believer will certainly understand the compatibility and the harmony between the two paths and thus will try to realise the ideal of non attachment or Vitaragava as the immediate condition of Maksha.

eΛ

The author ends the Work indicating his object in this composition

मगगप्पभावण्हं पवयणभित्तप्पचोदिदेण मया । भणियं पवयणसारं पंचत्थियसंगहं सुत्तं ॥१८०॥*

180 For the purpose of explaining the Moksha Marga, this work by the name of Pankaastikaja contining the essentials of divine revelation is composed by me as actuated by Bhakti or devotion towards the divine Word

COMMENTARY

The author explains the purpole of his writing this work. The Wokkha Marga or the path is revealed by Jina. But Divine revelation or the SASTRA is elaborate and extensive. In order to exhibit the path to the believers the short summary of the divine WORD is written with the name of Pa chustikaja Samgraha.

Thus ends Book II of Pauchastikusa

*Sanslrst rendering

मार्गप्रमायनार्थं प्रयचनमतिमचोदितेन मया । भण्डितं प्रयचनसारं पञ्चास्तिकापसम्बं सत्र ॥ १८०॥